# Strongly singular MASA's and mixing actions

#### Paul Jolissaint, Université de Neuchâtel

15 juin 2007

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < Ξ > < Ξ > □ Ξ □

## Contents

### Singular MASA's (= strongly singular MASA's)

((日)) (日) (日)

## Contents

### Singular MASA's (= strongly singular MASA's)

Weak mixing

- 4 回 2 4 三 2 4 三 2 4

## Contents

- Singular MASA's (= strongly singular MASA's)
- Weak mixing
- Strong mixing

- 4 回 2 4 三 2 4 三 2 4

*M* denotes a finite von Neumann algebra (with separable predual), and  $\tau$  denotes a normal, tracial state on *M*.

A will be an abelian von Neumann subalgebra of M and B will be an arbitrary von Neumann subalgebra of M.

A singular MASA in M is an abelian von Neumann subalgebra A whose normalizer in M

$$\mathcal{N}_M(A) := \{ u \in U(M) : uAu^* = A \}$$

is equal to U(A), i.e. is as small as possible (Dixmier, 1954).

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

S. Popa (1983) : Every separable type  ${\rm II}_1$  factor contains singular MASA's. However, it is hard in general to prove that a given MASA is singular.

**Example.** (F. Radulescu, 1991) Let  $L(F_N)$  be the factor associated to the non abelian free group on N generators  $X_1, \ldots, X_N$  and let A be the abelian von Neumann subalgebra generated by  $X_1 + \ldots + X_N + X_1^{-1} + \ldots + X_N^{-1}$ . Then A is a singular MASA in  $L(F_N)$ . A is called the *radial* or *Laplacian* subalgebra.

T. Bildea (2007) : For every positive integer k, the corresponding Laplacian subalgebra is a singular MASA in  $L(F_N)^{\overline{\otimes}k}$ .

A. Sinclair and R. Smith (2002) : An abelian von Neumann subalgebra A of M is *strongly singular* if

$$||E_{A} - E_{uAu^{*}}||_{\infty,2} := \sup_{x \in M, ||x|| \le 1} ||E_{A}(x) - E_{uAu^{*}}(x)||_{2} \ge ||u - E_{A}(u)||_{2}$$

for every unitary  $u \in M$ . Obviously, strong singularity implies singularity.

In fact, it was proved by Sinclair, Smith, White and Wiggins in 2005 that all singular MASA's are strongly singular. Nevertheless, it is sometimes easier to prove directly strong singularity.

A sufficient condition (denoted henceforth by (SS)) : **Proposition.** (Robertson, Sinclair, Smith, 2003) Suppose that the pair  $A \subset M$  satisfies the following condition :  $\forall x, y \in M$  and  $\forall \varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $v \in U(A)$  such that

$$\|E_A(xvy) - E_A(x)vE_A(y)\|_2 \leq \varepsilon.$$

Then A is a strongly singular MASA in M.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

*Proof.* Fix  $u \in U(M)$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , and take  $x = u^*$ , y = u. There exists  $v \in U(A)$  such that

$$||E_A(u^*vu) - E_A(u^*)vE_A(u)||_2 = ||E_A(v^*u^*vu) - E_A(u^*)E_A(u)||_2 \le \varepsilon.$$

(Commutativity of A is essential here!) Hence, we get :

$$\begin{split} \|E_{A} - E_{uAu^{*}}\|_{\infty,2}^{2} &\geq \|v - uE_{A}(u^{*}vu)u^{*}\|_{2}^{2} \\ &= \|u^{*}vu - E_{A}(u^{*}vu)\|_{2}^{2} \\ &= 1 - \|E_{A}(u^{*}vu)\|_{2}^{2} \\ &\geq 1 - (\|E_{A}(u^{*})vE_{A}(u)\|_{2} + \varepsilon)^{2} \\ &\geq 1 - (\|E_{A}(u)\|_{2} + \varepsilon)^{2} \\ &= \|u - E_{A}(u)\|_{2}^{2} - 2\varepsilon \|E_{A}(u)\|_{2} - \varepsilon^{2}. \end{split}$$

As  $\varepsilon$  is arbitrary, we get the desired inequality.

- 4 同 6 4 日 6 4 日 6

Earlier, Sinclair and Smith (2002) used a stronger condition (AH) : Given  $v \in U(A)$ , the conditional expectation  $E_A$  is an *asymptotic* homomorphism with respect to v if

$$\lim_{|k|\to\infty} \|E_A(xv^ky) - E_A(x)v^kE_A(y)\|_2 = 0$$

for all  $x, y \in M$ .

Both conditions (SS) and (AH) remind mixing properties of group actions because of the following equality (A abelian is crucial) :

$$\|E_A(vxv^*y) - E_A(x)E_A(y)\|_2 = \|E_A(xv^*y) - E_A(x)v^*E_A(y)\|_2$$
  
$$\forall x, y \in M, \ \forall v \in U(A).$$

(ロ) (同) (E) (E) (E)

Let  $\Gamma$  be a (countable) group and let  $\alpha$  be a  $\tau$ -preserving action of  $\Gamma$  on M. Recall that it is *weakly mixing* if, for every finite set  $F \subset M$  and for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $g \in \Gamma$  such that

$$| au(lpha_g(a)b) - au(a) au(b)| < \varepsilon \quad \forall a, b \in F.$$

Relative version (S. Popa, 2005) : If  $1 \in B \subset M$  is a von Neumann subalgebra such that  $\alpha_g(B) = B \ \forall g \in \Gamma$ , the action  $\alpha$  is called *weakly mixing relative to B* if, for every finite set  $F \subset M \ominus N$ , for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , one can find  $g \in \Gamma$  such that

$$\|E_B(x^*lpha_g(y))\|_2 \le \varepsilon \quad \forall x, y \in F.$$

イロン イヨン イヨン イヨン

**Lemma.** (S. Popa)  $\alpha$  is weakly mixing relative to B if and only if, every  $\xi \in L^2(\langle M, e \rangle, \operatorname{Tr})$  which is  $\Gamma$ -invariant belongs to  $L^2(e\langle M, e \rangle e) = L^2(Be)$ . (The corresponding action on  $\langle M, e \rangle$  is given by  $\alpha_g^B(xey) := \alpha_g(x)e\alpha_g(y)$  for all  $x, y \in M$ .)

In this talk from now on : G is a (countable) subgroup of the unitary group U(A) and it acts on M by conjugation :

$$\sigma_{v}(x) = vxv^{*} \quad \forall v \in G, \ \forall x \in M.$$

**Definition 1.** The abelian von Neumann subalgebra A is *weakly* mixing in M if there exists a subgroup G of U(A) such that the corresponding action by conjugation is weakly mixing relative to A in Popa's sense.

As already observed, it is equivalent to say that for every finite set  $F \subset M$  and for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $v \in G$  such that

$$\|E_A(xvy) - E_A(x)vE_A(y)\|_2 \le \varepsilon \quad \forall x, y \in F.$$

**Proposition 1.** If A is weakly mixing in M then it is a strongly singular MASA in M.

**Proposition 2.** Let  $\Gamma_0$  be an abelian group which acts on a finite von Neumann algebra N and which preserves a trace  $\tau$ , then the abelian von Neumann subalgebra  $A = L(\Gamma_0)$  of the crossed product  $M = N \rtimes \Gamma_0$  is weakly mixing in M iff the action of  $\Gamma_0$  is.

### Examples.

Let  $\Gamma$  be a group and let  $\Gamma_0$  be an abelian subgroup of  $\Gamma$ . Set  $M = L(\Gamma)$  and  $A = L(\Gamma_0)$ . Here is a condition which ensures that A is a weakly mixing MASA in M:

**Proposition 3.** (Robertson, Sinclair, Smith, 2003) If the pair  $(\Gamma, \Gamma_0)$  satisfies the following condition (SS) : for all finite sets  $C, D \subset \Gamma \setminus \Gamma_0$ , one can find  $\gamma \in \Gamma_0$  such that  $g\gamma h \notin \Gamma_0$  for every  $g \in C$  and every  $h \in D$  then  $L(\Gamma_0)$  is a weakly mixing MASA in  $L(\Gamma)$ .

Geometric examples (RSS 2003) :  $\Gamma$  is a group of isometries of some metric space (X, d) and there exists a  $\Gamma_0$ -invariant subset Y of X such that

(C1) there exists a compact set  $K \subset Y$  such that  $\Gamma_0 K = Y$ ;

(C2) if  $Y \subset_{\delta} g_1 Y \cup g_2 Y \cup \ldots \cup g_n Y$  for some  $g_j$ 's in  $\Gamma$ , and some  $\delta > 0$ , then there exists j such that  $g_j \in \Gamma_0$ .

Then the pair  $(\Gamma, \Gamma_0)$  satisfies condition (SS). In a first class of examples,  $\Gamma$  is the fundamental group of a compact locally symmetric space, hence  $X = SL_n(\mathbb{R})/SO_n(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\Gamma_0 = \langle \gamma_0 \rangle$  where  $\gamma_0$  is the class of a geodesic of minimal length. In a second class, X is a locally finite Euclidean building and

 $\Gamma = Aut(X)$  satisfying analoguous suitable conditions.

Let F be the Thompson's group; it admits the following presentation :

$$F = \langle x_0, x_1, \ldots | x_i^{-1} x_n x_i = x_{n+1}, 0 \le i < n \rangle.$$

Let  $\Gamma_0$  be the subgroup generated by  $x_0$ . Then (J 2005) the pair ( $F, \Gamma_0$ ) satisfies a (strictly) stronger condition than (SS) :

**Definition 2.** Let  $\Gamma$  be a group and let  $\Gamma_0$  be an abelian subgroup of  $\Gamma$ . Then the pair  $(\Gamma, \Gamma_0)$  is said to satisfy *condition* (ST) if, for all finite sets  $C, D \subset \Gamma \setminus \Gamma_0$ , there exists a finite set  $E \subset \Gamma_0$  such that  $g\gamma h \notin \Gamma_0$  for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma_0 \setminus E$ .

It turns out that condition (ST) is completely characterized by the pair of von Neumann algebras  $L(\Gamma_0) \subset L(\Gamma)$ .

To see that, say that a subset S of the unitary group U(M) is almost orthonormal if, for every  $\varphi \in M_{\star}$  and for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a finite subset  $E \subset S$  such that  $|\varphi(u)| \le \varepsilon$  for all  $u \in S \setminus E$ .

For example, if  $(u_k)_{k\geq 1}$  is a sequence of unitaries that tend to 0 weakly, then  $S = \{u_k \mid k \geq 1\}$  is almost orthonormal; the image in  $L(\Gamma)$  of any infinite subgroup  $\Gamma_1$  of  $\Gamma$  is almost orthonormal.

Let  $\Gamma$  be a (countable) group and let  $\alpha$  be a  $\tau$ -preserving action of  $\Gamma$  on M. Recall that it is *strongly mixing* if, for every finite set  $F \subset M$  and for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a finite set  $E \subset \Gamma$  such that

$$|\tau(\alpha_g(a)b) - \tau(a)\tau(b)| < \varepsilon$$

for all  $a, b \in F$  and all  $g \notin E$ .

- 4 同 6 4 日 6 4 日 6

**Definition 3.** Let M and  $\tau$  be as in Section 1, let A be an abelian, unital von Neumann subalgebra of M and let G be a subgroup of U(A). We say that the action of G is *strongly mixing relative to* A if, for all  $x, y \in M$ , one has :

$$\lim_{u\to\infty, u\in G} \|E_A(uxu^{-1}y) - E_A(x)E_A(y)\|_2 = 0.$$

**Definition 4.** Let M and A be as above. We say that A is *strongly* mixing in M if, for every almost orthonormal infinite subgroup G of U(A), the action of G by inner automorphisms on M is strongly mixing relative to A.

**Theorem.** Let  $\Gamma$  be an infinite group and let  $\Gamma_0$  be an infinite abelian subgroup of  $\Gamma$ . Let  $M = L(\Gamma)$  and  $A = L(\Gamma_0)$  be as above. Then the following conditions are equivalent :

- (1) the action of  $\Gamma_0$  by inner automorphisms on M is strongly mixing relative to A;
- (2) the pair  $(\Gamma, \Gamma_0)$  satisfies condition (ST), i.e. for all finite subsets  $C, D \subset \Gamma \setminus \Gamma_0$  there exists a finite subset  $E \subset \Gamma_0$  such that  $gg_0h \notin \Gamma_0$  for all  $g_0 \in \Gamma_0 \setminus E$ , all  $g \in C$  and all  $h \in D$ ;
- (3) for every almost orthonormal infinite subset  $S \subset U(A)$ , for all  $x, y \in M$  and for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a finite subset  $F \subset S$  such that

$$\|E_A(uxu^*y) - E_A(x)E_A(y)\|_2 < \varepsilon \quad \forall u \in S \setminus F;$$

(4) A is strongly mixing in M.

As in the case of weak mixing, we also have :

**Proposition 4.** Let  $\Gamma_0$  be an abelian group which acts on a finite von Neumann algebra N and which preserves a trace  $\tau$ , then the abelian von Neumann subalgebra  $A = L(\Gamma_0)$  of the crossed product  $M = N \rtimes \Gamma_0$  is strongly mixing in M iff the action of  $\Gamma_0$  is.

Proposition 4 and a theorem of K. Schmidt (1984) prove that strongly mixing MASA's are not weakly mixing in general :

Let  $\Gamma_0$  be an infinite abelian group and let  $\alpha$  be a measure-preserving, free, weakly mixing but not strongly mixing action on some standard probability space  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ . Set  $N = L^{\infty}(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  and let M be the corresponding crossed product  $II_1$ -factor. Then the abelian subalgebra  $A = L(\Gamma_0)$  is a weakly mixing MASA in M, but it is not strongly mixing.

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

Typical examples of strongly mixing actions : Consider a finite von Neumann algebra  $B \neq \mathbb{C}$  gifted with some trace  $\tau_B$ , let  $\Gamma_0$  be an infinite abelian group that acts *properly* on a countable set X : for every finite set  $Y \subset X$ , the set  $\{g \in \Gamma_0 ; g(Y) \cap Y \neq \emptyset\}$  is finite. Let  $(N, \tau) = \bigotimes_{x \in X} (B, \tau_B)$  be the associated infinite tensor product. Then the corresponding Bernoulli shift action is the action  $\sigma$  of  $\Gamma_0$  on N given by

$$\sigma_g(\otimes_{x\in X}b_x)=\otimes_{x\in X}b_{gx}$$

for every  $\otimes_x b_x \in N$  such that  $b_x = 1$  for all but finitely many x's. Then it is easy to see that properness of the action implies that  $\sigma$  is a strongly mixing action. The classical case corresponds to the simply transitive action by left translations on  $\Gamma_0$ .

・ロン ・聞と ・ほと ・ほと

### Examples

From now on, we consider pairs  $(\Gamma,\Gamma_0)$  where  $\Gamma_0$  is an abelian subgroup of  $\Gamma.$ 

Y. Stalder (2006) : Let  $\Gamma$  be an HNN-extention  $HNN(\Lambda, H, K, \phi)$ where H, K are subgroups of  $\Lambda$  and  $\phi : H \to K$  is an isomorphism. Denote by t the stable letter such that  $t^{-1}ht = \phi(h)$  for all  $h \in H$ and by  $\Gamma_0$  the subgroup generated by t. ( $\Gamma$  is generated by  $\Lambda$  and by t and it just has to satisfy relations of  $\Lambda$  and  $t^{-1}ht = \phi(h)$ .) For every positive integer j, the domain of  $\phi^j$ , denoted by  $Dom(\phi^j)$ , is defined by  $Dom(\phi) = H$  for j = 1 and, by induction,  $Dom(\phi^j) = \phi^{-1}(Dom(\phi^{j-1}) \cap K) \subset H$  for  $j \ge 2$ .

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

#### Then

**Proposition 5.** (Y. S.) Suppose that for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda \setminus \{1\}$ , there exists j > 0 such that  $\lambda \notin Dom(\phi^j)$ . Then the pair  $(\Gamma, \Gamma_0)$  satisfies condition (ST), hence  $L(\Gamma_0)$  is a strongly mixing MASA in  $L(\Gamma)$ .

The pair  $(F, \langle x_0 \rangle)$  is a special case of Proposition 5 :

Denote by  $F_k$  the subgroup of F generated by  $(x_n)_{n\geq k}$  and let  $\sigma$  denote the "shift map" defined by  $\sigma(x_n) = x_{n+1}$ , for  $n \geq 0$ . Its restriction to  $F_k$  is an isomorphism onto  $F_{k+1}$ , and in particular, the inverse map  $\phi : F_2 \to F_1$  is an isomorphism which satisfies  $\phi(x) = x_0 x x_0^{-1}$  for every  $x \in F_2$ . It is evident that F is the HNN extension  $HNN(F_2, F_1, \phi)$  with  $t = x_0^{-1}$  as stable letter.

As a second example, consider the Baumslag-Solitar group  $BS(m,n) = \langle a, b \mid ab^m a^{-1} = b^n \rangle$ . Set  $\Gamma_0 = \langle a \rangle$ . Then BS(m,n) is an HNN-extention  $HNN(\mathbb{Z}, n\mathbb{Z}, m\mathbb{Z}, \phi)$  where  $\phi(nk) = mk$  for every integer k. Then the pair  $(BS(m, n), \langle a \rangle)$  satisfies hypothesis of Proposition 5 when  $|n| \neq |m|$ . Observe that these pairs (m, n)are precisely the values for which BS(m, n) is an ICC group (Y. S.).

Let us look at examples where  $\Gamma_0$  is not cyclic (inspired by Sinclair and Smith, 2005) : let  $\mathbb Q$  be the additive group of rational numbers and denote by  $\mathbb Q^\times$  the multiplicative group of nonzero rational numbers.

For each positive integer n, set

$$F_n = \{ rac{p}{q} \cdot 2^{kn} ; \ p,q \in \mathbb{Z}_{ ext{odd}}, \ k \in \mathbb{Z} \} \subset \mathbb{Q}^{ imes}$$

and

$$\mathcal{F}_{\infty} = \{rac{p}{q} \; ; \; p,q \in \mathbb{Z}_{\mathrm{odd}}\} \subset \mathbb{Q}^{ imes}.$$

Next, for  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ , set

$$\Gamma(n) = \left\{ \left( egin{array}{cc} f & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} 
ight) \; ; \; f \in F_n, \; x \in \mathbb{Q} 
ight\}$$

and let  $\Gamma_0(n)$  be the subgroup of diagonal elements of  $\Gamma(n)$ .  $\Gamma(n)$  is an ICC, amenable group. Then the pair  $(\Gamma(n), \Gamma(n)_0)$  satisfies condition (ST) for every *n*.

・ロン ・聞と ・ほと ・ほと

However, if we consider larger matrices, the corresponding pairs of groups do not satisfy condition (ST). Let us fix two positive integers m and n, and set

$$\Gamma(m,n) = \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & x & y \\ 0 & f_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & f_2 \end{array} \right) ; f_1 \in F_m, f_2 \in F_n, x, y \in \mathbb{Q} \right\}$$

and let  $\Gamma_0(m, n)$  be the corresponding diagonal subgroup. Then we have :

$$\left(\begin{array}{rrrr}1 & 0 & 1\\0 & 1 & 0\\0 & 0 & 1\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{rrrr}1 & 0 & 0\\0 & f & 0\\0 & 0 & 1\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{rrrr}1 & 0 & -1\\0 & 1 & 0\\0 & 0 & 1\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{rrrr}1 & 0 & 0\\0 & f & 0\\0 & 0 & -1\end{array}\right)$$

which belongs to  $\Gamma_0$  for all  $f \in F_m$ . Thus the pair  $(\Gamma(m, n), \Gamma(m, n)_0)$  does not satisfy condition (ST), though it satisfies condition (SS).

S. Popa (1983) used so-called *malnormal* subgroups to obtain orthogonal pairs of von Neumann subalgebras; they were also used by Sinclair and Smith (2002) to get asymptotic homomorphisms. Recall that a subgroup  $\Gamma_0$  of  $\Gamma$  is *malnormal* in  $\Gamma$  if it satisfies :

(\*) For every 
$$g \in \Gamma \setminus \Gamma_0$$
, one has  $g\Gamma_0 g^{-1} \cap \Gamma_0 = \{1\}$ .

Then condition ( $\star$ ) implies condition (ST), and, if  $\Gamma_0$  is torsion-free, the converse holds. However, condition ( $\star$ ) is strictly stronger than condition (ST) in general.

Let  $\Gamma = \Gamma_0 \star_Z \Gamma_1$  be an amalgamated product with  $\Gamma_0$  abelian, Z being finite such that  $Z \neq \{1\}$  and that there exists  $g \in \Gamma_1 \setminus Z$  such that zg = gz for every  $z \in Z$ . Then  $g\Gamma_0g^{-1} \cap \Gamma_0 \supset Z$ , and  $(\Gamma, \Gamma_0)$  does not satisfy ( $\star$ ), but it can be proved as for HNN-extentions that  $\Gamma$  satisfies condition (ST).

(4回) (日) (日)