

MAT324: Real Analysis – Fall 2016
ASSIGNMENT 10 – SOLUTIONS

Problem 1: Let λ_1, λ_2 and μ be measures on a measurable space (X, \mathcal{F}) . Show that if $\lambda_1 \ll \mu$ and $\lambda_2 \ll \mu$ then $(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) \ll \mu$.

SOLUTION. Suppose $E \in \mathcal{F}$ is such that $\mu(E) = 0$. Since $\lambda_1 \ll \mu$ and $\lambda_2 \ll \mu$, $\lambda_1(E) = \lambda_2(E) = 0$, so $(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)(E) = 0$, thus $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 \ll \mu$. \square

Problem 2: Let $X = [0, 1]$ with Lebesgue measure and consider probability measures μ and ν given by densities f and g as follows

$$\nu(E) = \int_E f \, dm \quad \text{and} \quad \mu(E) = \int_E g \, dm,$$

for every measurable subset $E \subset [0, 1]$. Suppose $f(x), g(x) > 0$ for every $x \in [0, 1]$. Is ν absolutely continuous with respect to μ (that is $\nu \ll \mu$)? If it is, determine the Radon-Nikodym derivative $\frac{d\nu}{d\mu}$. Is μ absolutely continuous with respect to ν (that is $\mu \ll \nu$)?

SOLUTION. Let E be a Lebesgue measurable set and let m denote the Lebesgue measure. Notice that since $f > 0$, $\mu(E) = \int_E f \, dm = 0$ iff $m(E) = 0$. In particular, if $\mu(E) = 0$, then $\nu(E) = \int_E g \, dm = 0$, for $m(E) = 0$, so $\nu \ll \mu$. The argument to show that $\mu \ll \nu$ is similar. Furthermore, since $\nu \ll \mu \ll m$, by proposition 7.7, (ii) in the textbook,

$$\frac{d\nu}{dm} = \frac{d\nu}{d\mu} \frac{d\mu}{dm},$$

so $\frac{d\nu}{d\mu} = \frac{f}{g}$. \square

Problem 3: Suppose μ is a σ -finite measure on $([0, 1], \mathcal{F})$ and $E_1, E_2, \dots, E_{2014}$ are measurable subsets of $[0, 1]$. Define ν on \mathcal{F} by $\nu(E) = \sum_{k=1}^{2014} \mu(E \cap E_k)$. Show that $\nu \ll \mu$ and find the Radon-Nikodym derivative $\frac{d\nu}{d\mu}$.

SOLUTION. Notice that

$$\mu(E \cap E_k) = \int_E \chi_{E_k} \, dm,$$

So for each measure ν_k , defined by $\nu_k(E) = \mu(E \cap E_k)$, we have $\nu_k \ll m$. By problem 2, $\nu = \sum_{k=1}^{2014} \nu_k \ll m$. In addition, from $\nu_k(E) = \int_E \chi_{E_k} \, dm$, we know that $\frac{d\nu_k}{dm} = \chi_{E_k}$. By proposition 7.7 (i) in the textbook, $\frac{d\nu}{dm} = \sum_{k=1}^{2014} \chi_{E_k}$. \square

Problem 4: Let $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \mu$ be measures on a σ -algebra \mathcal{F} . Show that

- a) If $\lambda_1 \perp \mu$ and $\lambda_2 \perp \mu$ then $(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) \perp \mu$.
- b) If $\lambda_1 \ll \mu$ and $\lambda_2 \perp \mu$ then $\lambda_2 \perp \lambda_1$.

SOLUTION.

- a) If $\lambda_1 \perp \mu$ and $\lambda_2 \perp \mu$ then there exist disjoint sets $A_i, B_i, i = 1, 2$, such that $A_i \cup B_i = X$, $\mu(A_i) = 0, \lambda_i(B_i) = 0$. Then the sets $A = A_1 \cup A_2$ and $B = B_1 \cap B_2$ satisfy $X = A \cup B$, $A \cap B = \emptyset$, and

$$\begin{aligned}\mu(A) &\leq \mu(A_1) + \mu(A_2) = 0 \\ (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)(B) &\leq \lambda_1(B_1) + \lambda_2(B_2) = 0\end{aligned}$$

This implies that $(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) \perp \mu$.

- b) Suppose $\lambda_1 \ll \mu$ and $\lambda_2 \perp \mu$. Let $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$ be disjoint sets, $A \cup B = X$, and $\lambda_2(A) = 0, \mu(B) = 0$. Since $\lambda_1 \ll \mu$, we also have $\lambda_1(B) = 0$, so $\lambda_2 \perp \lambda_1$. \square

Problem 5: For a point x , define the Dirac measure δ_x to be

$$\delta_x(A) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in A \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin A. \end{cases}$$

For a fixed set B define the Lebesgue measure restricted to B by $m_B(A) = m(A \cap B)$. Let $\mu = \delta_1 + m_{[2,4]}$ and $\nu = \delta_0 + m_{(1,2)}$. Show that $\nu \perp \mu$.

SOLUTION. μ and ν are concentrated on disjoint sets, namely $\{1\} \cup [2, 4]$ and $\{0\} \cup (1, 2)$, respectively, so they are mutually singular. \square