CANONICAL QUANTIZATION OF NON-COMMUTATIVE HOLONOMIES IN 2+1 LOOP QUANTUM GRAVITY

UNIVERSITÉ DE PROVENCE, AIX-MARSEILLE I "CENTRE DE PHYSIQUE THÉORIQUE"

DANIELE PRANZETTI

joint work with K. Noui and A. Perez



EU-NCG



3-DIM QUANTUM GRAVITY INTRODUCTION AND MOTIVATION

3-dimensional quantum gravity can be defined from a number of different points of view. The first of these was the Ponzano-Regge model of quantum gravity on a triangulated 3-manifold which provides a quantization of the Regge calculus.

The Ponzano-Regge model is a state sum model for 3-dimensional euclidean quantum gravity without cosmological constant using the Lie group *SU*(2):

- Quantum amplitude for each assignment of SU(2) irreducible representations to each edge of the triangulation;

- Sum of the amplitudes over every possible spin on every edge in the interior of the manifold to give a partition function;

- Since the set of irreducible representations of SU(2) is infinite, the partition function is often a sum with an infinite number of terms, and in many cases diverges;

A regularization of the Ponzano-Regge model is provided by the Turaev-Viro model, where the Lie group SU(2) is replaced by its quantum deformation $U_q \ sl(2)$. When the deformation parameter q is a root of unity, then there are only a finite number of irreducible representations, which means that the edge lengths are not summed up to infinite values, and the partition function is always well-defined.

A very important consequence of this is that the answer obtained is finite, and so the model appears to be a regularized version of the Ponzano-Regge model.

How the Turaev-Viro state sum is connected to QUANTUM GRAVITY?

Witten argued that it was equivalent to a Feynman path integral with the Chern-Simons action for $SU(2)_k \otimes SU(2)_{-k}$. The connection with gravity follows from the fact that Chern-Simons action for this group product is related to the Einstein-Hilbert action for gravity with cosmological constant (Ooguri and Sasakura, Williams) if $k^2 = 4\pi^2/\Lambda$.

WHAT ABOUT LQG?

- In the case $\Lambda = 0$ we have a quantization of the theory [Noui and Perez]:

 $S[e, \omega] = \int_{M} Tr[e \wedge F(\omega)] \quad \text{Upon the standard 2+1 decomposition, the canonical variables are the 2-dim connection <math>A^{i}{}_{a}$ and the triad field $E^{b}{}_{j}$

If one starts from the kinematical Hilbert space H_{kin} spanned by spin network states the only remaining constraint of the theory is the quantum curvature constraint

The physical inner product and the physical Hilbert space H_{phys} of 2+1 gravity with Λ =0 can be defined by introducing a regularization of the formal expression for the generalized projection operator into the kernel of *F*:

$$P = \prod_{x \in \Sigma} \delta(\hat{F}(A(x))) = \int D[N] exp\left(i \int_{\Sigma} Tr[N\hat{F}(A)]\right)$$

Noui and Perez showed how, introducing a regularization as an intermediate step for the quantization, this projector can be given a precise definition leading to a rigorous expression for the physical inner product of the theory. Moreover, the constraints algebra is anomaly free in this case.

- In the case $\Lambda \neq 0$ we have NOT a quantization of the theory yet!

But there are strong motivations to the idea that, in the context of LQG, it should be possible to recover the Turaev-Viro amplitudes as the physical transition amplitudes between kinematical spin network states of 2+1 gravity with non-vanishing cosmological constant:

Implementation of the dynamics $(F + \Lambda e \land e = 0)$



"Emergence" of the quantum group structure

Understanding the relationship between the Turaev-Viro invariants and quantum gravity requires the understanding the dynamical interplay between classical spin-network states and *q*-deformed amplitudes

2+1 GRAVITY WITH $\Lambda \neq 0$ in LQG Classical analysis

Space-time $\Sigma = M \times R$

$$S[e,\omega] = \int_M Tr[e \wedge F(\omega) + \frac{\Lambda}{3}e \wedge e \wedge e]$$

Smeared constraints

$$G(\alpha) = \int_{\Sigma} \alpha_i d_A e^i = 0$$

$$C(N) = \int_{\Sigma} N_i (F^i(A) + \Lambda \epsilon^i{}_{jk} e^j \wedge e^k) = 0$$

Upon the standard 2+1 decomposition, the phase space variables are the 2-dim
$$su(2)$$
 Lie algebra valued connection A^{i}_{a} and triad field e^{j}_{b} . The symplectic structure is defined by

$$\{A_a^i(x), e_b^j(y)\} = \epsilon_{ab} \ \delta_j^i \delta^{(2)}(x, y)$$

Constraints algebra

$$\{C(N), C(M)\} = \Lambda G([N, M])$$
 local symmetry

$$\{G(\alpha), G(\beta)\} = G([\alpha, \beta])$$
 su(2) \oplus su(2)

$$\{C(N), G(\alpha)\} = C([N, \alpha])$$

2+1 GRAVITY WITH $\Lambda \neq \mathbf{O}$ in LQG Quantum analysis: kinematical Hilbert space

Basic kinematical observables: holonomy of the connection and smeared functionals of the triad field *e*

$$h_{\gamma}[A] = P \exp(-\int_{\gamma} dx A) \in SU(2)$$
$$E(\eta) = \int e_a^i \tau_i \frac{d\eta^a}{dt} dt = \int E^{ai} \tau_i n_a dt \in su(2)$$
$$n_a \equiv \epsilon_{ab} \frac{d\eta^a}{dt} \qquad \text{flux of } E \text{ across the curve } \eta$$

the Ashtekar-Lewandowski measure

$$<\Psi_{\Gamma_{1},f},\Psi_{\Gamma_{2},g}>\equiv\mu_{AL}(\overline{\Psi_{\Gamma_{1},f}[A]}\Psi_{\Gamma_{2},g}[A])=$$
$$=\int\prod_{i=1}^{N_{\ell_{\Gamma_{12}}}}dh_{i}\overline{f(h_{\gamma_{1}},\cdots,h_{\gamma_{N_{\ell}(\Gamma_{12})}})}g(h_{\gamma_{1}},\cdots,h_{\gamma_{N_{\ell}(\Gamma_{12})}})g(h_{\gamma_{1}},\cdots,h_{\gamma_{N_{\ell}(\Gamma_{12})$$

holonomy \rightarrow operator acting by multiplication in $H_{\mathcal{K}}$ triangle $\hat{h}_{\gamma}[A]\Psi[A] = h_{\gamma}[A]\Psi[A]$ $\hat{E}(A)$ unique representation on the kinematical Hilbert space $H\kappa$, with a diffeomorphism invariant inner product:

space of cylindrical functions Cyl

finite graph $\Gamma \subset \Sigma$

 $f: SU(2)^{N_{\ell}(\Gamma)} \to \mathbb{C}$

 $\Psi_{\Gamma,f}[A] = f(h_{\gamma_1}[A], \cdots, h_{\gamma_{N_{\ell}(\Gamma)}}[A])$



[Rovelli, Smolin]

triad field \rightarrow derivative operator in $H_{\mathcal{K}}$ $\hat{E}(\eta) \triangleright h_{\gamma} = -\frac{i\hbar}{2} \begin{cases} o(p)\tau_i h_{\gamma} & \text{if } \gamma \text{ ends at } \eta \\ o(p)h_{\gamma}\tau_i & \text{if } \gamma \text{ starts at } \eta \end{cases}$

2+1 GRAVITY WITH $\Lambda \neq 0$ in LQG Quantum analysis: constraints

Quantum constraints:

$$G[\alpha] \triangleright \Psi = \int_{\Sigma} \operatorname{Tr}[\alpha \, \mathrm{d}_A e] \triangleright \Psi = 0$$

$$C_{\Lambda}[N] \triangleright \Psi = \int_{\Sigma} \operatorname{Tr} \left[N \left(F(A) + \Lambda e \wedge e \right) \right] \triangleright \Psi = 0$$

- The $\Lambda = 0$ case:

path integral representation of the theory from the canonical picture



introduction of a regulator: cellular decomposition $\Delta \Sigma$ of Σ

$$C_0(N) = \int_{\Sigma} \operatorname{Tr} \left[N F(A) \right] = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \sum_{p \in \Delta_{\Sigma}} \operatorname{Tr} \left[N_p W_p(A) \right]$$

$$W_p(A) = 1 + \epsilon^2 F(A) + O(\epsilon^2) \in SU(2)$$

background independence and anomalyfree quantum constraints algebra definition of a physical scalar product by means of a projector operator into the kernel of *C*₀(*N*)

2+1 GRAVITY WITH $\Lambda \neq 0$ IN LQG Quantum analysis: constraints

Let us define $A_{\pm} = A \pm \sqrt{\Lambda}e$ and replace $W_p(A) \longrightarrow W_p(A_{\pm})$

at the classical level we get

$$C_{\Lambda}[N] = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \sum_{p \in \Delta_{\Sigma}} \operatorname{Tr}[N_{p} W_{p}(A_{\pm})] - \mathcal{G}\left[\pm \sqrt{\Lambda}N\right]$$
on gauge-

candidate background independent regularization of the curvature constraint $C_{\Lambda}[N]$

on gaugeinvariant states

quantization of the holonomy of $A\pm$

As a first step toward the quantization of $C_{\Lambda}[N]$, we are now going to quantize the holonomy of the general connection $A_{\lambda} = A + \lambda e$

QUANTIZATION OF NON-COMMUTATIVE HOLONOMIES

Quantization of
$$h_{\eta} \left[A_{\lambda} \right] = P \, \mathrm{e}^{-\int_{\eta} A + \lambda e}$$

as an operator on the kinematical Hilbert space of 2+1 LQG

• action on the vacuum:

$$h_{\eta}[A_{\lambda}]|0\rangle = h_{\eta}[A]|0\rangle$$

simply creates a Wilson line excitation

• action on a transversal Wilson line in the fundamental representation:

quantization of each term in the series expansion of $h_{\eta}[A_{\lambda}]$ in powers of λ $h_{\eta}(A_{\lambda}) h_{\gamma}(A_{\lambda}) |0\rangle = h_{\eta}(A_{\lambda}) h_{\gamma}(A) |0\rangle = \left(1 + \sum_{1 \le n} (-1)^n \int_0^1 dt_1 \cdot \int_0^{t_{n-1}} dt_n A_{\lambda}(\eta(t_1)) \cdot A_{\lambda}(\eta(t_n))\right) \triangleright$

$$\left(1 + \sum_{1 \le m} (-1)^m \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}s_1 \cdot \int_0^{s_{m-1}} \mathrm{d}s_m A\left(\gamma\left(s_1\right)\right) \cdot \cdot A\left(\gamma\left(s_m\right)\right)\right) |0\rangle$$

developing in powers of λ the coefficient at order *p* is

$$\sum_{n \ge p} \sum_{m \ge p} (-1)^{m+n} \sum_{1 \le k_1 < \dots < k_p \le n} \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}t_1 \cdots \int_0^{t_{n-1}} \mathrm{d}t_n \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}s_1 \cdots \int_0^{s_{m-1}} \mathrm{d}s_m$$
$$\left[A\left(\eta\left(t_1\right)\right) \cdots E\left(\eta(t_{k_1})\right) \cdots E\left(\eta(t_{k_p})\right) \cdots A\left(\eta\left(t_n\right)\right) \right] \triangleright A\left(\gamma\left(s_1\right)\right) \cdots A\left(\gamma\left(s_m\right)\right)$$

Let us concentrate on the action of the derivation operators on the connection along γ :

$$\int_0^1 \mathrm{d}s_1 \cdots \int_0^{s_{m-1}} \mathrm{d}s_m \ E(\eta(t_{k_1})) \cdots E(\eta(t_{k_p})) \triangleright A(\gamma(s_1)) \cdots A(\gamma(s_m))$$

one now uses

$$E(\eta(t)) \triangleright A(\gamma(s)) = (\epsilon_{ab} \dot{\gamma}^a(s_*) \dot{\eta}^b(t^*)) \delta(\gamma(s) - \eta(t)) \quad \text{where } o \text{ is the orientation of the} \\ = o \delta(s - s_*) \delta(t - t_*) \quad \text{intersection between } \eta \text{ and } \gamma$$

and the fact that only those terms containing *p* consecutive graspings *E*'s acting on *p* consecutive *A*'s remain to get, after rearranging of integration variables

$$\frac{(-\mathrm{i}o\hbar\lambda)^{p}}{p!} \sum_{k_{1}\geq1} (-1)^{k_{1}-1} \int_{t_{*}}^{1} \mathrm{d}t_{1} \cdots \int_{t_{*}}^{t_{k_{1}-2}} \mathrm{d}t_{k_{1}-1} A(\eta(t_{1})) \cdots A(\eta(t_{k_{1}-1}))$$

$$\tau^{i_{k_{1}}} \cdots \tau^{i_{k_{p}}} \sum_{v\geq0} (-1)^{v} \int_{0}^{t_{*}} \mathrm{d}\tilde{t}_{1} \cdots \int_{0}^{t_{v-1}} \mathrm{d}\tilde{t}_{v} A(\eta(\tilde{t}_{1})) \cdots A(\eta(\tilde{t}_{v})) \otimes$$

$$\sum_{\alpha_{k_{1}}\geq1} (-1)^{\alpha_{k_{1}}-1} \int_{s_{*}}^{1} \mathrm{d}s_{1} \cdots \int_{s_{*}}^{s_{\alpha_{k_{1}}-2}} \mathrm{d}s_{\alpha_{k_{1}}-1} A(\gamma(s_{1})) \cdots A(\gamma(s_{\alpha_{k_{1}}-1}))$$

$$\tau_{(i_{k_{1}}} \cdots \tau_{i_{k_{p}}}) \sum_{u\geq0} (-1)^{u} \int_{0}^{s_{*}} \mathrm{d}\tilde{s}_{1} \cdots \int_{0}^{s_{u-1}} \mathrm{d}\tilde{s}_{u} A(\gamma(\tilde{s}_{1})) \cdots A(\gamma(\tilde{s}_{u}))$$

 $\tau_{(i_1}\cdots\tau_{i_p})$

ordering ambiguites in the product of generators due to the non-commutativity of grasping operators

symmetrized quantization map

$$Q_S: \quad E_{i_1} E_{i_2} \cdots E_{i_p} \rightarrow \\ \frac{1}{p!} \sum_{\pi \in S(p)} \tau_{i_{\pi(1)}} \tau_{i_{\pi(2)}} \cdots \tau_{i_{\pi(p)}}$$

graphical notation for the action of $h_{\eta}[A_{\lambda}]$ $z = -io\hbar\lambda$

$$> = > +z > +\frac{z^2}{2} > +\frac{z^3}{3!} > + \dots$$

THE DUFLO MAP

The Duflo map is a generalization of the universal quantization map proposed by Harish-Chandra for semi-simple Lie algebras. The latter provides a prescription to quantize polynomials of commuting variables (the classical triad fields) which after quantization acquire Lie algebra commutation relations (the flux operators).

Given a set of commuting variables E_i on the dual space \mathbf{g}_* of the algebra \mathbf{g} , they generate the commutative algebra of polynomials, called the symmetric algebra over \mathbf{g} and denoted $Sym(\mathbf{g})$. If now we want to map this algebra into the one generated by non-commutative variables τ_i which satisfy the commutation relations $[\tau_i, \tau_j] = f_{ijk} \tau_k$, we run into ordering problem since the commutative algebra Sym (g) must be mapped to the non-commutative *universal enveloping algebra* $U(\mathbf{g})$. A natural quantization map introduced by Harish-Chandra is the so-called symmetric quantization, defined by its action on monomials, namely

$$Q_S: E_{i_1} E_{i_2} \cdots E_{i_n} \to \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\pi \in S_n} \tau_{i_{\pi(1)}} \tau_{i_{\pi(2)}} \cdots \tau_{i_{\pi(n)}}$$

A generalization of the previous map was provided by Duflo by composing it with a differential operator $j_{1/2}$ (d) on Sym(g), where $\partial = \partial/\partial E$ represents derivatives with respect to the generators of Sym (g). In the case of the Lie algebra su(2), the Duflo map Q_D reads

$$Q_D = Q_S \circ j^{\frac{1}{2}}(\partial) = Q_S \circ \left(1 + \frac{1}{12}\partial_i\partial_i + \cdots\right)$$

Given two Casimir elements A and B: $Q_D(A) Q_D(B) = Q_D(AB)$

Duflo map is an isomorphism between the invariant sub-algebras *Sym*(g)_g and *U*(g)_g

QUANTIZATION IN TERMS OF FLUX OPERATORS



FINAL RESULT

Using Pensrose's convention $\epsilon_{AB} \to i \epsilon_{AB}$ and $\epsilon^{AB} \to i \epsilon^{AB}$

 $= A \quad (+A^{-1}) \quad +A^{-1} \quad Kauffman's q-deformed binor identity for <math>q = \exp i\lambda/2$

where $A = e^{\frac{io\hbar\lambda}{4}}$

DISCUSSION

We have shown that the holonomy of $A_{\lambda} = A + \lambda e$ in the fundamental representation can be quantized in the LQG formalism, leading to the Kauffman-like algebraic structure for the action of the quantum holonomy defining a crossing. This result is expected if a relationship between Turaev-Viro amplitudes and physical amplitudes in canonical LQG formulation exists.

◆ The recovering of the Kauffman bracket related to the *q*-deformed crossing identity is a remarkable result since it was obtained starting from the standard *SU*(2) kinematical Hilbert space of LQG and combining the flux operators representation of the theory together with a mathematical input coming from the Duflo isomorphism.

★ However, the full link between the role of quantum groups in 3d gravity with $\Lambda \neq 0$ and its canonical quantization can only be established if the dynamical input from the implementation of the curvature constraints is brought in: Reidermeister moves and quantum dimension $(\widehat{Q}) = -A^2 - A^{-2})$ are only to be found through dynamical considerations.