Planar algebras and random lattice Potts model

P. Zinn-Justin

Laboratoire de Physique Théorique des Hautes Energies UPMC Université Paris 6 and CNRS



April 25, 2011

work in collobaration with A. Guionnet, V. Jones, D. Shlyakhtenko: 💿 💿 🖉

Let $N \subset M$ be factors of type II₁. Jones in 1983 showed that the possible values of $[M : N] = \text{are } \{4\cos^2 \pi/n, n \ge 3\} \cup [4, \infty).$

If $E_N \in L^2(M, \operatorname{tr})$ is the orthogonal projector onto N, then $[M:N] = (\operatorname{tr} E_N)^{-1}$. Jones' basic construction:

$$M_0 = N$$
 $M_1 = M$ $M_{i+1} = \langle M_i, E_{M_{i-1}} \rangle \cong \underbrace{M \otimes_N M \otimes_N \cdots \otimes_N M}_{i+1}$

Relates to the Temperley–Lieb algebra: the $e_i = \delta E_{M_{i-1}}$ satisfy

$$e_i^2=\delta e_i$$
 $e_ie_{i\pm 1}e_i=e_i$ $e_ie_j=e_je_i$ $|i-j|>1$

with $\delta = [M : N]^{1/2}$. Positivity of the trace constrains δ .

・ロン ・団 と ・ 田 と

Let $N \subset M$ be factors of type II₁. Jones in 1983 showed that the possible values of $[M : N] = \text{are } \{4\cos^2 \pi/n, n \ge 3\} \cup [4, \infty).$

If $E_N \in L^2(M, \operatorname{tr})$ is the orthogonal projector onto N, then $[M:N] = (\operatorname{tr} E_N)^{-1}$. Jones' basic construction:

$$M_0 = N$$
 $M_1 = M$ $M_{i+1} = \langle M_i, E_{M_{i-1}} \rangle \cong \underbrace{M \otimes_N M \otimes_N \cdots \otimes_N M}_{i+1}$

Relates to the Temperley–Lieb algebra: the $e_i = \delta E_{M_{i-1}}$ satisfy

$$e_i^2=\delta e_i$$
 $e_ie_{i\pm 1}e_i=e_i$ $e_ie_j=e_je_i$ $|i-j|>1$

with $\delta = [M : N]^{1/2}$. Positivity of the trace constrains δ .

Let $N \subset M$ be factors of type II₁. Jones in 1983 showed that the possible values of $[M : N] = \text{are } \{4\cos^2 \pi/n, n \ge 3\} \cup [4, \infty).$

If $E_N \in L^2(M, \operatorname{tr})$ is the orthogonal projector onto N, then $[M:N] = (\operatorname{tr} E_N)^{-1}$. Jones' basic construction:

$$M_0 = N$$
 $M_1 = M$ $M_{i+1} = \langle M_i, E_{M_{i-1}} \rangle \cong \underbrace{M \otimes_N M \otimes_N \cdots \otimes_N M}_{i+1}$

Relates to the Temperley–Lieb algebra: the $e_i = \delta E_{M_{i-1}}$ satisfy

$$e_i^2 = \delta e_i$$
 $e_i e_{i\pm 1} e_i = e_i$ $e_i e_j = e_j e_i$ $|i-j| > 1$

with $\delta = [M : N]^{1/2}$. Positivity of the trace constrains δ .

・ロット (雪) (日) (日)

Graphical representation of the Temperley-Lieb algebra:



Product is vertical concatenation. δ is the weight of a closed loop.

The full algebra TL_k is the span of all planar pairings of 2k strands.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Graphical representation of the Temperley-Lieb algebra:



Product is vertical concatenation. δ is the weight of a closed loop.

The full algebra TL_k is the span of all planar pairings of 2k strands.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Lots more work in this direction (Haagerup, Popa, Ocneanu).

Importance of higher relative commutants $M'_i \cap M_j = \{x \in M_j : xy = yx \ \forall y \in M_i\}$ which extend the Temperley–Lieb construction. In Jones' language, $M'_{0/1} \cap M_j$ form a planar algebra (containing as subalgebra the Temperley–Lieb algebra). Encoded in a bipartite graph (the principal graph), δ being its Perron–Frobenius eigenvalue.

Inverse question: given $M_i \cap M'_j$, can we recover $N \subset M$? Answered affirmatively by Popa (1995).

・ロン ・四 と ・ ヨ と ・ ヨ と ・

A (subfactor) planar algebra is a collection of vector spaces $P = (P_k)_{k \ge 0}$, where P_k (really, P_k^{\pm}) is "blobs" with 2k legs, and P_0 is scalars.

A. Guionnet, V. Jones, D. Shlyakhtenko (2008) introduced a new product on $P_{\geq k} := \bigoplus_{\ell \geq k} P_{\ell}$:



an inclusion $P_{\geq k} \subset P_{\geq k+1}$:



(人間) とうり くうり

A (subfactor) planar algebra is a collection of vector spaces $P = (P_k)_{k \ge 0}$, where P_k (really, P_k^{\pm}) is "blobs" with 2k legs, and P_0 is scalars.

A. Guionnet, V. Jones, D. Shlyakhtenko (2008) introduced a new product on $P_{\geq k} := \bigoplus_{\ell \geq k} P_{\ell}$:



an inclusion $P_{\geq k} \subset P_{\geq k+1}$:



イロト イポト イラト イラト

and a new trace: (k = 0)



They then show that the completion M_k of $P_{\geq k} = \bigoplus_{\ell \geq k} P_\ell$ for this trace is a II₁ factor and the tower $M_0 \subset M_1 \subset \cdots$ has the desired properties, in particular its associated planar algebra is P.

and a new trace: (k = 0)



They then show that the completion M_k of $P_{\geq k} = \bigoplus_{\ell \geq k} P_\ell$ for this trace is a II₁ factor and the tower $M_0 \subset M_1 \subset \cdots$ has the desired properties, in particular its associated planar algebra is P.

We go further and study the analogue of non-Gaussian matrices: we paste arbitrary tangles *which respect bicoloration*:

$$\mathsf{Tr}_t(S) = \sum_{n_1,\dots,n_k=0}^{\infty} \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{t_i^{n_i}}{n_i!} \sum_{P \in P(n_1,\dots,n_k,S)} \delta^{\# \text{ loops in } P}$$



We show

Theorem (Guionnet, Jones, Shlyakhtenko, Z-J)

Let P be a finite-depth subfactor planar algebra and let S_1, \ldots, S_k be elements of P. Then, for t small enough, Tr_t is a tracial state on P, as a limit of matrix models.

We study in more detail a special case:

$$S_1 =$$
 $S_2 =$

• = • •

Image: Image:

We show

Theorem (Guionnet, Jones, Shlyakhtenko, Z-J)

Let P be a finite-depth subfactor planar algebra and let S_1, \ldots, S_k be elements of P. Then, for t small enough, Tr_t is a tracial state on P, as a limit of matrix models.

We study in more detail a special case:

$$S_1 =$$
 $S_2 =$

< 日 > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 >

Definition Relation to loop model

Let $\Gamma = (V, E)$ be an arbitrary graph and Q a positive integer.

Configurations = maps σ from V to $\{1, \ldots, Q\}$

$$\mathsf{Hamiltonian} = - \mathcal{K} \sum_{\{i,j\} \in \mathcal{E}} \delta_{\sigma_i,\sigma_j}$$



< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > <

э

Definition Relation to loop model

The partition function is

$$egin{aligned} & Z_{\mathsf{\Gamma}} = \sum_{\sigma: \mathcal{V} o \{1,...,Q\}} \exp(\mathcal{K} \sum_{\{i,j\} \in \mathcal{E}} \delta_{\sigma_i,\sigma_j}) \ & = \sum_{\sigma: \mathcal{V} o \{1,...,Q\}} \prod_{\{i,j\} \in \mathcal{E}} (1 + v \delta_{\sigma_i,\sigma_j}) \ & = \sum_{\mathcal{E}' \subset \mathcal{E}} \sum_{\sigma: \mathcal{V} o \{1,...,Q\}} \prod_{\{i,j\} \in \mathcal{E}'} v \delta_{\sigma_i,\sigma_j} \ & = \sum_{\mathcal{E}' \subset \mathcal{E}} v^{\# ext{ bonds}} Q^{\# ext{ clusters}} \end{aligned}$$

$$v := \exp(K) - 1$$

э

bonds=edges in E', clusters=connected components of the subgraph (V, E')



Definition Relation to loop model

Assume Γ is embedded into the sphere ("planar map"). In particular, Γ is promoted to $\Gamma = (V, E, F)$. There is a dual planar map $\tilde{\Gamma} = (\tilde{V}, \tilde{E}, \tilde{F}), \ \tilde{V} \cong F, \ \tilde{E} \cong E, \ \tilde{F} \cong V$.



Then we shall see that

Definition Relation to loop model

Assume Γ is embedded into the sphere ("planar map"). In particular, Γ is promoted to $\Gamma = (V, E, F)$. There is a dual planar map $\tilde{\Gamma} = (\tilde{V}, \tilde{E}, \tilde{F}), \ \tilde{V} \cong F, \ \tilde{E} \cong E, \ \tilde{F} \cong V$.



Then we shall see that

 $Z_{\widetilde{\Gamma}}(Q,v) \propto Z_{\Gamma}(Q,Q/v)$

< A >

Definition Relation to loop model

There is also a medial planar map $\Gamma_m = (V_m, E_m, F_m)$ with $V_m \cong E$, $F_m \cong V \sqcup F$:



Splitting a vertex:

< 注 > < 注 >

< 17 >

Definition Relation to loop model

There is also a medial planar map $\Gamma_m = (V_m, E_m, F_m)$ with $V_m \cong E$, $F_m \cong V \sqcup F$:



Splitting a vertex:



Planar algebras / Potts model

Definition Relation to loop model

Each cluster is surrounded by (2 + # bonds - # vertices) loops. Therefore,

loops = 2# clusters + # bonds -
$$\#V$$



(loop configuration=splitting of each vertex)

The *Q*-state Potts model is equivalent to a model of loops with fugacity $n := \sqrt{Q}$.

Definition Relation to loop model

Each cluster is surrounded by (2 + # bonds - # vertices) loops. Therefore,

$$\# \text{ loops} = 2 \# \text{ clusters} + \# \text{ bonds} - \# V$$

and finally

$$Z_{\Gamma} \propto \sum_{\substack{\text{loop}\\\text{configs}\\\text{on } \Gamma_m}} \sqrt{Q}^{\# \text{ loops}} \left(\frac{v}{\sqrt{Q}}\right)^{\# \text{bonds}}$$

(loop configuration=splitting of each vertex)

The *Q*-state Potts model is equivalent to a model of loops with fugacity $n := \sqrt{Q}$.

イロン 不同 とくほう イロン

-

Definition Relation to loop model

Each cluster is surrounded by (2 + # bonds - # vertices) loops. Therefore,

loops = 2# clusters + # bonds -
$$\#V$$

and finally

$$Z_{\Gamma} \propto \sum_{\substack{ ext{loop} \ ext{configs} \ ext{on } \Gamma_m}} \sqrt{Q}^{\# ext{ loops}} \Big(rac{v}{\sqrt{Q}}\Big)^{\# ext{bonds}}$$

(loop configuration=splitting of each vertex)

The *Q*-state Potts model is equivalent to a model of loops with fugacity $n := \sqrt{Q}$.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

We consider dynamical random lattices, that is

$$Z(x, y, Q, v) = \sum_{\Gamma = (V, E, F)} \frac{x^{\#E} y^{\#V}}{\text{symmetry factor}} \ Z_{\Gamma}(Q, v)$$

The summation is over arbitrary connected planar maps.

x and y are new parameters that control the typical size of the map; in what follows we only use x. (in the language of quantum gravity, it is the cosmological constant)

The duality $\Gamma \leftrightarrow \tilde{\Gamma}$ of the Potts model now becomes a symmetry of the model (at $v = \sqrt{Q}$)!

(a)

We consider dynamical random lattices, that is

$$Z(x, y, Q, v) = \sum_{\Gamma = (V, E, F)} \frac{x^{\#E} y^{\#V}}{\text{symmetry factor}} \ Z_{\Gamma}(Q, v)$$

The summation is over arbitrary connected planar maps.

x and y are new parameters that control the typical size of the map; in what follows we only use x. (in the language of quantum gravity, it is the cosmological constant)

The duality $\Gamma \leftrightarrow \tilde{\Gamma}$ of the Potts model now becomes a symmetry of the model (at $v = \sqrt{Q}$)!

We consider dynamical random lattices, that is

$$Z(x, y, Q, v) = \sum_{\Gamma = (V, E, F)} \frac{x^{\#E} y^{\#V}}{\text{symmetry factor}} \ Z_{\Gamma}(Q, v)$$

The summation is over arbitrary connected planar maps.

x and y are new parameters that control the typical size of the map; in what follows we only use x. (in the language of quantum gravity, it is the cosmological constant)

The duality $\Gamma \leftrightarrow \tilde{\Gamma}$ of the Potts model now becomes a symmetry of the model (at $v = \sqrt{Q}$)!

Definition The U(n) matrix model HS Transformation

The equivalence to the loop model allows to state that

$$Z = \sum_{\Gamma_m} \frac{1}{\text{symmetry factor}} \sum_{\substack{\text{loop}\\\text{configs}}} n^{\# \text{ loops}} \alpha^{\#} \beta^{\#} \beta^{\#}$$

where the summation is restricted to 4-valent planar maps, and

$$n = \sqrt{Q}$$
 $\frac{\alpha}{\beta} = \frac{v}{\sqrt{Q}}$ $\beta = x$

Definition The U(n) matrix model HS Transformation

Consider the following *formal* matrix integral:

$$I_{N} = \int \prod_{a=1}^{n} dM_{a} dM_{a}^{\dagger} \exp \left[N \operatorname{tr} \left(-\sum_{a=1}^{n} M_{a} M_{a}^{\dagger} + \frac{\alpha}{2} \sum_{a,b=1}^{n} M_{a} M_{a}^{\dagger} M_{b} M_{b}^{\dagger} + \frac{\beta}{2} \sum_{a,b=1}^{n} M_{a}^{\dagger} M_{a} M_{b}^{\dagger} M_{b} \right) \right]$$

over $N \times N$ complex matrices.

Note the U(n) symmetry $M_a \rightarrow \sum_b U_{ab}M_b$.

The duality is now simply $lpha \leftrightarrow eta$, $M_{a} \leftrightarrow M_{a}^{\dagger}$.

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > :

Definition The U(n) matrix model HS Transformation

Consider the following *formal* matrix integral:

$$I_{N} = \int \prod_{a=1}^{n} dM_{a} dM_{a}^{\dagger} \exp \left[N \operatorname{tr} \left(-\sum_{a=1}^{n} M_{a} M_{a}^{\dagger} + \frac{\alpha}{2} \sum_{a,b=1}^{n} M_{a} M_{a}^{\dagger} M_{b} M_{b}^{\dagger} + \frac{\beta}{2} \sum_{a,b=1}^{n} M_{a}^{\dagger} M_{a} M_{b}^{\dagger} M_{b} \right) \right]$$

over $N \times N$ complex matrices.

Note the U(n) symmetry $M_a \rightarrow \sum_b U_{ab}M_b$.

The duality is now simply $\alpha \leftrightarrow \beta$, $M_a \leftrightarrow M_a^{\dagger}$.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > .

Consider the following *formal* matrix integral:

$$I_{N} = \int \prod_{a=1}^{n} dM_{a} dM_{a}^{\dagger} \exp \left[N \operatorname{tr} \left(-\sum_{a=1}^{n} M_{a} M_{a}^{\dagger} + \frac{\alpha}{2} \sum_{a,b=1}^{n} M_{a} M_{a}^{\dagger} M_{b} M_{b}^{\dagger} + \frac{\beta}{2} \sum_{a,b=1}^{n} M_{a}^{\dagger} M_{a} M_{b}^{\dagger} M_{b} \right) \right]$$

over $N \times N$ complex matrices.

Note the U(n) symmetry $M_a \rightarrow \sum_b U_{ab}M_b$.

The duality is now simply $\alpha \leftrightarrow \beta$, $M_a \leftrightarrow M_a^{\dagger}$.

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > 、

Definition The U(n) matrix model HS Transformation

It can be expanded in Feynman diagrams:

$$\left\langle (M_a)_{ij} (M_b)_{kl}^{\dagger} \right\rangle_0 = \delta_{ab} \delta_{il} \delta_{jk} = \stackrel{j \longrightarrow k}{\underset{l}{\longrightarrow}} tr(M_a M_a^{\dagger} M_b M_b^{\dagger}) = \xrightarrow{} tr(M_a^{\dagger} M_a M_b^{\dagger} M_b) = \xrightarrow{} tr(M_a^{\dagger} M_b M_b^{\dagger} M_b^{\dagger} M_b) = \xrightarrow{} tr(M_a^{\dagger} M_b M_b^{\dagger} M_b^{\dagger} M_b) = \xrightarrow{} tr(M_a^{\dagger} M_b M_b^{\dagger} M_b^$$

・ロン ・部 と ・ ヨ と ・ ヨ と …

э

Definition The U(n) matrix model HS Transformation

It can be expanded in Feynman diagrams:

$$\left\langle (M_a)_{ij} (M_b)_{kl}^{\dagger} \right\rangle_0 = \delta_{ab} \delta_{il} \delta_{jk} = \stackrel{j \longrightarrow k}{\underset{l}{\longrightarrow}} tr(M_a M_a^{\dagger} M_b M_b^{\dagger}) = \stackrel{\circ}{\underbrace{\circ}} \stackrel{\circ}{\underbrace{\circ} \stackrel{\circ}{\underbrace{\circ}} \stackrel{\circ}{\underbrace{\circ}} \stackrel{\circ}{\underbrace{\circ} \stackrel{\circ}{\underbrace{\circ}} \stackrel{\circ}{\underbrace{\circ} \stackrel{\circ}{\underbrace{\circ}} \stackrel{\circ}{\underbrace{\circ} \stackrel{\circ}{\underbrace{\circ}} \stackrel{\circ}{\underbrace{\circ} \stackrel{\circ}{\underbrace{\circ} \stackrel{\circ}{\underbrace{\circ} \stackrel{\circ}{\underbrace{\circ}} \stackrel{\circ}{\underbrace{\circ} \stackrel{\circ}{\underbrace$$

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

э

The only use of the orientation of the edges is to distinguish Γ from Γ in the original Potts language. For α ≠ β this is important! For α = β one can remove the orientation and get back to the so-called O(n) matrix model.

• If one tried to introduce *crossing* vertices, i.e. \uparrow , then the corresponding terms $tr(M_a M_b^{\dagger} M_a M_b^{\dagger})$ would break the U(n) symmetry (only the O(n) symmetry would survive).

< 日 > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 >

The only use of the orientation of the edges is to distinguish Γ from Γ in the original Potts language. For α ≠ β this is important! For α = β one can remove the orientation and get back to the so-called O(n) matrix model.

• If one tried to introduce *crossing* vertices, i.e. , then the corresponding terms $tr(M_a M_b^{\dagger} M_a M_b^{\dagger})$ would break the U(n) symmetry (only the O(n) symmetry would survive).

Definition The U(n) matrix model HS Transformation

• The power of *N* of a diagram is its Euler–Poincaré characteristic, and taking the log corresponds to keeping connected diagrams, so that

$$Z = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{\log I_N}{N^2}$$

• Similary, correlation functions of the matrix model will correspond to taking the trace tr_t in our planar algebras.

Definition The U(n) matrix model HS Transformation

• The power of *N* of a diagram is its Euler–Poincaré characteristic, and taking the log corresponds to keeping connected diagrams, so that

$$Z = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{\log I_N}{N^2}$$

• Similary, correlation functions of the matrix model will correspond to taking the trace tr_t in our planar algebras.

Definition The U(n) matrix model HS Transformation

$$I_N = \int \prod_{a=1}^n dM_a dM_a^{\dagger} e^{N \operatorname{tr} \left(-\sum_{a=1}^n M_a M_a^{\dagger} + \frac{\alpha}{2} (\sum_{a=1}^n M_a M_a^{\dagger})^2 + \frac{\beta}{2} (\sum_{a=1}^n M_a^{\dagger} M_a)^2 \right)}$$

Definition The U(n) matrix model HS Transformation

$$I_{N} = \int \prod_{a=1}^{n} dM_{a} dM_{a}^{\dagger} e^{N \operatorname{tr} \left(-\sum_{a=1}^{n} M_{a} M_{a}^{\dagger} + \frac{\alpha}{2} (\sum_{a=1}^{n} M_{a} M_{a}^{\dagger})^{2} + \frac{\beta}{2} (\sum_{a=1}^{n} M_{a}^{\dagger} M_{a})^{2} \right)}$$
$$= \int dA \int dB \int \prod_{a=1}^{n} dM_{a} dM_{a}^{\dagger} e^{N \operatorname{tr} \left(-\sum_{a=1}^{n} M_{a} M_{a}^{\dagger} - \frac{1}{2\alpha} A^{2} - \frac{1}{2\beta} B^{2} + A \sum_{a=1}^{n} M_{a} M_{a}^{\dagger} + B \sum_{a=1}^{n} M_{a}^{\dagger} M_{a} \right)}$$

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

æ

Definition The U(n) matrix model HS Transformation

$$I_{N} = \int \prod_{a=1}^{n} dM_{a} dM_{a}^{\dagger} e^{N \operatorname{tr} \left(-\sum_{a=1}^{n} M_{a} M_{a}^{\dagger} + \frac{\alpha}{2} (\sum_{a=1}^{n} M_{a} M_{a}^{\dagger})^{2} + \frac{\beta}{2} (\sum_{a=1}^{n} M_{a}^{\dagger} M_{a})^{2} \right)^{2}}$$

$$= \int dA \int dB \int \prod_{a=1}^{n} dM_{a} dM_{a}^{\dagger} e^{N \operatorname{tr} \left(-\sum_{a=1}^{n} M_{a} M_{a}^{\dagger} - \frac{1}{2\alpha} A^{2} - \frac{1}{2\beta} B^{2} + A \sum_{a=1}^{n} M_{a} M_{a}^{\dagger} + B \sum_{a=1}^{n} M_{a}^{\dagger} M_{a} \right)}$$

$$= \int dA \int dB e^{N \operatorname{tr} \left(-\frac{1}{2\alpha} A^{2} - \frac{1}{2\beta} B^{2} \right)} \operatorname{det} (1 \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes A - B \otimes 1)^{-n}$$

<ロ> <同> <同> <同> < 同> < 同> < 同> <

æ

Definition The U(n) matrix model HS Transformation

$$I_{N} = \int \prod_{a=1}^{n} dM_{a} dM_{a}^{\dagger} e^{N \operatorname{tr} \left(-\sum_{a=1}^{n} M_{a} M_{a}^{\dagger} + \frac{\alpha}{2} (\sum_{a=1}^{n} M_{a} M_{a}^{\dagger})^{2} + \frac{\beta}{2} (\sum_{a=1}^{n} M_{a}^{\dagger} M_{a})^{2} \right)^{2}}$$

$$= \int dA \int dB \int \prod_{a=1}^{n} dM_{a} dM_{a}^{\dagger} e^{N \operatorname{tr} \left(-\sum_{a=1}^{n} M_{a} M_{a}^{\dagger} - \frac{1}{2\alpha} A^{2} - \frac{1}{2\beta} B^{2} + A \sum_{a=1}^{n} M_{a} M_{a}^{\dagger} + B \sum_{a=1}^{n} M_{a}^{\dagger} M_{a} \right)}$$

$$= \int dA \int dB e^{N \operatorname{tr} \left(-\frac{1}{2\alpha} A^{2} - \frac{1}{2\beta} B^{2} \right)} \det(1 \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes A - B \otimes 1)^{-n}$$

NB: at this stage the Feynman diagram expansion can be done for arbitrary complex n.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > .

э

Diagonalize the Hermitean matrices A and $B \rightarrow \{a_i\}, \{1 - b_i\}$

$$I_{N} = \int \prod_{i=1}^{N} da_{i} db_{i} \frac{\prod_{1 \le i < j \le N} (a_{j} - a_{i})^{2} (b_{j} - b_{i})^{2}}{\prod_{i,j=1}^{N} (a_{i} - b_{j})^{n}} e^{N \sum_{i=1}^{N} (-\frac{1}{2\alpha} a_{i}^{2} - \frac{1}{2\beta} (1 - b_{i})^{2})}$$

Particles of two kinds, trapped in harmonic potentials, repelling particles of same kind and attracted (n > 0) to particles of different kind.

For sufficiently small α and β , the range of integration of the a_i and b_j can be restricted to intervals around 0 and 1 respectively, without changing the perturbative expansion, and such that the denominator never vanishes. The integral is then well-defined analytically.

イロン イロン イヨン イヨン

Diagonalize the Hermitean matrices A and $B \rightarrow \{a_i\}, \{1 - b_i\}$

$$I_{N} = \int \prod_{i=1}^{N} da_{i} db_{i} \frac{\prod_{1 \le i < j \le N} (a_{j} - a_{i})^{2} (b_{j} - b_{i})^{2}}{\prod_{i,j=1}^{N} (a_{i} - b_{j})^{n}} e^{N \sum_{i=1}^{N} (-\frac{1}{2\alpha} a_{i}^{2} - \frac{1}{2\beta} (1 - b_{i})^{2})}$$

Particles of two kinds, trapped in harmonic potentials, repelling particles of same kind and attracted (n > 0) to particles of different kind.

For sufficiently small α and β , the range of integration of the a_i and b_j can be restricted to intervals around 0 and 1 respectively, without changing the perturbative expansion, and such that the denominator never vanishes. The integral is then well-defined analytically.

Diagonalize the Hermitean matrices A and $B \rightarrow \{a_i\}, \{1 - b_i\}$

$$I_{N} = \int \prod_{i=1}^{N} da_{i} db_{i} \frac{\prod_{1 \le i < j \le N} (a_{j} - a_{i})^{2} (b_{j} - b_{i})^{2}}{\prod_{i,j=1}^{N} (a_{i} - b_{j})^{n}} e^{N \sum_{i=1}^{N} (-\frac{1}{2\alpha} a_{i}^{2} - \frac{1}{2\beta} (1 - b_{i})^{2})}$$

Particles of two kinds, trapped in harmonic potentials, repelling particles of same kind and attracted (n > 0) to particles of different kind.

For sufficiently small α and β , the range of integration of the a_i and b_j can be restricted to intervals around 0 and 1 respectively, without changing the perturbative expansion, and such that the denominator never vanishes. The integral is then well-defined analytically.

Define the resolvents of A and B:

$$G_A(a) = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \left\langle \operatorname{tr} \frac{1}{a - A} \right
angle$$

 $G_B(b) = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \left\langle \operatorname{tr} \frac{1}{1 - b - B} \right
angle$

They are generating series for diagrams with the topology of the disk and certain prescribed boundary conditions.

In the large N limit, the integral over the eigenvalues a_i and b_i is dominated by a saddle point configuration characterized by limiting measures $d\mu_A$ and $d\mu_B$ with supports $[a_1, a_2]$ and $[b_1, b_2]$:

$$G_{A}(a) = \int_{a_{1}}^{a_{2}} \frac{d\mu_{A}(a')}{a - a'}$$

$$G_{B}(b) = \int_{b_{1}}^{b_{2}} \frac{d\mu_{B}(b')}{b - b'}$$

P. Zinn-Justin Planar algebras / Potts model

Define the resolvents of A and B:

$$G_A(a) = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \left\langle \operatorname{tr} \frac{1}{a - A} \right
angle$$

 $G_B(b) = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \left\langle \operatorname{tr} \frac{1}{1 - b - B} \right
angle$

They are generating series for diagrams with the topology of the disk and certain prescribed boundary conditions.

In the large N limit, the integral over the eigenvalues a_i and b_i is dominated by a saddle point configuration characterized by limiting measures $d\mu_A$ and $d\mu_B$ with supports $[a_1, a_2]$ and $[b_1, b_2]$:

$$G_A(a) = \int_{a_1}^{a_2} \frac{d\mu_A(a')}{a - a'}$$

$$G_B(b) = \int_{b_1}^{b_2} \frac{d\mu_B(b')}{b - b'}$$

P. Zinn-Justin

Planar algebras / Potts model

The analytic problem **The elliptic parameterization** The solution Root of unity case Prospects

These functions satisfy the following saddle point equations:

$$G_A(z+i0) + G_A(z-i0) = P(z) + nG_B(z)$$
 $z \in [a_1, a_2]$
 $G_B(z+i0) + G_B(z-i0) = Q(z) + nG_A(z)$ $z \in [b_1, b_2]$

with $P(z) = z/\alpha$, $Q(z) = (1-z)/\beta$.

イロン 不同 とくほう イロン

Analytically continuing these equations shows that G_A and G_B live on an infinite cover of the Riemann sphere:



(a)

э

Alternatively, they live on an infinite cover of the elliptic curve $y^2 = (z - a_1)(z - a_2)(z - b_1)(z - b_2)$:



We therefore introduce the parameterization

$$u(z) = \int_{b_2}^{z} \frac{dz}{\sqrt{(z-a_1)(z-a_2)(z-b_1)(z-b_2)}}$$

where *u* lives on the torus $\mathbb{C}/(\omega_1\mathbb{Z} + \omega_2\mathbb{Z})$.

< 日 > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 >

Alternatively, they live on an infinite cover of the elliptic curve $y^2 = (z - a_1)(z - a_2)(z - b_1)(z - b_2)$:



We therefore introduce the parameterization

$$u(z) = \int_{b_2}^{z} \frac{dz}{\sqrt{(z-a_1)(z-a_2)(z-b_1)(z-b_2)}}$$

where *u* lives on the torus $\mathbb{C}/(\omega_1\mathbb{Z} + \omega_2\mathbb{Z})$.

< 日 > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 >

More precisely, appropriate linear combinations of G_A and G_B :

$$G_{\pm}(u) = q^{\pm 1}G_A(u) - G_B(u) \pm rac{1}{q - 1/q}(P(u) + q^{\pm 1}Q(u))$$

are sections of certain line bundles over this elliptic curve:

$$egin{aligned} G_{\pm}(u+\omega_1) &= G_{\pm}(u) \ G_{\pm}(u+\omega_2) &= q^{\pm 2}G_{\pm}(u) \end{aligned}$$

Here, $n = q + q^{-1}$, $|n| \neq 2$.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

-

 G_+ is meromorphic with only poles at $\pm u_{\infty}$, the two images of $z = \infty$. It can be expressed in terms of the theta function:

$$\Theta(u) = 2 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e^{i\pi \frac{\omega_2}{\omega_1}(k+1/2)^2} \sin(2k+1) \frac{\pi u}{\omega_1}$$

Theorem

$$G_{+}(u) = c_{+} \frac{\Theta(u - u_{\infty} - \nu\omega_{1})}{\Theta(u - u_{\infty})} + c_{-} \frac{\Theta(u + u_{\infty} - \nu\omega_{1})}{\Theta(u + u_{\infty})}$$

where $q = \exp(i\pi\nu)$, and

$$c_{\pm} = \pm \frac{\Theta'(0)}{\Theta(\nu\omega_1)} \frac{1}{q - 1/q} (\alpha^{-1} + q^{\pm 1} \beta^{-1})$$

(日)

ヨート

Planar algebras Potts model Random lattices Solution of matrix model Potts model Random lattices Solution of matrix model Prospects

 G_+ is meromorphic with only poles at $\pm u_{\infty}$, the two images of $z = \infty$. It can be expressed in terms of the theta function:

$$\Theta(u) = 2 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e^{i\pi \frac{\omega_2}{\omega_1}(k+1/2)^2} \sin(2k+1) \frac{\pi u}{\omega_1}$$

Theorem

$$G_{+}(u) = c_{+} \frac{\Theta(u - u_{\infty} - \nu\omega_{1})}{\Theta(u - u_{\infty})} + c_{-} \frac{\Theta(u + u_{\infty} - \nu\omega_{1})}{\Theta(u + u_{\infty})}$$

where $q = \exp(i\pi\nu)$, and

$$c_{\pm} = \pm \frac{\Theta'(0)}{\Theta(\nu\omega_1)} \frac{1}{q - 1/q} (\alpha^{-1} + q^{\pm 1} \beta^{-1})$$

< □ > < 同 >

★ ∃ →

Assume $q^{2p} = 1$. An important case is $q = \exp(i\pi/p)$ (recall that $Q = (q + q^{-1})^2$; for example, Q = 0, 1, 2, 3 corresponds to p = 2, 3, 4, 6).

Then G_{\pm} satisfy:

$$G_{\pm}(u + \omega_1) = G_{\pm}(u)$$
$$G_{\pm}(u + p\omega_2) = G_{\pm}(u)$$

i.e. they are elliptic functions with periods $\omega_1, p\omega_2$.

We conclude that $G_A(u)$ (resp. $G_B(u)$) and z(u), being both elliptic with same periods, satisfy an algebraic equation:

$$P_A(G_A, z) = 0 \qquad P_B(G_B, z) = 0$$

cf recent work of Bousquet-Melou et al.



Assume $q^{2p} = 1$. An important case is $q = \exp(i\pi/p)$ (recall that $Q = (q + q^{-1})^2$; for example, Q = 0, 1, 2, 3 corresponds to p = 2, 3, 4, 6).

Then G_{\pm} satisfy:

$$G_{\pm}(u + \omega_1) = G_{\pm}(u)$$
$$G_{\pm}(u + p\omega_2) = G_{\pm}(u)$$

i.e. they are elliptic functions with periods $\omega_1, p\omega_2$.

We conclude that $G_A(u)$ (resp. $G_B(u)$) and z(u), being both elliptic with same periods, satisfy an algebraic equation:

$$P_A(G_A, z) = 0 \qquad P_B(G_B, z) = 0$$

cf recent work of Bousquet-Melou et al.



Assume $q^{2p} = 1$. An important case is $q = \exp(i\pi/p)$ (recall that $Q = (q + q^{-1})^2$; for example, Q = 0, 1, 2, 3 corresponds to p = 2, 3, 4, 6).

Then G_{\pm} satisfy:

$$G_{\pm}(u + \omega_1) = G_{\pm}(u)$$
$$G_{\pm}(u + p\omega_2) = G_{\pm}(u)$$

i.e. they are elliptic functions with periods $\omega_1, p\omega_2$.

We conclude that $G_A(u)$ (resp. $G_B(u)$) and z(u), being both elliptic with same periods, satisfy an algebraic equation:

$$P_A(G_A, z) = 0 \qquad P_B(G_B, z) = 0$$

cf recent work of Bousquet-Melou et al.

 Planar algebras
 The analytic problem

 Potts model
 The elliptic parameterization

 Random lattices
 Root of unity case

 Solution of matrix model
 Prospects

• Does our construction produce a tower of factors?

- Investigate criticality, and compare with Baxter's conjectures.
- Meaning of criticality in terms of factors?

(a)

- Does our construction produce a tower of factors?
- Investigate criticality, and compare with Baxter's conjectures.
- Meaning of criticality in terms of factors?

- Does our construction produce a tower of factors?
- Investigate criticality, and compare with Baxter's conjectures.
- Meaning of criticality in terms of factors?



- As one increases α and β the system becomes more and more unstable because of the attraction (n > 0) of particles of opposite kinds. → singularity.
 - the singularity develops before the two types of particles meet:





Baxter, based on numerical work, conjectured a spontaneous symmetry breaking of the Z/2Z symmetry of the model.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト



- As one increases α and β the system becomes more and more unstable because of the attraction (n > 0) of particles of opposite kinds. → singularity.
 - the singularity develops before the two types of particles meet:





Baxter, based on numerical work, conjectured a spontaneous symmetry breaking of the Z/2Z symmetry of the model.

< 日 > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 >

Planar algebras Potts model	The analytic problem The elliptic parameterization
Random lattices Solution of matrix model	Root of unity case
bolation of matrix model	Prospects

- As one increases α and β the system becomes more and more unstable because of the attraction (n > 0) of particles of opposite kinds. → singularity.
 - the singularity develops before the two types of particles meet:





Baxter, based on numerical work, conjectured a spontaneous symmetry breaking of the Z/2Z symmetry of the model.

Planar algebras Potts model	The analytic problem The elliptic parameterization
Random lattices Solution of matrix model	Root of unity case
bolation of matrix model	Prospects

- As one increases α and β the system becomes more and more unstable because of the attraction (n > 0) of particles of opposite kinds. → singularity.
 - the singularity develops before the two types of particles meet:





Baxter, based on numerical work, conjectured a spontaneous symmetry breaking of the Z/2Z symmetry of the model.
 Planar algebras
 The analytic problem

 Potts model
 The elliptic parameterization

 Random lattices
 The solution

 Solution of matrix model
 Prospects

Criticality



- モト - モト

æ