Semiclassical Einstein equations and non-commutative spacetimes

Nicola Pinamonti

Dipartimento di Matematica Università di Genova

Bucharest, April, 29th 2011

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Motivations

- At short distance the spacetime should be **non-commutative**.
- This feature should be encoded in the "Quantum Gravity"

No satisfactory description.

- We can get information about such a theory analyzing some particular regimes [Hawking].
- Gravity classically
 Matter by quantum theory.

$$G_{ab} = 8\pi \langle T_{ab} \rangle_{\omega}$$

 Doplicher, Fredenhagen and Roberts 95 use this to obtain uncertainty relations for the coordinates on a flat quantum space.

Questions

Does it work also on curved spacetimes? Is the semiclassical equation a well posed dynamical system? Uncertainties for the coordinates in a generic spherically symmetric space.

- Well posedness of semiclassical Einstain equations in cosmology.
- Existence and Uniqueness of their solutions.

This talk is based on

- S. Doplicher, G. Morsella, NP, in preparation (2011)
- C. Dappiaggi, K. Fredenhagen, NP PRD 77, 104015 (2008)
- C. Dappiaggi, NP, V. Moretti, CMP 285, 1129-1163 (2009)
- C. Dappiaggi, NP, V. Moretti, JMP 50, 062304 (2009)
- NP, CMP (2011)

Uncertainties

In [DFR 95] the authors find the commutation rules among the coordinates

$$[q^{\mu},q^{\nu}]=iQ^{\mu\nu}$$

compatible with the following uncertainty relations

$$\Delta x_0 \left(\Delta x_1 + \Delta x_2 + \Delta x_3 \right) \geq \lambda_P^2,$$

$$\Delta x_1 \Delta x_2 + \Delta x_2 \Delta x_3 + \Delta x_3 \Delta x_1 \geq \lambda_P^2$$

which are obtained using the following:

Minimal Principle (P0):

We cannot create a singularity just observing a system.

- Toghether with the Heisenberg principle (HP) (valid in Minkowksi).
- The uncertainties are tailored to the flat spacetime.
- On a curved spacetime we have to **replace** it with something else.
- We use **QFT on CST** and their comm. rel. in combination with **P0**.
- We shall perform such analysis on a spherically symmetric space.

Spherically symmetric case

- Spacetime is ℝ² × S², **retarded coordinates**: spanned by future null geodesic emanated from the center of the sphere
 - *u* the time on the worldline line of the center of \mathbb{S}^2
 - s affine parameter along the null geodesics with s(0) = 0 and $\dot{s}(0) = 1$.

$$ds^2 := -Adu^2 - 2dsdu + r^2 d\mathbb{S}^2$$

 Classical collapse of a massless scalar field has been studied by Christodoulou.

$$\Box \phi = \mathbf{0}$$

He has given a condition for the "energy content" on an initial null cone C₀ which implies the formation of a singularity inside of the cone.

$$T_{ss} = \partial_s \phi \partial_s \phi$$

Classical condition

Proposition

Consider a region of the initial null cone C_0 contained within the two spherical sections determined by r_1 and r_2 . If

$$rac{r_2}{r_1} \in (1, 3/2)$$
 and $rac{2(m_2 - m_1)}{r_2} \ge 1$

 J^+C_0 , the causal future of C_0 , contains a spacelike singularity.

Suppose $\frac{s_2}{s_1} < 3/2,$ then it holds that

$$\frac{m_2-m_1}{r_2} \ge \pi \int_{s_1}^{s_2} s \partial_s \phi \, ds = \pi \int_{s_1}^{s_2} s T_{ss} \, ds$$

thus, if

$$\int_{s_1}^{s_2} s \partial_s \phi \partial_s \phi \ ds \ge \frac{1}{2\pi}$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

a singularity appears in the interior of \mathcal{C}_0

Quantum constraint

Take a quantum state ω , after measuring $\phi(f)$ the state is

$$\omega_f(A) := \frac{\omega\left(\phi(f) \ A \ \phi(f)\right)}{\omega(\phi(f)\phi(f))}$$

Expectation values change accordingly

$$\langle Q \rangle_{f,0} := \omega_f(Q) - \omega(Q) \;.$$

in particular we get

$$\langle \phi(x)\phi(x)
angle_{f,0}\geq rac{|E(f,x)|^2}{\omega_2(f,f)}$$
 .

Quantize the "initial conditions" and use the "classical dynamics".
The restriction of a quantum theory on a null cone C₀ is well defined.
It is a sort of "Minimal" semiclassical description.

On \mathcal{C}_0 using only the from of E and the $|\omega_2(f, f)| \le ||f||_2 ||\partial f||_2$.

$$\int_{s_1}^{s_2} s \langle T_{ss} \rangle_{f,0} ds \geq \frac{\lambda_P^2}{{s_2}^2}$$

Combining it with the classical results, we have BH formation if

J

$$\frac{\lambda_P^2}{{s_2}^2} \ge \frac{1}{2\pi}$$

Notice that the support of f in the **detector** $\phi(f)$ on C_0 extends up to s_2 .

Proposition

If we use a detector supported in a sphere determined by s_2 we create a BH

It is an estimate of the **detector resolution**. Now using **(P0)** denying the proposition we get

$$\Delta s \gtrsim \lambda_P$$

which is compatible with the results in [DFR] valid for the flat case

$$\Delta s^2 \gtrsim \Delta t \Delta r \gtrsim \lambda_P^2$$

see also [Tomassini Viaggiu]

Semiclassical equations in cosmology

To improve the results treat the backreaction on the whole spacetime. We shall analyze this problem assuming: **homogeneity** and **isotropy**.

a spacetime
$$M = (I \times S, g)$$
 \blacksquare $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ "cosmological time"

■ *S* is a 3d manifold: the *"space"*.

Friedmann Robertson Walker metric

$$g=-dt^2+a^2(t)\left[rac{dr^2}{1-\kappa r^2}+r^2d\mathbb{S}^2(heta,arphi)
ight].$$

Dynamical degree of freedom a(t).

• $\kappa \simeq 0 \implies$ Conformally Flat.

- Recent observations: $a(t) = e^{Ht}$ the Hubble parameter H (very small, positive, almost constant).
- Once an initial condition is fixed, Einstein eq. are equivalent to

$$-R = 8\pi \langle T
angle, \qquad
abla^a \langle T_{ab}
angle = 0 \; .$$

Init. cond. $G_{00} - 8\pi \langle T_{00} \rangle = 0$ is satisfied up to some radiation.

Let us assume this point of view and consider a very simple matter model

$$P\varphi = 0$$
, $P = -\Box + \xi R + m^2$.

The quantization is solved once you give A(M) and a state ω, described by the class of n-point functions (correlation functions).

$$\langle \varphi(x_1) \dots \varphi(x_n) \rangle_{\omega} = \omega_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) \qquad \omega_n \in \mathcal{D}'(M^n).$$

T_{ab} shows products of fields evaluated at the same point (divergent).
 In Minkowksi these are "cured" subtracting the vacuum.

Question

What plays the role of the vacuum in a curved spacetime?

- Remnant of the **Spectrum Condition** ("no $k_0 < 0$ in $\widehat{\omega}_2(\mathbf{k})$ ")
- It has to be a local and covariant condition
- Idea: look at the directions in T^*M^2 of non rapid decrease common to all localized distributions $\widehat{\omega_2 f_{x_1,x_2}}(k_1, k_2)$
- Formalized using Hörmander microlocal analysis

Microlocal spectrum condition

Definition

 $\omega_2 \in \mathcal{D}'(M^2)$ satisfies the microlocal spectrum condition (μSC) if

$$\mathsf{WF}(\omega_2) = \left\{ (x_1, x_2, k_1, k_2) \in T^*M^2 \setminus \{0\} \mid (x_1, k_1) \sim (x_2, k_2), k_1 \triangleright 0 \right\}.$$

like the Minkowski vacuum

The Hadamard parametrix

Theorem (Radzikowski)

A state ω_2 satisfies $\mu SC \iff \omega_2$ is of Hadamard form:

$$\omega_2 = \mathcal{H} + W$$
 $\mathcal{H} = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} rac{U}{\sigma_\epsilon} + V \log\left(rac{\sigma_\epsilon}{\mu^2}
ight)$

 ${\cal H}$ depends on the local geometry and on μ only.

Regularization: subtract \mathcal{H} from ω_2 .

Regularization and stress tensor

We shall use the following stress tensor (with $\xi = 1/6$) [Moretti 03]

$$T_{ab} := \partial_{a}\varphi \partial_{b}\varphi - \frac{1}{6} \left[g_{ab} \left(\partial_{c}\varphi \partial^{c}\varphi + \left(m^{2} + R \right) \varphi^{2} \right) - R_{ab}\varphi^{2} + \nabla_{a}\partial_{b}\varphi^{2} \right]$$

Hence, on some Hadamard state ω

$$\langle T_{ab} \rangle_{\omega} = \lim_{x \to y} D_{ab} (\omega_2 - \mathcal{H})$$

In the considered procedure there is some freedom

- \mathcal{H} is not uniquely defined, it is known up to some smooth terms
- Local fields are **not** invariant ⇒ determined up to local counterterms **ambiguities** (renormalization freedom).
- The ambiguities have been studied and classified by [Hollands Wald]

QFT on CS in a nutshell

Conservation equations for T_{ab} are satisfied: $\nabla_a \langle T^a{}_b \rangle_\omega = 0$ but (un)-fortunately the **trace** is different from the classical one.

$$\langle T \rangle_{\omega} := rac{2[v_1]}{8\pi^2} + \left(-3\left(rac{1}{6} - \xi\right)\Box - m^2\right) \langle \varphi^2 \rangle_{\omega}.$$

More precisely ($\xi = 1/6$) [Wald 1978]

$$2[v_1] = \frac{1}{360} \left(C_{ijkl} C^{ijkl} + R_{ij} R^{ij} - \frac{R^2}{3} + \Box R \right) + \frac{m^4}{4}$$

The renormalization freedom for T is

$$\langle T' \rangle_{\omega} = \langle T \rangle_{\omega} + \alpha \ m^2 R + \beta \ m^4 + \gamma \Box R \; .$$

In $\langle T \rangle_{\omega}$, three contributions: $T_{anomalies} + T_{ren.freedom} + T_{state}$.

- Cancel $\Box R$ from the trace \Longrightarrow Wald's fifth axiom holds for T.
- We can **not** cancel *T*_{anomalies} completely.
- $T_{anomalies}$ is **not** a mixture of perfect fluids: $\rho = H^4$
- Similarities with f(R) gravity. But $f(R) \Longrightarrow$ unstable solutions.

Massive model

With $\kappa = 0$ and $\xi = 1/6$, the equation $-R = 8\pi \langle T \rangle$ becomes

$$-6\left(\dot{H}+2H^{2}\right)=-8\pi m^{2}\langle\varphi^{2}\rangle_{\omega}-\frac{1}{30\pi}\left(\dot{H}H^{2}+H^{4}\right)+\frac{m^{4}}{4\pi}$$

Important: The quantum state enters in the equations via $\langle \varphi^2 \rangle_{\omega}$ **Physical input:** We would like to use "vacuum states" i.e. $\langle \varphi^2 \rangle_{\omega} = 0$ **Impossible:** Adiabatic states, have similar properties

[Parker, Parker Fulling, Lüders Roberts, Junker Schrohe, Olbermann]

Assume (for the moment) $T_{state} = 0$ We have only $T_{anomalies}$ and $T_{ren.freedom} = \alpha R + \beta m^2$ The differential equation is an ordinary one \implies it can be solved

With some choice of α and β H = 0 and $H = H_+$ are stable solutions.



- (m = 0) a length scale is introduced (proportional to G).
 Two fixed points instead of one. [Wald 80, Starobinsky 80, Vilenkin 85]
- Quantum effects are **not negligible** at least in the past.
- $(m \neq 0) H_+$ is a renormalization constant.

Form of the initial singularity

Question

Where is the singularity t_0 in the Penrose diagram?

$$ds^2 = a^2 \left(-d\tau^2 + d\mathbf{x}^2 \right).$$

- Classical solution Radiation dominated: $\tau = \tau_0 + A(t - t_0)^{1/2} \rightarrow \tau_0$ for $t \rightarrow t_0$ Horizon problem.
- Quantum Corrections $\rho = 1/a(t)^2$: $\tau = \tau_0 + \log(t - t_0) \rightarrow -\infty$ for $t \rightarrow t_0$ Singularity is light like.

Power law inflation with **Null Big Bang** $\Im^- \cup i^-$

Fix the quantum state out of the asymptotic structure

- Consider the state $\omega_{1,0}$ which looks like a vacuum on \Im^- asymptotically.
- It is pure, homogeneous and isotropic [Lüders Roberts]

▶ Def.

Theorem

If M has a null Big Bang and

$$(1+(\tau+r)^2)(1+(\tau-r)^2)m^2a(\tau)^2$$

can be smoothly extended over $\Im^- \cup i^-$, then $\omega_{1,0}$ satisfies the μ SC.

Proof:
$$\omega_{1,0} = (\Delta \upharpoonright \Im^- \otimes \Delta \upharpoonright \Im^-) \circ \lambda$$



Exsistence and uniqueness of solutions at small time

- $\omega_{1,0}$ is an **asymptotic vacuum**. (Initial conditions of the problem)
- We search for solutions of $-R = 8\pi \langle T \rangle_{\omega_{1,0}}$ near **NBB** \Im^- .
- Indicating by $X := H^{-1}$, we rewrite the equation as:

$$rac{dX}{dt} = 1 - rac{X^2}{X_c^2 - X^2} + m^2 rac{C X^4}{X_c^2 - X^2} \langle arphi^2
angle_{\omega_{1,0}} \; .$$

It is **not** an ordinary differential equation.

• $\langle \varphi^2 \rangle_{\omega_{1,0}}$ is a functional of $X = H^{-1}$.

• To get existence of sol. \implies show that $X := \mathcal{T}(X)$. A fixed point for

$$\mathcal{T}(X) = \int_0^t \left[\frac{X_c^2 - 2X^2}{X_c^2 - X^2} + Cm^2 \frac{X^4}{X_c^2 - X^2} \langle \varphi^2 \rangle_{\omega_{1,0}} \right] dt'$$

Prove that \mathcal{T} is a contraction map on $B_c \subset \mathcal{B}$ then use **Banach** fixed point theorem. \bullet Def.

On $B_c \subset \mathcal{B}$ we have a well posed initial value problem

Theorem

For a sufficiently small t_0 , T is a contraction on B_c . Thus it exists one and only one X in B_c for which

$$X=\mathcal{T}(X)$$

Proof: We have to better analyze $\langle \varphi^2 \rangle_{\omega_{1,0}}$ and its first func. derivative

$$\begin{split} \langle \varphi^2 \rangle_{\omega_{1,0}} &:= \frac{1}{2\pi^2 a^2} \int_0^\infty k^2 dk \left[\overline{\chi}_k \chi_k - \Theta(k - ma) \left(\frac{1}{2k} - \frac{m^2 a^2}{4k^3} \right) \right] \\ \chi_k'' + (k^2 + m^2 a^2) \chi = 0 \end{split}$$

Sketch of proof

Some comments

- The found solution is C^2 .
- But there are smooth spacetimes as close as you want to that solution.
- The existence **does not depend on the state**, in the sense the theorem holds also for other initial conditions on ℑ⁻ provided the state is Hadamard.
- All these solutions show a typical phase of power law inflation which is then state independent.

• When smeared on constant time surfaces $\Delta_{\omega_{1,0}}T = 0$. • Proof

Summary

- Semiclassical Backreaction can be used to constraint the non commutativity.
- Semiclassical solutions of Einstein's equations can be found.

Some of their physical properties do not depend on the homogeneous state

Open Questions

- Is it possible to combine both results?
- Can we say something for the generic case?

Thanks a lot for your attention!

<□ > < @ > < E > < E > E のQ @

Appendix

Homogeneous Hadamard states in cosmological spacetime

The pure, homogeneous and isotropic [Lüders Roberts]

$$\omega_2(x,y) := \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\overline{T_k}(x_0)}{a(x_0)} \frac{T_k(y_0)}{a(y_0)} e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot(x-y)} d\mathbf{k} ,$$

 T_k is a smooth function of τ , such that $\overline{T_k}T'_k - \overline{T_k}'T_k = i$ and

$$T_k''(\tau) + (m^2 a(\tau)^2 + k^2) T_k(\tau) = 0.$$

- Consider incoming plane waves χ_k(τ) ~ e^{-ikτ}/√2k for τ → -∞.
 Every T_k := A(k)χ_k + B(k) χ̄_k with |A(k)|² |B(k)|² = 1.
- The state depends upon A and B. We indicate it as ω_{AB} .

▶ back

Appendix

Fix an interval $(0, t_0)$ then impose the following initial conditions

$$X(0) = 0$$
, $a(t_0) = a_0 = \lambda t_0$, $\tau(t_0) = \tau_0$

a and τ are functional of X.

Banach space $\mathcal{B} := \{ f \in C^1(0, t_0) , f(0) = 0 \}$. Norm

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}} := \sup_{(0,t_0)} \left|\dot{f}\right|$$
.

Closed ball $B_c \subset \mathcal{B}$ where $\frac{2}{3} < c < 1$. Radius 1/2 - c/2. Center



Properties of the elements of B_c

- Implements the initial condition X(0) = 0
- t = 0 corresponds to $\Im^- \implies NBB$ Power law inflationary scenario
- For every smooth X in B_c , $\omega_{1,0}$ is well posed and Hadamard

Analysis of φ^2

▶ back

$$\langle \varphi^2(x) \rangle_{\omega_{1,0}} = \lim_{y \to x} \left[\omega_{1,0}(x,y) - \mathcal{H}(x,y) \right] + \alpha R + \beta m^2$$

Prescription for fixing the renormalization freedom:

• Minkowski spacetime on Minkowski vacuum, fixes β .

• α changes the value of H_c or $X_c \Longrightarrow H_c$ is a ren. constant

We regularize on Minkowski spacetime the problem $-\Box_{\mathbb{M}} ilde{arphi}+(ma)^2 ilde{arphi}=0$

$$\lim_{y\to x} \mathcal{H}(y,x) - \frac{1}{a(\tau_x)a(\tau_y)} \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{M}}(y,x) = \frac{m^2}{8\pi^2} \log a + \alpha' R \; .$$

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲国▶ ▲国▶ ▲国 ● ● ●

Other reg. scheme

Point splitting at fixed time, then it is enough to subtract

$$\mathcal{H}^0_\mathbb{M}(y,x) := rac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \left(rac{2}{\sigma_\epsilon} + m^2 \mathsf{a}(au_x)^2 \log\left(rac{\sigma_\epsilon}{\lambda^2}
ight)
ight)$$

Comparison with the first order adiabatic approximation

$$\mathcal{H}^{0}_{\mathbb{M}}(y,x) - rac{1}{(2\pi)^{3}}\int rac{e^{i\mathbf{k}(y-\mathbf{x})}}{2\sqrt{\mathbf{k}^{2}+m^{2}a(au)^{2}}} \;\; d^{3}\mathbf{k}$$

is a continuous function

$$\langle \varphi^2 \rangle_{\omega_{1,0}} :=$$

$$\frac{1}{2\pi^2 a^2} \int_0^\infty k^2 dk \left[\overline{\chi}_k \chi_k - \Theta(k - ma) \left(\frac{1}{2k} - \frac{m^2 a^2}{4k^3} \right) \right] - \frac{m^2}{8\pi^2} + \alpha R,$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 三臣 - のへ⊙

Appendix

Construction of the χ

$$\chi_k'' + (k^2 + m^2 a^2)\chi = 0$$

Perturbative const. over the massless solution $\chi_k^0(a,\tau)(t) = \frac{e^{-ik\tau(t)}}{\sqrt{2k}}$

$$\chi_{k} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \chi_{k}^{n}$$
$$\chi_{k}^{n}(t) = -\int_{0}^{t} \frac{\sin(k(\tau - \tau'))}{k} a(t') m^{2} \chi_{k}^{n-1}(t') dt',$$

-

Proposition

The series converges absolutely on $[0, t_0]$, and

$$|\chi_k| \leq rac{1}{\sqrt{2k}} \exp\left(rac{m^2 a(t)t}{k}
ight), \qquad |\chi_k| \leq rac{1}{\sqrt{2k}} \exp\left(m^2 t^2
ight).$$

Every
$$\chi_k^n$$
 is $O(m^{2n}) \frown \mathsf{back}$

Analysis of the fluctuations

The solution is meaningful provided the variance of $T_{\mu}{}^{\mu}$ is small

- The anomaly is a *C*-number
- \blacksquare The variance of $\langle \varphi^2 \rangle$

$$\Delta_{\omega}(\varphi^2) := \omega(\varphi^2 \varphi^2) - \omega(\varphi^2) \omega(\varphi^2)$$

diverges: it is proportional to $\omega_2 \cdot \omega_2(x,x)$

When smeared the situation is better, consider the family centered in $x_{ au}$

$$f_{n_1,n_2}(\tau',\mathbf{x}) = \frac{n_1}{n_2^3} f\left(n_1(\tau'-\tau)+\tau,\frac{\mathbf{x}}{n_2}\right)$$

where

$$f(x_{\tau}) = 1, \qquad \int_{M} f \, d\mu(g) = 1, \qquad f \ge 0$$

We study the limit

$$\lim_{n_1\to\infty}\lim_{n_2\to\infty}\left[R(f_{n_1,n_2})+8\pi\langle T\rangle_{\omega}(f_{n_1,n_2})\right]=R(x_{\tau})+8\pi\langle T\rangle_{\omega}(x_{\tau})$$

Theorem

We have

$$\lim_{n_2\to\infty}\Delta_{\omega_{1,0}}(\varphi^2(f_{n_1,n_2}))=0.$$

In a weaker sense, the solution we have found is meaningful also when H is very large.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 三臣 - のへで

back

Variance

Comparison with the ACDM model

• The late time behavior is **not** under control \implies some assumptions Before "local vacuum": $\langle \varphi^2 \rangle_{\omega} \sim 0$ with certain α and β Now "local thermal state": $\langle \varphi^2 \rangle_{\omega} \sim \frac{T^3}{a^3} + O\left(\frac{1}{a^5}\right)$

(A minimal model with two fields a massive scalar field a massless one)

$$H^2 = H_*^2 \pm \sqrt{H_*^4 - \frac{C_1}{a^4} - C_2 - C_3 \frac{T^3}{a^3}}$$

- lower branch if H⁴_{*} is very large we get ACDM plus quantum correction
 upper branch looks crazy (the energies appear with negative sign)
- Phenomenological law for the luminosity distance μ (spatial distance) w.r.t. red-shift $z = \frac{1}{a} 1$ (temporal distance) for the SN1a explosions.

$$\mu(z) = 5 \log \left((1+z) \int_0^z \frac{1}{H(z')} dz'
ight) + K$$

• Compare it with observations: best fit is obtained by minimizing χ^2 .

Variance



・ロト ・ 日 ト ・ モ ト ・ モ ト

æ

Union2 supernova compilation [Amanullah et al. 2010]