# Centers of $C^*$ -algebras rich in modular ideals

Aldo J. Lazar Tel Aviv University

EU - NCG 4th Annual Meeting Bucharest, Romania, April 25 - 30, 2011 Clearly every primitive ideal of a  $C^*$ -algebra A that does not contain the center of A is modular. It is also obvious that the set of all these ideals is open in Prim(A). Thus, if the center of A is nonzero, the set of its modular primitive ideals has a nonempty interior in Prim(A).

Clearly every primitive ideal of a  $C^*$ -algebra A that does not contain the center of A is modular. It is also obvious that the set of all these ideals is open in Prim(A). Thus, if the center of A is nonzero, the set of its modular primitive ideals has a nonempty interior in Prim(A).

The main purpose of a 1968 paper of C. Anantharaman-Delaroche is an investigation of the converse: does the existence of a nonempty open set of modular primitive ideals imply a nonzero center? Among other results, an affirmative answer is obtained for liminal  $C^*$ -algebras.

Clearly every primitive ideal of a  $C^*$ -algebra A that does not contain the center of A is modular. It is also obvious that the set of all these ideals is open in Prim(A). Thus, if the center of A is nonzero, the set of its modular primitive ideals has a nonempty interior in Prim(A).

The main purpose of a 1968 paper of C. Anantharaman-Delaroche is an investigation of the converse: does the existence of a nonempty open set of modular primitive ideals imply a nonzero center? Among other results, an affirmative answer is obtained for liminal  $C^*$ -algebras.

However, two examples of postliminal  $C^*$ -algebras with zero center are given there: one separable which has a nonempty open set of modular primitive ideals and another one that is nonseparable but whose all primitive ideals are modular.

くぼう くほう くほう

I discuss conditions which ensure that a  $C^*$ -algebra with 'many' modular ideals has a nonzero center. In particular, I shall treat the case of a postliminal algebra. Further I shall give an example of a postliminal AF algebra with zero center whose all primitive ideals are modular. This answers a question of Anantharaman-Delaroche in that 1968 paper.

By the term ideal we shall mean everywhere a two sided closed ideal. Id(A) will denote the collection of all the ideals of the C\*-algebra A. For  $I \in Id(A)$  we shall let  $\theta_I : A \to A/I$  be the quotient map. By the term ideal we shall mean everywhere a two sided closed ideal. Id(A) will denote the collection of all the ideals of the C\*-algebra A. For  $I \in Id(A)$  we shall let  $\theta_I : A \to A/I$  be the quotient map.

On Id(A) I shall consider a compact Hausdorff topology; a net  $\{I_{\alpha}\}$  converges to I in this topology if and only if  $\|\theta_{I_{\alpha}}(a)\| \to \|\theta_{I}(a)\|$  for every  $a \in A$ . This topology was discussed on some length by R. Archbold in a paper on primal ideals. If it is not mentioned otherwise, Id(A) and its subsets will be endowed with this topology.

By the term ideal we shall mean everywhere a two sided closed ideal. Id(A) will denote the collection of all the ideals of the C\*-algebra A. For  $I \in Id(A)$  we shall let  $\theta_I : A \to A/I$  be the quotient map.

On Id(A) I shall consider a compact Hausdorff topology; a net  $\{I_{\alpha}\}$  converges to I in this topology if and only if  $\|\theta_{I_{\alpha}}(a)\| \to \|\theta_{I}(a)\|$  for every  $a \in A$ . This topology was discussed on some length by R. Archbold in a paper on primal ideals. If it is not mentioned otherwise, Id(A) and its subsets will be endowed with this topology.

However, on the primitive ideal space of A, denoted Prim(A), I shall always work with the usual Jacobson topology.

▲圖 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ …

A primal ideal I of a  $C^*$ -algebra A is defined by the following property: whenever  $I_1, \ldots, I_n$ ,  $n \ge 2$ , are ideals of A such that  $I_1 \cdot I_2 \cdots I_n = \{0\}$  then  $I_k \subseteq I$  for some k. Every prime (in particular every primitive) ideal is primal and by using Zorn's lemma one sees that every primal ideal must contain a minimal primal ideal. The collection of all the minimal primal ideals of A is denoted by Min-Primal(A). A primal ideal I of a  $C^*$ -algebra A is defined by the following property: whenever  $I_1, \ldots, I_n$ ,  $n \ge 2$ , are ideals of A such that  $I_1 \cdot I_2 \cdots I_n = \{0\}$  then  $I_k \subseteq I$  for some k. Every prime (in particular every primitive) ideal is primal and by using Zorn's lemma one sees that every primal ideal must contain a minimal primal ideal. The collection of all the minimal primal ideals of A is denoted by Min-Primal(A).

Two primitive ideals P, Q of the  $C^*$ -algebra A are said to be equivalent if f(P) = f(Q) for every continuous  $f : Prim(A) \to \mathbb{C}$ . Each equivalence class is the hull of an ideal called a Glimm ideal of A; the collection of these ideals is denoted  $\operatorname{Glimm}(A)$  and the quotient map  $\phi_A : \operatorname{Prim}(A) \to \operatorname{Glimm}(A)$  is called the complete regularization map; it was introduced in the well known Memoir of Dauns-Hofmann and discussed further in a 1990 paper of R. Archbold and D. Somerset.  $\operatorname{Glimm}(A)$  will be considered with its quotient topology induced by this map.

ヘロン 不聞と 不良と 不良とう 間

The Dauns-Hofmann theorem implies that if  $a \in A$  and  $f : \operatorname{Glimm}(A) \to \mathbb{C}$  is a bounded continuous function then there is a unique  $b \in A$  such that  $\theta_G(b) = f(G)\theta_G(a)$  for every  $G \in \operatorname{Glimm}(A)$ .

The Dauns-Hofmann theorem implies that if  $a \in A$  and  $f : \operatorname{Glimm}(A) \to \mathbb{C}$  is a bounded continuous function then there is a unique  $b \in A$  such that  $\theta_G(b) = f(G)\theta_G(a)$  for every  $G \in \operatorname{Glimm}(A)$ .

An ideal I is called semi-Glimm if it contains a Glimm ideal; this Glimm ideal is necessarily unique since its hull must contain the hull of I. Obviously every Glimm ideal is semi-Glimm and every proper primal ideal is semi-Glimm by a result of Archbold and Somerset. Set S - Glimm(A)for the family of all the semi-Glimm ideals of A and let  $\psi_A$  be the map that takes each  $I \in S - \text{Glimm}(A)$  to the Glimm ideal it contains. It is easily seen that  $\psi_A$  is continuous.

#### **Positive results**

Aldo J. Lazar (Tel Aviv University) Centers of  $C^*$ -algebras rich in modular ideals

2

<ロ> (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

#### **Positive results**

A family  $\mathcal{F}$  of ideals of the  $C^*$ -algebra A is called sufficiently large if  $\cup \{\operatorname{Prim}(A/I) \mid I \in \mathcal{F}\}$  is dense in  $\operatorname{Prim}(A)$ .

#### Theorem

Let A be a C\*-algebra that has a countable approximate identity and suppose there exists a sufficiently large Baire subspace S of <u>S</u> – Glimm(A) consisting of modular ideals. Suppose, moreover, that every non-void (relatively) open subset of S contains the preimage by  $\psi_A^S := \psi_A | S$  of a non-void relatively open subset of  $\psi_A^S(S) \subseteq \underline{Glimm}(A)$ . Then A has a non-zero center. The first consequence one can draw from the above result has also been obtained by Archbold and Somerset in a paper to appear in Münster J. Math.

## Proposition

Let A be a C<sup>\*</sup>-algebra with a countable approximate identity. Suppose that  $\phi_A : \underline{Prim}(A) \rightarrow \underline{Glimm}(A)$  is open and each Glimm ideal is modular. Then A has a non-zero center. The first consequence one can draw from the above result has also been obtained by Archbold and Somerset in a paper to appear in Münster J. Math.

#### Proposition

Let A be a C<sup>\*</sup>-algebra with a countable approximate identity. Suppose that  $\phi_A : \underline{Prim}(A) \rightarrow \underline{Glimm}(A)$  is open and each Glimm ideal is modular. Then A has a non-zero center.

From the openness of the the complete regularization map one infers that  $G \to ||\theta(a)||$  is continuous on  $(\operatorname{Glimm}(A), \tau_q)$  for every  $a \in A$ , hence the identity map from  $(\operatorname{Glimm}(A), \tau)$  to  $(\operatorname{Glimm}(A), \tau_q)$ , which is the restriction of  $\psi_A$  to  $(\operatorname{Glimm}(A), \tau)$ , is a homeomorphism. From the fact that  $\phi_A$  is open one also infers that  $(\operatorname{Glimm}(A), \tau_q)$  is a locally compact Hausdorff space hence a Baire space. The Theorem yields the conclusion.

・ 何 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

A topological space X is called quasi-completely regular if for every non-void open subset U of X there is a non-zero real valued continuous function on X that is identically 0 on  $X \setminus U$ . Such spaces were called "quasi-uniformisable" by Anantharaman-Delaroche but this term is nowadays used in another sense in topology.

# Proposition

Let A be a C<sup>\*</sup>-algebra that has a countable approximate identity. Suppose that every minimal primal ideal of A is modular and Prim(A) is quasi-completely regular. Then A has a non-zero center.

### Proposition

Let A be a  $C^*$ -algebra that has a countable approximate identity. Suppose that every minimal primal ideal of A is modular and Prim(A) is quasi-completely regular. Then A has a non-zero center.

The restriction  $\varphi_A$  of  $\psi_A$  to Min-Primal(A) maps this space onto  $\operatorname{Glimm}(A)$ , again since every primitive ideal contains a minimal primal ideal. One must show that every non-void open subset of Min-Primal(A)contains the preimage by  $\varphi_A$  of an open subset of  $\operatorname{Glimm}(A)$ . So let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a non-void open subset of Min-Primal(A). By using the fact, proved by Archbold, that  $\tau$  on Min-Primal(A) is equal to the restriction of a weaker topology on Id(A) one gets an ideal J of A such that  $\{I \in Min-Primal(A) \mid J \not\subseteq I\} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ . Prim(A) is quasi-completely regular so there exists a non-zerocontinuous function  $f : Prim(A) \to \mathbb{R}$  that vanishes off  $\operatorname{Prim}(J)$ . Let  $g : \operatorname{Glimm}(A) \to \mathbb{R}$  be such that  $f = g \circ \phi_A$ . Then  $\{G \in \operatorname{Glimm}(A) \mid g(G) > 0\}$  is open and its preimage by  $\varphi_A$  is contained in  $\mathcal{V}$ . The other conditions of the Theorem are easily verified.

The  $C^*$ -algebra obtained by adjoining a unit to the ideal of compact operators on an infinite-dimensional Hilbert space is an example that satisfies the conditions of the first Proposition but not those of the second Proposition. There is an example of a  $C^*$ -algebra in the situation described by the second Proposition for which the complete regularization map is not open. The  $C^*$ -algebra obtained by adjoining a unit to the ideal of compact operators on an infinite-dimensional Hilbert space is an example that satisfies the conditions of the first Proposition but not those of the second Proposition. There is an example of a  $C^*$ -algebra in the situation described by the second Proposition for which the complete regularization map is not open.

A C<sup>\*</sup>-algebra A was called by Anantharaman-Delaroche generalized quasi-central if for every ideal I of A,  $I \neq A$ , the center of A/I is non-zero.

#### Corollary

Let A be a C\*-algebra that has a countable approximate identity. Suppose that every minimal primal ideal of A is modular and every closed subset of Prim(A) is a quasi-completely regular space with its relative topology. Then A is generalized quasi-central

( ロ ト ( 伺 ト ( 三 ト ( 三 ト

The  $C^*$ -algebra obtained by adjoining a unit to the ideal of compact operators on an infinite-dimensional Hilbert space is an example that satisfies the conditions of the first Proposition but not those of the second Proposition. There is an example of a  $C^*$ -algebra in the situation described by the second Proposition for which the complete regularization map is not open.

A C<sup>\*</sup>-algebra A was called by Anantharaman-Delaroche generalized quasi-central if for every ideal I of A,  $I \neq A$ , the center of A/I is non-zero.

#### Corollary

Let A be a C\*-algebra that has a countable approximate identity. Suppose that every minimal primal ideal of A is modular and every closed subset of Prim(A) is a quasi-completely regular space with its relative topology. Then A is generalized quasi-central

( ロ ト ( 伺 ト ( 三 ト ( 三 ト

Obviously every quasi-completely regular space has the property that every non-empty open subset contains a closed subset with non-empty interior. In certain topological spaces this easily verifiable property implies that the space is quasi-completely regular. Namely, this is true for locally compact spaces that contain an open dense Hausdorff subset. Obviously every quasi-completely regular space has the property that every non-empty open subset contains a closed subset with non-empty interior. In certain topological spaces this easily verifiable property implies that the space is quasi-completely regular. Namely, this is true for locally compact spaces that contain an open dense Hausdorff subset.

## Proposition

Let A be a postliminal C\*-algebra with a countable approximate identity. Suppose that every minimal primal ideal of A is modular and Prim(A) has the property that every non-void open subset of Prim(A) contains a closed subset with non-empty interior. Then A has a non-zero center.

#### An example

æ

<ロ> (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

#### An example

As promised I present now a postliminal (separable) AF algebra whose all primitive ideals are modular but with center reduced to  $\{0\}$ . As a matter of fact, all the minimal primal ideals of this algebra are modular so the hypothesis made on the primitive ideal space in the last Proposition cannot be eliminated.



This is a Bratteli diagram of an AF algebra, A say. In this diagram the first vertex of the connected sequence  $a_1$  should be thought at the level 1 while the first vertex of the connected sequence  $a_n$  should be imagined at the level 1 + 2(n - 1).

This is a Bratteli diagram of an AF algebra, A say. In this diagram the first vertex of the connected sequence  $a_1$  should be thought at the level 1 while the first vertex of the connected sequence  $a_n$  should be imagined at the level 1 + 2(n - 1).

Recall that a subdiagram E of a diagram D of an AF algebra A is the diagram of an ideal I of A if and only if E has the following two properties: the descendants of every vertex of E belong to E and if every descendant of a vertex belongs to E then that vertex itself belongs to E. If this is the case then  $D \setminus E$  is a diagram of A/I. The ideal I is primitive if and only if every two vertices in  $D \setminus E$  have a common descendant in  $D \setminus E$ .

(4 回) (4 \Pi) (4 \Pi)

It can be immediately checked that the diagram presented has the property that for every connected sequence  $\{x_m\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$  in it, the vertex  $x_{m+1}$  is a descendant of  $x_m$  with multiplicity one. Hence, by a result of AJL and D. Taylor (1980), A is a postliminal algebra.

It can be immediately checked that the diagram presented has the property that for every connected sequence  $\{x_m\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$  in it, the vertex  $x_{m+1}$  is a descendant of  $x_m$  with multiplicity one. Hence, by a result of AJL and D. Taylor (1980), A is a postliminal algebra.

By direct examination one finds that the primitive quotients of A have one of the following diagrams:  $\{a_n\}$ ,  $\{a_n, b_n\}$ ,  $\{a_n, c_n, d_n, e_n\}$ ,  $\{d_n\}$ ,  $\{e_n\}$ ,  $\{e_n, f_n\}$ ,  $\{e_n, g_n, h_n, a_{n+1}\}$ ,  $\{h_n\}$ , n = 1, 2, ... Denote the primitive ideals determined by the complementary diagrams by  $P_n$ ,  $Q_n$ ,  $R_n$ ,  $S_n$ ,  $T_n$ ,  $U_n$ ,  $V_n$ ,  $W_n$ , n = 1, 2, ..., respectively.

All the quotients of A by its minimal primal ideals are modular. Indeed, by a result of F. Beckhoff, an ideal I of an AF algebra is primal if and only if its associated diagram  $D_I$  has the property that every finite set of vertices not in  $D_I$  has a common descendant in the diagram of the algebra. It is then easily seen that all the diagrams of the quotients of A by the minimal primal ideals are  $\{a_n, b_n\}$ ,  $\{a_n, c_n, d_n, e_n\}$ ,  $\{e_n, f_n\}$ ,  $\{e_n, g_n, h_n, a_{n+1}\}$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \ldots$  and all these are diagrams of unital AF algebras. Now I am going to show that there are no nonzero elements in the center of A. To this end I prove that every real valued continuous function on Prim(A) is constant. First remark that by the definition of the hull-kernel topology of the primitive ideal space we have:

$$\overline{\{P_n\}} = \{P_n\}, \overline{\{Q_n\}} = \{Q_n, P_n\}, \overline{\{R_n\}} = \{R_n, P_n, S_n, T_n\}, \overline{\{S_n\}} = \{S_n\},$$
$$\overline{\{T_n\}} = \{T_n\}, \overline{\{U_n\}} = \{U_n, T_n\}, \overline{\{V_n\}} = \{V_n, T_n, W_n, P_{n+1}\}, \overline{\{W_n\}} = \{W_n\}.$$

Now I am going to show that there are no nonzero elements in the center of A. To this end I prove that every real valued continuous function on Prim(A) is constant. First remark that by the definition of the hull-kernel topology of the primitive ideal space we have:

$$\overline{\{P_n\}} = \{P_n\}, \overline{\{Q_n\}} = \{Q_n, P_n\}, \overline{\{R_n\}} = \{R_n, P_n, S_n, T_n\}, \overline{\{S_n\}} = \{S_n\},$$
$$\overline{\{T_n\}} = \{T_n\}, \overline{\{U_n\}} = \{U_n, T_n\}, \overline{\{V_n\}} = \{V_n, T_n, W_n, P_{n+1}\}, \overline{\{W_n\}} = \{W_n\}.$$

If  $f : \operatorname{Prim}(A) \to \mathbb{R}$  is a continuous function with  $f(P_1) = \cdots = f((P_n) = \alpha$ , then by the above equalities we must have  $\alpha = f(P_n) = f(Q_n) = f(R_n) = f(S_n) = f(T_n) = f(U_n) = f(V_n) = f(W_n) = f(P_{n+1})$  and we conclude that f is a constant function.

・ 何 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト … ヨ

One gathers from the Dauns-Hofmann theorem that the center of the multiplier algebra of *A* consists only of the scalar multiples of the unit. On the other hand, *A* has no unit since vertices without ancestors appear at infinitely many levels and we are done.

# Thank you for your attention



æ

(日) (同) (三) (三)