

Arithmetic subalgebras for Bost-Connes systems

Bora Yalkinoglu

Université Pierre et Marie Curie, Paris

April 26, 2011

The Bost-Connes problem ('95)

For a number field K construct a C^* -dynamical system (QSM)

$$\mathcal{A}_K = (A, (\sigma_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}})$$

with the following six properties:

Analytic Properties

- 1) The partition function is given by the Dedekind zeta function $\zeta_K(s)$

The Bost-Connes problem ('95)

For a number field K construct a C^* -dynamical system (QSM)

$$\mathcal{A}_K = (A, (\sigma_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}})$$

with the following six properties:

Analytic Properties

- 1) The partition function is given by the Dedekind zeta function $\zeta_K(s)$
- 2) $\text{Gal}(K^{ab}/K)$ is acting by symmetries on \mathcal{A}_K

The Bost-Connes problem ('95)

For a number field K construct a C^* -dynamical system (QSM)

$$\mathcal{A}_K = (A, (\sigma_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}})$$

with the following six properties:

Analytic Properties

- 1) The partition function is given by the Dedekind zeta function $\zeta_K(s)$
- 2) $\text{Gal}(K^{ab}/K)$ is acting by symmetries on \mathcal{A}_K
- 3) For $0 < \beta \leq 1$ there is a unique σ - KMS_β state

The Bost-Connes problem ('95)

For a number field K construct a C^* -dynamical system (QSM)

$$\mathcal{A}_K = (A, (\sigma_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}})$$

with the following six properties:

Analytic Properties

- 1) The partition function is given by the Dedekind zeta function $\zeta_K(s)$
- 2) $Gal(K^{ab}/K)$ is acting by symmetries on \mathcal{A}_K
- 3) For $0 < \beta \leq 1$ there is a unique σ - KMS_β state
- 4) For $\beta > 1$, $Gal(K^{ab}/K)$ acts free and transitively on the set of extremal σ - KMS_β states

The Bost-Connes problem ('95)

For a number field K construct a C^* -dynamical system (QSM)

$$\mathcal{A}_K = (A, (\sigma_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}})$$

with the following six properties:

Analytic Properties

- 1) The partition function is given by the Dedekind zeta function $\zeta_K(s)$
- 2) $Gal(K^{ab}/K)$ is acting by symmetries on \mathcal{A}_K
- 3) For $0 < \beta \leq 1$ there is a unique σ - KMS_β state
- 4) For $\beta > 1$, $Gal(K^{ab}/K)$ acts free and transitively on the set of extremal σ - KMS_β states

A system satisfying these properties is called **analytic BC system** for K .

The Bost-Connes problem ('95)

Arithmetic Properties

- 5) $\exists K$ -rational subalgebra $A^{arith} \subset A$ such that $\forall f \in A^{arith}$ and $\varphi \in \sigma\text{-KMS}_\infty$ we have

$$\varphi(f) \in K^{ab}$$

Moreover, K^{ab} is generated in this way.

The Bost-Connes problem ('95)

Arithmetic Properties

- 5) $\exists K$ -rational subalgebra $A^{arith} \subset A$ such that $\forall f \in A^{arith}$ and $\varphi \in \sigma\text{-KMS}_\infty$ we have

$$\varphi(f) \in K^{ab}$$

Moreover, K^{ab} is generated in this way.

- 6) For every $\alpha \in \text{Gal}(K^{ab}/K)$ we have the following compatibility relation

$$\alpha \varphi(f) = \alpha^{-1}(\varphi(f)) \in K^{ab}$$

The Bost-Connes problem ('95)

Arithmetic Properties

- 5) $\exists K$ -rational subalgebra $A^{arith} \subset A$ such that $\forall f \in A^{arith}$ and $\varphi \in \sigma\text{-KMS}_\infty$ we have

$$\varphi(f) \in K^{ab}$$

Moreover, K^{ab} is generated in this way.

- 6) For every $\alpha \in \text{Gal}(K^{ab}/K)$ we have the following compatibility relation

$$\alpha \varphi(f) = \alpha^{-1}(\varphi(f)) \in K^{ab}$$

A system \mathcal{A}_K satisfying these properties is called **(full) BC system** for K and the corresponding subalgebra A^{arith} an **arithmetic subalgebra**.

What is known?

'95 Full BC system for $K = \mathbb{Q}$ (Bost, Connes)

What is known?

- '95 Full BC system for $K = \mathbb{Q}$ (Bost, Connes)
- '05 Full BC system for K an imaginary quadratic number field (Connes, Marcolli, Ramachandran)

What is known?

- '95 Full BC system for $K = \mathbb{Q}$ (Bost, Connes)
- '05 Full BC system for K an imaginary quadratic number field (Connes, Marcolli, Ramachandran)
- '05 Analytic BC systems \mathcal{A}_K for K arbitrary [property 1) & 2)] (Ha, Paugam)

What is known?

- '95 Full BC system for $K = \mathbb{Q}$ (Bost, Connes)
- '05 Full BC system for K an imaginary quadratic number field (Connes, Marcolli, Ramachandran)
- '05 Analytic BC systems \mathcal{A}_K for K arbitrary [property 1) & 2)] (Ha, Paugam)
- '07 Complete classification of KMS states of \mathcal{A}_K [property 3) & 4)] (Laca, Larsen, Neshveyev)

What is known?

- '95 Full BC system for $K = \mathbb{Q}$ (Bost, Connes)
- '05 Full BC system for K an imaginary quadratic number field (Connes, Marcolli, Ramachandran)
- '05 Analytic BC systems \mathcal{A}_K for K arbitrary [property 1) & 2)] (Ha, Paugam)
- '07 Complete classification of KMS states of \mathcal{A}_K [property 3) & 4)] (Laca, Larsen, Neshveyev)
- '10 Partial arithmetic subalgebras of \mathcal{A}_K for K a CM field (Y.)

What is known?

- '95 Full BC system for $K = \mathbb{Q}$ (Bost, Connes)
- '05 Full BC system for K an imaginary quadratic number field (Connes, Marcolli, Ramachandran)
- '05 Analytic BC systems \mathcal{A}_K for K arbitrary [property 1) & 2)] (Ha, Paugam)
- '07 Complete classification of KMS states of \mathcal{A}_K [property 3) & 4)] (Laca, Larsen, Neshveyev)
- '10 Partial arithmetic subalgebras of \mathcal{A}_K for K a CM field (Y.)

Remark

The difficulty of constructing arithmetic subalgebras does come from its connection to Hilbert's 12th problem \leftarrow widely open for K not \mathbb{Q} or imaginary quadratic (or CM)!

Reminder: The analytic BC-system \mathcal{A}_K

The monoid of (non-zero) integral ideals $I(\mathcal{O}_K)$ of \mathcal{O}_K is acting naturally on the topological space

$$Y_K = \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_K \times_{\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_K^\times} \text{Gal}(K^{ab}/K)$$

The C^* -dynamical system \mathcal{A}_K is defined by the crossed product

$$\mathcal{A}_K = (C(Y_K) \rtimes I(\mathcal{O}_K), \sigma_t)$$

with time evolution

$$\sigma_t(fu_s) = \mathcal{N}(s)^{it} fu_s$$

Aim of the talk: Explain the following results

Theorem 1 - Existence of arithmetic subalgebra (Y. '10)

Let K be an **arbitrary** number field. Then

Aim of the talk: Explain the following results

Theorem 1 - Existence of arithmetic subalgebra (Y. '10)

Let K be an **arbitrary** number field. Then

- 1) The system \mathcal{A}_K does come from an algebraic endomotive \mathcal{E}_K .

Aim of the talk: Explain the following results

Theorem 1 - Existence of arithmetic subalgebra (Y. '10)

Let K be an **arbitrary** number field. Then

- 1) The system \mathcal{A}_K does come from an algebraic endomotive \mathcal{E}_K .
- 2) \mathcal{A}_K is in fact a **full BC system** with arithmetic subalgebra \mathcal{E}_K .

Aim of the talk: Explain the following results

Theorem 1 - Existence of arithmetic subalgebra (Y. '10)

Let K be an **arbitrary** number field. Then

- 1) The system \mathcal{A}_K does come from an algebraic endomotive \mathcal{E}_K .
- 2) \mathcal{A}_K is in fact a **full BC system** with arithmetic subalgebra \mathcal{E}_K .

Theorem 2 - Functoriality (Y. '11)

Aim of the talk: Explain the following results

Theorem 1 - Existence of arithmetic subalgebra (Y. '10)

Let K be an **arbitrary** number field. Then

- 1) The system \mathcal{A}_K does come from an algebraic endomotive \mathcal{E}_K .
- 2) \mathcal{A}_K is in fact a **full BC system** with arithmetic subalgebra \mathcal{E}_K .

Theorem 2 - Functoriality (Y. '11)

There is a natural functor

$$K \mapsto \mathcal{E}_K$$

which recovers the functor $K \mapsto \mathcal{A}_K$ recently constructed by Laca, Neshveyev and Trifkovic ('10).

Our methods and tools

There are two new main ingredients in our approach to the Bost-Connes problem.

Our methods and tools

There are two new main ingredients in our approach to the Bost-Connes problem.

1. The theory of **endomotives** by Connes, Consani and Marcolli ('05)

Our methods and tools

There are two new main ingredients in our approach to the Bost-Connes problem.

1. The theory of **endomotives** by Connes, Consani and Marcolli ('05)
2. the theory of **Λ -rings** due to Borger and de Smit ('08)

1. On endomotives

The notion **endomotive** has been introduced in '05 by Connes, Consani and Marcolli.

1. On endomotives

The notion **endomotive** has been introduced in '05 by Connes, Consani and Marcolli.

There are **three** types of endomotives (EM)

1. On endomotives

The notion **endomotive** has been introduced in '05 by Connes, Consani and Marcolli.

There are **three** types of endomotives (EM)

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{algebraic EM} & \rightarrow & \text{analytic EM} & \text{"} \rightarrow \text{"} & \text{measured analytic EM} \\ \cap & & \cap & & \cap \\ \text{K-algebras} & \rightarrow & \text{C}^*\text{-algebras} & \text{"} \rightarrow \text{"} & \text{C}^*\text{-dynamical systems} \end{array}$$

1. On endomotives

The notion **endomotive** has been introduced in '05 by Connes, Consani and Marcolli.

There are **three** types of endomotives (EM)

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{algebraic EM} & \rightarrow & \text{analytic EM} & \text{"} \rightarrow \text{"} & \text{measured analytic EM} \\ \cap & & \cap & & \cap \\ \text{K-algebras} & \rightarrow & \text{C}^*\text{-algebras} & \text{"} \rightarrow \text{"} & \text{C}^*\text{-dynamical systems} \end{array}$$

Motivation

The classical BC system can be seen to come from an algebraic endomotive and we want to prove that in fact every BC-type system does come from an algebraic endomotive.

Algebraic endo-motives

Reminder

Artin motives $/K \xleftrightarrow{1:1} \{\text{finite étale } K\text{-algebras}\}$

Algebraic endo-motives

Reminder

Artin motives $/K \xleftrightarrow{1:1} \{\text{finite étale } K\text{-algebras}\}$

Consider now the following data:

Algebraic endo-motives

Reminder

Artin motives $/K \xrightarrow{1:1} \{\text{finite étale } K\text{-algebras}\}$

Consider now the following data:

- (A_α) inductive system of finite, étale K -algebras

Algebraic endo-motives

Reminder

Artin motives $/K \xleftrightarrow{1:1} \{\text{finite étale } K\text{-algebras}\}$

Consider now the following data:

- (A_α) inductive system of finite, étale K -algebras
- S abelian semigroup acting on $A = \varinjlim A_\alpha$ by endomorphisms (with some technical assumptions)

Algebraic endo-motives

Reminder

Artin motives $/K \xleftrightarrow{1:1} \{\text{finite étale } K\text{-algebras}\}$

Consider now the following data:

- (A_α) inductive system of finite, étale K -algebras
- S abelian semigroup acting on $A = \varinjlim A_\alpha$ by endomorphisms (with some technical assumptions)

Definition

The algebraic endomotive \mathcal{E} associated with the above data is defined as the K -algebra

$$\mathcal{E} = A \rtimes S$$

Generators and Relations

$\mathcal{E} = A \rtimes S$ has a presentation by adjoining to elements $a \in A$ new generators U_ρ and U_ρ^* , for $\rho \in S$, satisfying the relations

$$\begin{aligned}U_\rho^* U_\rho &= 1, & U_\rho U_\rho^* &= \rho(1), \\U_{\rho_1 \rho_2} &= U_{\rho_1} U_{\rho_2}, & U_{\rho_1 \rho_2}^* &= U_{\rho_1}^* U_{\rho_2}^*, \\U_\rho a &= \rho(a) U_\rho, & a U_\rho^* &= U_\rho^* \rho(a)\end{aligned}$$

Analytic endomotives

$\mathcal{E} = A \rtimes S = \varinjlim A_\alpha \rtimes S$ algebraic endomotive.

Analytic endomotives

$\mathcal{E} = A \rtimes S = \varinjlim A_\alpha \rtimes S$ algebraic endomotive.

Define the profinite space

$$\mathcal{X} = \text{Hom}_{K\text{-alg}}(A, \overline{K}) = \varprojlim \text{Hom}_{K\text{-alg}}(A_\alpha, \overline{K})$$

Analytic endomotives

$\mathcal{E} = A \rtimes S = \varinjlim A_\alpha \rtimes S$ algebraic endomotive.

Define the profinite space

$$\mathcal{X} = \text{Hom}_{K\text{-alg}}(A, \overline{K}) = \varprojlim \text{Hom}_{K\text{-alg}}(A_\alpha, \overline{K})$$

Then S acts naturally on the abelian C^* -algebra $C(\mathcal{X})$

Analytic endomotives

$\mathcal{E} = A \rtimes S = \varinjlim A_\alpha \rtimes S$ algebraic endomotive.

Define the profinite space

$$\mathcal{X} = \text{Hom}_{K\text{-alg}}(A, \overline{K}) = \varprojlim \text{Hom}_{K\text{-alg}}(A_\alpha, \overline{K})$$

Then S acts naturally on the abelian C^* -algebra $C(\mathcal{X})$

Definition

The **analytic endomotive** \mathcal{E}^{an} is the (semigroup) crossed product C^* -algebra

$$\mathcal{E}^{an} = C(\mathcal{X}) \rtimes S$$

Analytic endomotives

$\mathcal{E} = A \rtimes S = \varinjlim A_\alpha \rtimes S$ algebraic endomotive.

Define the profinite space

$$\mathcal{X} = \text{Hom}_{K\text{-alg}}(A, \overline{K}) = \varprojlim \text{Hom}_{K\text{-alg}}(A_\alpha, \overline{K})$$

Then S acts naturally on the abelian C^* -algebra $C(\mathcal{X})$

Definition

The **analytic endomotive** \mathcal{E}^{an} is the (semigroup) crossed product C^* -algebra

$$\mathcal{E}^{an} = C(\mathcal{X}) \rtimes S$$

In particular

$$\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{E}^{an}$$

Measured analytic endomotives

- μ_α normalized counting measure on $\mathcal{X}_\alpha = \text{Hom}(A_\alpha, \overline{K})$

Measured analytic endomotives

- μ_α normalized counting measure on $\mathcal{X}_\alpha = \text{Hom}(A_\alpha, \overline{K})$
- Assume existence of limit probability measure $\mu = \varprojlim \mu_\alpha$ on $\mathcal{X} = \varprojlim \mathcal{X}_\alpha$ (i.e. compatibility with transition maps)

Measured analytic endomotives

- μ_α normalized counting measure on $\mathcal{X}_\alpha = \text{Hom}(A_\alpha, \overline{K})$
 - Assume existence of limit probability measure $\mu = \varprojlim \mu_\alpha$ on $\mathcal{X} = \varprojlim \mathcal{X}_\alpha$ (i.e. compatibility with transition maps)
- \rightsquigarrow state $\varphi = \varphi_\mu : \mathcal{E}^{an} = C(\mathcal{X}) \rtimes S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ (by integration)

Measured analytic endomotives

- μ_α normalized counting measure on $\mathcal{X}_\alpha = \text{Hom}(A_\alpha, \overline{K})$
- Assume existence of limit probability measure $\mu = \varprojlim \mu_\alpha$ on $\mathcal{X} = \varprojlim \mathcal{X}_\alpha$ (i.e. compatibility with transition maps)

↪ state $\varphi = \varphi_\mu : \mathcal{E}^{an} = C(\mathcal{X}) \rtimes S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ (by integration)

↪ GNS construction $\pi : \mathcal{E}^{an} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}_\varphi)$

Measured analytic endomotives

- μ_α normalized counting measure on $\mathcal{X}_\alpha = \text{Hom}(A_\alpha, \overline{K})$
- Assume existence of limit probability measure $\mu = \varprojlim \mu_\alpha$ on $\mathcal{X} = \varprojlim \mathcal{X}_\alpha$ (i.e. compatibility with transition maps)

↪ state $\varphi = \varphi_\mu : \mathcal{E}^{an} = C(\mathcal{X}) \rtimes S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ (by integration)

↪ GNS construction $\pi : \mathcal{E}^{an} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}_\varphi)$

↪ (under technical assumptions + Tomita-Takesaki)

$\exists \sigma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathcal{E}^{an})$

Measured analytic endomotives

- μ_α normalized counting measure on $\mathcal{X}_\alpha = \text{Hom}(A_\alpha, \overline{K})$
- Assume existence of limit probability measure $\mu = \varprojlim \mu_\alpha$ on $\mathcal{X} = \varprojlim \mathcal{X}_\alpha$ (i.e. compatibility with transition maps)

↪ state $\varphi = \varphi_\mu : \mathcal{E}^{an} = C(\mathcal{X}) \rtimes S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ (by integration)

↪ GNS construction $\pi : \mathcal{E}^{an} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}_\varphi)$

↪ (under technical assumptions + Tomita-Takesaki)

$\exists \sigma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathcal{E}^{an})$

Definition

The **measured analytic endomotive** \mathcal{E}^{meas} (if it exists) is the C^* -dynamical system

$$\mathcal{E}^{meas} = (\mathcal{E}^{an}, (\sigma_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}})$$

Résumé

In good situations an **algebraic endomotive** $\mathcal{E}^{alg} = A \rtimes S$ gives naturally rise to a C^* -dynamical system

$$(\mathcal{E}^{an}, (\sigma_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}})$$

with

$$\mathcal{E}^{alg} \subset \mathcal{E}^{an}$$

(plus other nice properties, e.g. a natural $Gal(\overline{K}/K)$ -action!)

Example: The classical Bost-Connes system as endomotive

See blackboard. If time permits!

What's next?

In order to construct interesting (algebraic) endomotives which are related to our Bost-Connes systems of HPLLN, we have to find the **right** class of finite, étale K -algebras!

2. On Λ -rings

Definition

An \mathcal{O}_K -algebra \tilde{E} is a Λ -ring if there exists for each (non-zero) prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of \mathcal{O}_K an endomorphism $f_{\mathfrak{p}}$ such that

2. On Λ -rings

Definition

An \mathcal{O}_K -algebra \tilde{E} is a Λ -ring if there exists for each (non-zero) prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of \mathcal{O}_K an endomorphism $f_{\mathfrak{p}}$ such that

$$1) f_{\mathfrak{p}} \circ f_{\mathfrak{q}} = f_{\mathfrak{q}} \circ f_{\mathfrak{p}}$$

2. On Λ -rings

Definition

An \mathcal{O}_K -algebra \tilde{E} is a Λ -ring if there exists for each (non-zero) prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of \mathcal{O}_K an endomorphism $f_{\mathfrak{p}}$ such that

- 1) $f_{\mathfrak{p}} \circ f_{\mathfrak{q}} = f_{\mathfrak{q}} \circ f_{\mathfrak{p}}$
- 2) $f_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a Frobenius lift

2. On Λ -rings

Definition

An \mathcal{O}_K -algebra \tilde{E} is a Λ -ring if there exists for each (non-zero) prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of \mathcal{O}_K an endomorphism $f_{\mathfrak{p}}$ such that

- 1) $f_{\mathfrak{p}} \circ f_{\mathfrak{q}} = f_{\mathfrak{q}} \circ f_{\mathfrak{p}}$
- 2) $f_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a Frobenius lift

A K -algebra E has an **integral Λ -structure** if it does come from a Λ -ring, i.e. $E = \tilde{E} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} K$.

2. On Λ -rings

Definition

An \mathcal{O}_K -algebra \tilde{E} is a Λ -ring if there exists for each (non-zero) prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of \mathcal{O}_K an endomorphism $f_{\mathfrak{p}}$ such that

- 1) $f_{\mathfrak{p}} \circ f_{\mathfrak{q}} = f_{\mathfrak{q}} \circ f_{\mathfrak{p}}$
- 2) $f_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a Frobenius lift

A K -algebra E has an **integral Λ -structure** if it does come from a Λ -ring, i.e. $E = \tilde{E} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} K$.

Example

2. On Λ -rings

Definition

An \mathcal{O}_K -algebra \tilde{E} is a Λ -ring if there exists for each (non-zero) prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of \mathcal{O}_K an endomorphism $f_{\mathfrak{p}}$ such that

- 1) $f_{\mathfrak{p}} \circ f_{\mathfrak{q}} = f_{\mathfrak{q}} \circ f_{\mathfrak{p}}$
- 2) $f_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a Frobenius lift

A K -algebra E has an **integral Λ -structure** if it does come from a Λ -ring, i.e. $E = \tilde{E} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} K$.

Example

Each $E_n = \mathbb{Q}[X]/(X^n - 1)$ has the integral Λ -structure

2. On Λ -rings

Definition

An \mathcal{O}_K -algebra \tilde{E} is a Λ -ring if there exists for each (non-zero) prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of \mathcal{O}_K an endomorphism $f_{\mathfrak{p}}$ such that

- 1) $f_{\mathfrak{p}} \circ f_{\mathfrak{q}} = f_{\mathfrak{q}} \circ f_{\mathfrak{p}}$
- 2) $f_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a Frobenius lift

A K -algebra E has an **integral Λ -structure** if it does come from a Λ -ring, i.e. $E = \tilde{E} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} K$.

Example

Each $E_n = \mathbb{Q}[X]/(X^n - 1)$ has the integral Λ -structure

$$\mathbb{Z}[X]/(X^n - 1)$$

2. On Λ -rings

Definition

An \mathcal{O}_K -algebra \tilde{E} is a Λ -ring if there exists for each (non-zero) prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of \mathcal{O}_K an endomorphism $f_{\mathfrak{p}}$ such that

- 1) $f_{\mathfrak{p}} \circ f_{\mathfrak{q}} = f_{\mathfrak{q}} \circ f_{\mathfrak{p}}$
- 2) $f_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a Frobenius lift

A K -algebra E has an **integral Λ -structure** if it does come from a Λ -ring, i.e. $E = \tilde{E} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} K$.

Example

Each $E_n = \mathbb{Q}[X]/(X^n - 1)$ has the integral Λ -structure

$$\mathbb{Z}[X]/(X^n - 1)$$

with

$$f_{\mathfrak{p}} : X \mapsto X^{\mathfrak{p}}$$

\rightsquigarrow classical BC system

The Deligne-Ribet monoid

The Deligne-Ribet monoid DR_K is the profinite monoid

$$DR_K = \varprojlim DR_{\mathfrak{f}}$$

where for each $\mathfrak{f} \in I(\mathcal{O}_K)$ we set $DR_{\mathfrak{f}} = I(\mathcal{O}_K)/\sim_{\mathfrak{f}}$.

The Deligne-Ribet monoid

The Deligne-Ribet monoid DR_K is the profinite monoid

$$DR_K = \varprojlim DR_{\mathfrak{f}}$$

where for each $\mathfrak{f} \in I(\mathcal{O}_K)$ we set $DR_{\mathfrak{f}} = I(\mathcal{O}_K)/\sim_{\mathfrak{f}}$.

Proposition

There is a natural equivariant isomorphism of (topological) monoids

$$Y_K = \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_K \times_{\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_K^\times} \text{Gal}(K^{ab}/K) \cong DR_K$$

w.r.t. the natural action of $I(\mathcal{O}_K)$.

The Deligne-Ribet monoid

The Deligne-Ribet monoid DR_K is the profinite monoid

$$DR_K = \varprojlim DR_{\mathfrak{f}}$$

where for each $\mathfrak{f} \in I(\mathcal{O}_K)$ we set $DR_{\mathfrak{f}} = I(\mathcal{O}_K)/\sim_{\mathfrak{f}}$.

Proposition

There is a natural equivariant isomorphism of (topological) monoids

$$Y_K = \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_K \times_{\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_K^\times} \text{Gal}(K^{ab}/K) \cong DR_K$$

w.r.t. the natural action of $I(\mathcal{O}_K)$.

Recall the analytic BC system

$$\mathcal{A}_K = (C(Y_K) \rtimes I(\mathcal{O}_K), \sigma_t)$$

The Deligne-Ribet monoid as **source** for finite, étale alg.s

The Deligne-Ribet monoid as **source** for finite, étale alg.s

Theorem (Borger, de Smit)

The functor $E \mapsto \text{Hom}(E, \overline{K})$ induces an equivalence of categories

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{finite, étale } K\text{-algebras} \\ \text{with integral } \Lambda\text{-structure} \end{array} \right\} \xleftrightarrow{1:1} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{finite sets} + \\ \circlearrowleft_{\text{cont.}} DR_K \end{array} \right\}$$

The Deligne-Ribet monoid as **source** for finite, étale alg.s

Theorem (Borger, de Smit)

The functor $E \mapsto \text{Hom}(E, \overline{K})$ induces an equivalence of categories

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{finite, étale } K\text{-algebras} \\ \text{with integral } \Lambda\text{-structure} \end{array} \right\} \xLeftrightarrow{1:1} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{finite sets} + \\ \circlearrowleft_{\text{cont.}} DR_K \end{array} \right\}$$

Key observation I

The Deligne-Ribet monoid as **source** for finite, étale alg.s

Theorem (Borger, de Smit)

The functor $E \mapsto \text{Hom}(E, \overline{K})$ induces an equivalence of categories

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{finite, étale } K\text{-algebras} \\ \text{with integral } \Lambda\text{-structure} \end{array} \right\} \xLeftrightarrow{1:1} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{finite sets} + \\ \circlearrowleft_{\text{cont.}} DR_K \end{array} \right\}$$

Key observation I

In particular

$$DR_f \cong \text{Hom}(E_f, \overline{K})$$

with finite, étale K -algebra $E_f \cong \prod_{\mathfrak{d}|f} K_{\mathfrak{d}}$ with $K_{\mathfrak{d}}$ a strict ray class field of K , i.e. abelian over K .

The Deligne-Ribet monoid as **source** for finite, étale alg.s

Theorem (Borger, de Smit)

The functor $E \mapsto \text{Hom}(E, \overline{K})$ induces an equivalence of categories

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{finite, étale } K\text{-algebras} \\ \text{with integral } \Lambda\text{-structure} \end{array} \right\} \xLeftrightarrow{1:1} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{finite sets} + \\ \circlearrowleft_{\text{cont.}} DR_K \end{array} \right\}$$

Key observation I

In particular

$$DR_f \cong \text{Hom}(E_f, \overline{K})$$

with finite, étale K -algebra $E_f \cong \prod_{\mathfrak{d}|f} K_{\mathfrak{d}}$ with $K_{\mathfrak{d}}$ a strict ray class field of K , i.e. abelian over K .

Key observation II (due to $Y_K \cong DR_K$)

The Deligne-Ribet monoid as **source** for finite, étale alg.s

Theorem (Borger, de Smit)

The functor $E \mapsto \text{Hom}(E, \overline{K})$ induces an equivalence of categories

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{finite, étale } K\text{-algebras} \\ \text{with integral } \Lambda\text{-structure} \end{array} \right\} \xLeftrightarrow{1:1} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{finite sets} + \\ \circlearrowleft_{\text{cont.}} DR_K \end{array} \right\}$$

Key observation I

In particular

$$DR_f \cong \text{Hom}(E_f, \overline{K})$$

with finite, étale K -algebra $E_f \cong \prod_{\mathfrak{d}|f} K_{\mathfrak{d}}$ with $K_{\mathfrak{d}}$ a strict ray class field of K , i.e. abelian over K .

Key observation II (due to $Y_K \cong DR_K$)

$$Y_K \cong \text{Hom}(\varinjlim E_f, \overline{K})$$

Finally: Our algebraic endomotive \mathcal{E}_K

Now we can define our desired **algebraic endomotive**

$$\mathcal{E}_K = ((E_f), I(\mathcal{O}_K))$$

Finally: Our algebraic endomotive \mathcal{E}_K

Now we can define our desired **algebraic endomotive**

$$\mathcal{E}_K = ((E_f), I(\mathcal{O}_K))$$

By construction we have

$$\mathcal{E}^{an} \cong C(Y_K) \rtimes I(\mathcal{O}_K)$$

Finally: Our algebraic endomotive \mathcal{E}_K

Now we can define our desired **algebraic endomotive**

$$\mathcal{E}_K = ((E_f), I(\mathcal{O}_K))$$

By construction we have

$$\mathcal{E}^{an} \cong C(Y_K) \rtimes I(\mathcal{O}_K)$$

further one can show that in fact

$$\mathcal{E}^{meas} \cong \mathcal{A}_K = (C(Y_K) \rtimes I(\mathcal{O}_K), \sigma_t)$$

Finally: Our algebraic endomotive \mathcal{E}_K

Now we can define our desired **algebraic endomotive**

$$\mathcal{E}_K = ((E_f), I(\mathcal{O}_K))$$

By construction we have

$$\mathcal{E}^{an} \cong C(Y_K) \rtimes I(\mathcal{O}_K)$$

further one can show that in fact

$$\mathcal{E}^{meas} \cong \mathcal{A}_K = (C(Y_K) \rtimes I(\mathcal{O}_K), \sigma_t)$$

And, most importantly, one can identify

$$\mathcal{E}_K \subset C(Y_K) \rtimes I(\mathcal{O}_K)$$

as an **arithmetic subalgebra** of \mathcal{A}_K .

Mulțumesc!

Probably I have already overstepped my time! Therefore I will stop here and thank you very much for your attention!