

Magnetic Coherent States

Marius Măntoiu, Radu Purice & SergeRichard

XXXII Workshop on Geometric Methods in Physics

Białowieża, July, 2013

Together with Marius Măntoiu we have considered quantum hamiltonians with magnetic fields and replaced the usual translations with magnetic translations, generalizing some former results from constant magnetic fields to bounded smooth magnetic fields.

Together with Marius Măntoiu we have considered quantum hamiltonians with magnetic fields and replaced the usual translations with magnetic translations, generalizing some former results from constant magnetic fields to bounded smooth magnetic fields.

This approach allowed us to obtain a pseudodifferential Weyl calculus, twisted by a 2-cocycle associated to the flux of the magnetic field and we developed this calculus in colaboration with V. Iftimie.

Together with Marius Măntoiu we have considered quantum hamiltonians with magnetic fields and replaced the usual translations with magnetic translations, generalizing some former results from constant magnetic fields to bounded smooth magnetic fields.

This approach allowed us to obtain a pseudodifferential Weyl calculus, twisted by a 2-cocycle associated to the flux of the magnetic field and we developed this calculus in colaboration with V. Iftimie.

An interesting fact that we pointed out is that the algebra of observables is defined only in terms of the magnetic field without the need of a vector potential.

Together with Marius Măntoiu we have considered quantum hamiltonians with magnetic fields and replaced the usual translations with magnetic translations, generalizing some former results from constant magnetic fields to bounded smooth magnetic fields.

This approach allowed us to obtain a pseudodifferential Weyl calculus, twisted by a 2-cocycle associated to the flux of the magnetic field and we developed this calculus in colaboration with V. Iftimie.

An interesting fact that we pointed out is that the algebra of observables is defined only in terms of the magnetic field without the need of a vector potential.

Together with M. Măntoiu and S. Richard we have defined some families of 'magnetic' coherent states and a Berezin magnetic quantization.

References

- A. Perelomov: Generalized coherent states and their applications,
 Springer, Berlin 1986.
- N.P. Landsman: *Mathematical topics between classical and quantum mechanics*, Springer-Verlag, New-York, 1998.
- M. Combescure, D. Robert: Coherent states and applications in mathematical physics, Springer, Dordrecht, Heidelberg, London, New York, 2012

References

- M.V. Karasev and T.A. Osborn, Symplectic Areas, Quantization and Dynamics in Electromagnetic Fields, J. Math. Phys. 43 (2002), 756–788.
- M. Măntoiu and R. Purice, The Magnetic Weyl Calculus, J. Math. Phys. 45, No 4 (2004), 1394–1417.
- M. Măntoiu and R. Purice: Strict Deformation Quantization for a Particle in a Magnetic Field, J. Math. Phys. 46, No.5, (2005).
- V. Iftimie, M. Măntoiu and R. Purice: Magnetic Pseudodifferential Operators, Publ. RIMS. 43, 585–623, (2007).
- M. Măntoiu, R. Purice and S. Richard: Coherent States in the Presence of a Variable Magnetic Field, to appear in Int. J. of Geom. Meth. in Mod. Phys. 8, No. 1 (2011), 187–202.

Plan of the talk

- Introduction
- The Projective Space
- Magnetic Coherent States
 - The Perelomov type magnetic coherent states
 - The pure state quantization
 - The Landsman type magnetic coherent states
 - Comments on the classical limit
 - Magnetic Coherent States Symbols

Introduction



6 / 54

States and Observables

The system S

- the family of *pure states*: $\mathfrak{P}(S)$
- the family of *observables*: $\mathfrak{O}(\mathsf{S})$

States and Observables

The system S

- the family of *pure states*: $\mathfrak{P}(S)$
- the family of observables: $\mathfrak{D}(S)$

Mathematical description: Classical

郢(S)	a symplectic manifold (Ξ,σ)	the projective space $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})$
D (S)	the Poisson algebra $C^{\infty}ig(\Xi;\mathbb{R}ig)$	the self adjoint operators $\mathbb{S}(\mathscr{H})$
		on some complex Hilbert space ${\mathscr H}$

Quantum

Our aim will be to study the relation between the classical and the quantum descriptions for a Hamiltonian system in a smooth magnetic field constant in time, focusing on the so-called *pure state quantization*.

Our aim will be to study the relation between the classical and the quantum descriptions for a Hamiltonian system in a smooth magnetic field constant in time, focussing on the so-called *pure state quantization*. The mathematical formulation of the above mentioned relation is focussed on the understanding of the limit of considering the Planck's constant \hbar approaching 0.

Our aim will be to study the relation between the classical and the quantum descriptions for a Hamiltonian system in a smooth magnetic field constant in time, focussing on the so-called *pure state quantization*. The mathematical formulation of the above mentioned relation is focussed on the understanding of the limit of considering the Planck's constant \hbar approaching 0.

Thus, a basic element will be a parameter $\hbar \in I_0$ where

$$\textit{I}_0 \subset \mathbb{R}_+, \qquad 0 \notin \textit{I}_0,$$

but 0 is an accumulation point for I_0 .

Our aim will be to study the relation between the classical and the quantum descriptions for a Hamiltonian system in a smooth magnetic field constant in time, focussing on the so-called *pure state quantization*. The mathematical formulation of the above mentioned relation is focussed on the understanding of the limit of considering the Planck's constant \hbar approaching 0.

Thus, a basic element will be a parameter $\hbar \in I_0$ where

$$I_0 \subset \mathbb{R}_+, \qquad 0 \notin I_0,$$

but 0 is an accumulation point for I_0 .

We shall always denote by $I := I_0 \cup \{0\}$.

We shall study a classical Hamiltonian system that can be described on the phase space (Ξ, σ_0) associated to a configuration space of the type $\mathcal{X} \cong \mathbb{R}^d$ for some $d \geq 2$.

We shall study a classical Hamiltonian system that can be described on the phase space (Ξ, σ_0) associated to a configuration space of the type $\mathcal{X} \cong \mathbb{R}^d$ for some $d \geq 2$.

We have:

- \mathcal{X}^* the dual of \mathcal{X} with the duality $\langle \xi, x \rangle := \xi(x), \ \forall (x, \xi) \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}^*$.
- $\bullet \ \Xi := \mathbb{T}^* \mathcal{X} \cong \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}^*, \ \sigma_0((x,\xi),(y,\eta)) := <\xi,y> <\eta,x>.$

The magnetic field

• The magnetic field is described by a closed 2-form B on X:

$$B(x) = \sum_{1 \leq j,k \leq d} B_{jk}(x) dx_j \wedge dx_k, \quad B_{jk}(x) = -B_{kj}(x), \quad dB = 0.$$



The magnetic field

• The magnetic field is described by a closed 2-form B on X:

$$B(x) = \sum_{1 \leq j,k \leq d} B_{jk}(x) dx_j \wedge dx_k, \quad B_{jk}(x) = -B_{kj}(x), \quad dB = 0.$$

• On $\mathcal{X} := \mathbb{R}^n$ the equations B = dA have always a solution, defining a vector potential A for B.

$$A(x) = \sum_{1 \le j \le d} A_j(x) dx_j, \qquad B_{jk}(x) = (\partial_j A_k)(x) - (\partial_k A_j)(x).$$

The magnetic field

• The magnetic field is described by a closed 2-form B on X:

$$B(x) = \sum_{1 \leq j,k \leq d} B_{jk}(x) dx_j \wedge dx_k, \quad B_{jk}(x) = -B_{kj}(x), \quad dB = 0.$$

• On $\mathcal{X} := \mathbb{R}^n$ the equations B = dA have always a solution, defining a vector potential A for B.

$$A(x) = \sum_{1 \le j \le d} A_j(x) dx_j, \qquad B_{jk}(x) = (\partial_j A_k)(x) - (\partial_k A_j)(x).$$

• Gauge transformations. B = dA = dA' is equivalent to the existence of Φ such that $A' = A + d\Phi$.

These equations can be considered either in \mathcal{D}' or on smaller spaces like C^{∞} or $C^{\infty}_{\mathrm{pol}}$.





• Let $\widetilde{\pi} : \Xi \to \mathcal{X}, (x, \xi) \mapsto x$, be the canonical projection.



- Let $\widetilde{\pi} : \Xi \to \mathcal{X}, (x, \xi) \mapsto x$, be the canonical projection.
- Let $\sigma^B := \sigma + \widetilde{\pi}^*[B]$.

$$\sigma_z^B((x,\xi),(y,\eta)) := \sigma((x,\xi),(y,\eta)) + B(z)(x,y), \quad \forall z \in \mathcal{X}$$



- Let $\widetilde{\pi} : \Xi \to \mathcal{X}, (x, \xi) \mapsto x$, be the canonical projection.
- Let $\sigma^B := \sigma + \widetilde{\pi}^*[B]$.

$$\sigma_z^B((x,\xi),(y,\eta)) := \sigma((x,\xi),(y,\eta)) + B(z)(x,y), \quad \forall z \in \mathcal{X}$$

This σ^B defines a new symplectic form on Ξ .



- Let $\widetilde{\pi} : \Xi \to \mathcal{X}, (x, \xi) \mapsto x$, be the canonical projection.
- Let $\sigma^B := \sigma + \widetilde{\pi}^*[B]$.

$$\sigma_z^B((x,\xi),(y,\eta)) := \sigma((x,\xi),(y,\eta)) + B(z)(x,y), \quad \forall z \in \mathcal{X}$$

This σ^B defines a new symplectic form on Ξ .

We associate to σ^B a new Poisson bracket:

$$\left\{f,g\right\}^{B}:=\sigma^{B}(\mathfrak{j}_{B}^{-1}(df),\mathfrak{j}_{B}^{-1}(dg))$$

- Let $\widetilde{\pi} : \Xi \to \mathcal{X}, (x, \xi) \mapsto x$, be the canonical projection.
- Let $\sigma^B := \sigma + \widetilde{\pi}^*[B]$.

$$\sigma_z^B((x,\xi),(y,\eta)) := \sigma((x,\xi),(y,\eta)) + B(z)(x,y), \quad \forall z \in \mathcal{X}$$

This σ^B defines a new symplectic form on Ξ .

We associate to σ^B a new Poisson bracket:

$$\left\{f,g\right\}^{B}:=\sigma^{B}(\mathfrak{j}_{B}^{-1}(df),\mathfrak{j}_{B}^{-1}(dg))$$

where j_B is the canonical isomorphism

$$j_B: \Xi \to \Xi^*, \quad < j_B(\mathfrak{X}), \mathfrak{Y} >:= \sigma^B(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y}).$$



Using the canonical global coordinates we have:

$$\{f,g\}^{B}(x,\xi) :=$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left[(\partial_{\xi_{j}} f)(x,\xi) (\partial_{x_{j}} g)(x,\xi) - (\partial_{x_{j}} f)(x,\xi) (\partial_{\xi_{j}} g)(x,\xi) \right] +$$

$$\sum_{j,k=1}^{n} B_{jk}(x) (\partial_{\xi_{j}} f)(x,\xi) (\partial_{\xi_{k}} g)(x,\xi)$$

The main point in passing to a *quantic description* consists in introducing a *non-commutativity* between positions (in \mathcal{X}) and momenta (in \mathcal{X}^*).

The canonical commutation relations between the position observables $\{q_1, \ldots, q_n\}$ and the momenta $\{p_1, \ldots, p_n\}$ must be of the form:

$$[q_i, q_j] = 0, [p_i, p_j] = 0, [p_i, q_j] = -i\hbar \delta_{ij}, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n.$$

The main point in passing to a quantic description

```
consists in introducing a non-commutativity
between positions (in \mathcal{X}) and momenta (in \mathcal{X}^*).
```

The canonical commutation relations between the position observables $\{q_1, \ldots, q_n\}$ and the momenta $\{p_1, \ldots, p_n\}$ must be of the form:

$$[q_i, q_j] = 0, \ [p_i, p_j] = 0, \ [p_i, q_j] = -i\hbar \delta_{ij}, \qquad i, j = 1, \dots, n.$$

A way to introduce these commutation relations in a mathematical precise form is the Weyl system.

The Weyl system



The Weyl system

ullet Consists in a complex Hilbert space ${\cal H}$



The Weyl system

- ullet Consists in a complex Hilbert space ${\cal H}$
- and two strongly continuous unitary representations:

$$\mathcal{X} \ni \mathsf{x} \mapsto U_{\hbar}(\mathsf{x}) \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$$

 $\mathcal{X}^* \ni \xi \mapsto V(\xi) \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$



The Weyl system

- ullet Consists in a complex Hilbert space ${\cal H}$
- and two strongly continuous unitary representations:

$$\mathcal{X} \ni x \mapsto U_{\hbar}(x) \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$$

 $\mathcal{X}^* \ni \xi \mapsto V(\xi) \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$

• satisfying the Weyl commutation relations:

$$U_{\hbar}(x)V(\xi) = e^{i\hbar \langle \xi, x \rangle} V(\xi)U_{\hbar}(x), \qquad x \in \mathcal{X}, \ \xi \in \mathcal{X}^*.$$

We may put the definition of the Weyl system in the following form involving the symplectic form on Ξ :



We may put the definition of the Weyl system in the following form involving the symplectic form on Ξ :

The Weyl system - symplectic form

- ullet Is given by a complex Hilbert space ${\cal H}$
- and a strongly continuous map

$$\Xi \ni X \mapsto W_{\hbar}(X) \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H}),$$

satisfying the relations

$$W_{\hbar}(X)W_{\hbar}(Y) = \exp\left\{\frac{i\hbar}{2}\sigma(X,Y)\right\}W_{\hbar}(X+Y), \quad W_{\hbar}(0) = 1.$$

(just take
$$W_{\hbar}(x,\xi):=e^{(i\hbar/2)<\xi,x>}U_{\hbar}(-x)V(\xi)$$
)



The quantum observables



The quantum dynamics

The quantum observables

• For any test function $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\Xi)$



The quantum dynamics

The quantum observables

- For any test function $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\Xi)$
- we can define the associated *quantum observable*

$$\mathfrak{Op}_{\hbar}(\phi) := (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{\Xi} [\mathcal{F}^{-1}\phi](X) \ W_{\hbar}(X) \ dX \ \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$$

where \mathcal{F}^{-1} is the inverse Fourier transform on $\mathcal{S}(\Xi)$.



•
$$\mathcal{H} := L^2(\mathcal{X})$$
,



- $\mathcal{H} := L^2(\mathcal{X})$,
- $[U_{\hbar}(x)f](y) := f(y \hbar x),$



- $\mathcal{H} := L^2(\mathcal{X})$,
- $\bullet \ [U_{\hbar}(x)f](y) := f(y \hbar x),$
- $[V(\xi)f](y) := e^{-i < \xi, y > f(y)}$,



- $\bullet \ \mathcal{H} := L^2(\mathcal{X}),$
- $\bullet \ [U_{\hbar}(x)f](y) := f(y \hbar x),$
- $[V(\xi)f](y) := e^{-i < \xi, y > f(y)}$,

Then we have

- $\bullet [W_{\hbar}(x,\xi)f](y) = e^{-i\xi(y+(\hbar/2)x)}f(y+\hbar x),$
- $[\mathfrak{Op}_{\hbar}(\phi)f](y) = (2\pi\hbar)^{-d} \int_X dz \int_{X'} d\zeta \ e^{(i/\hbar)\zeta(y-z)} \phi\left(\frac{y+z}{2},\zeta\right) f(z)$,

- $\bullet \mathcal{H} := L^2(\mathcal{X}),$
- $\bullet \ [U_{\hbar}(x)f](y) := f(y \hbar x),$
- $[V(\xi)f](y) := e^{-i < \xi, y > f(y)}$,

Then we have

- $\bullet [W_{\hbar}(x,\xi)f](y) = e^{-i\xi(y+(\hbar/2)x)}f(y+\hbar x),$
- $[\mathfrak{Op}_{\hbar}(\phi)f](y) = (2\pi\hbar)^{-d} \int_X dz \int_{X'} d\zeta \ e^{(i/\hbar)\zeta(y-z)}\phi\left(\frac{y+z}{2},\zeta\right)f(z),$

and we can extend $\mathfrak{O}\mathfrak{p}_{\hbar}$ to a map

$$\mathfrak{Op}_{\hbar}: \mathcal{S}(\Xi)' o \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{X}); \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{X})')$$

that is an isomorphism of linear topological spaces.



The magnetic Schrödinger representation

• Suppose chosen a gauge A for the magnetic field B.



The magnetic Schrödinger representation

- Suppose chosen a gauge A for the magnetic field B.
- We have to define a functional calculus for the family of non-commuting operators

$$Q_1, \dots, Q_n; \Pi_1^A := D_1 - iA_1, \dots, \Pi_n^A := D_n - iA_n$$

 $[Q_j, Q_k] = 0, \quad [\Pi_j^A, Q_k] = -i\hbar \delta_{jk}, \quad [\Pi_j^A, \Pi_k^A] = i\hbar B_{jk}(Q).$

representing the canonical variables in the magnetic field.



The magnetic Schrödinger representation

- Suppose chosen a gauge A for the magnetic field B.
- We have to define a functional calculus for the family of non-commuting operators

$$Q_1, \dots, Q_n; \Pi_1^A := D_1 - iA_1, \dots, \Pi_n^A := D_n - iA_n$$

 $[Q_j, Q_k] = 0, \quad [\Pi_j^A, Q_k] = -i\hbar \delta_{jk}, \quad [\Pi_j^A, \Pi_k^A] = i\hbar B_{jk}(Q).$

representing the canonical variables in the magnetic field.

 We shall use the unitary groups associated to the above 2n self-adjoint operators and define the Magnetic Weyl system:

$$W_{\hbar}^{A}((x,\xi)) := e^{-i\langle\xi,(Q+(\hbar/2)x)\rangle} e^{-(i/\hbar)\int_{[Q,Q+\hbar x]}A} e^{i\hbar\langle x,P\rangle}$$



• For any test function $f:\Xi\to\mathbb{C}$ we define the associated magnetic Weyl operator:

$$\mathfrak{Op}_{\hbar}^{A}(f) := (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{\Xi} dX \hat{f}(X) W_{\hbar}^{A}(X) \in \mathbb{B}[\mathcal{H}]$$



• For any test function $f:\Xi\to\mathbb{C}$ we define the associated magnetic Weyl operator:

$$\mathfrak{Op}_{\hbar}^{A}(f) := (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{\Xi} dX \hat{f}(X) W_{\hbar}^{A}(X) \in \mathbb{B}[\mathcal{H}]$$

• In fact for any tempered distribution $F \in \mathcal{S}'(\Xi)$ we can define the linear operator:

$$\mathfrak{Op}_{\hbar}^{A}(F) := (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{\Xi} dX \hat{F}(X) W_{\hbar}^{A}(X) \in \mathbb{B}[\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{X}); \mathcal{S}'(\mathcal{X})]$$

• For any test function $f:\Xi\to\mathbb{C}$ we define the associated magnetic Weyl operator:

$$\mathfrak{Op}_{\hbar}^{A}(f) := (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{\Xi} dX \hat{f}(X) W_{\hbar}^{A}(X) \in \mathbb{B}[\mathcal{H}]$$

• In fact for any tempered distribution $F \in \mathcal{S}'(\Xi)$ we can define the linear operator:

$$\mathfrak{Op}_{\hbar}^{A}(F) := (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{\Xi} dX \hat{F}(X) W_{\hbar}^{A}(X) \in \mathbb{B}[\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{X}); \mathcal{S}'(\mathcal{X})]$$

• It defines a linear bijection [M.P., J. Math. Phys. 04].

• For any test function $f:\Xi\to\mathbb{C}$ we define the associated magnetic Weyl operator:

$$\mathfrak{Op}_{\hbar}^{A}(f) := (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{\Xi} dX \hat{f}(X) W_{\hbar}^{A}(X) \in \mathbb{B}[\mathcal{H}]$$

• In fact for any tempered distribution $F \in \mathcal{S}'(\Xi)$ we can define the linear operator:

$$\mathfrak{Op}_{\hbar}^{A}(F) := (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{\Xi} dX \hat{F}(X) W_{\hbar}^{A}(X) \in \mathbb{B}[\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{X}); \mathcal{S}'(\mathcal{X})]$$

• It defines a linear bijection [M.P., J. Math. Phys. 04].

Observation: Gauge covariance

The Schrödinger representations associated to any two gauge-equivqlent vector potentials are unitarily equivqlent:

$$A' = A + d\varphi \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathfrak{Op}_{\hbar}^{A'}(f) = e^{i\varphi(Q)} \mathfrak{Op}_{\hbar}^{A}(f) e^{-i\varphi(Q)}.$$

Hypothesis

The magnetic field B has components of class $C_{\text{pol}}^{\infty}(\mathcal{X})$.



Hypothesis

The magnetic field B has components of class $C_{\text{pol}}^{\infty}(\mathcal{X})$.

The magnetic Moyal product

The above functional calculus induces a magnetic composition on the complex linear space of test functions $S(\Xi)$:

$$\mathfrak{O}\mathfrak{p}_{\hbar}^{A}(f\sharp_{\hbar}^{B}g) \,:=\, \mathfrak{O}\mathfrak{p}_{\hbar}^{A}(f)\,\cdot\, \mathfrak{O}\mathfrak{p}_{\hbar}^{A}(g)$$

Hypothesis

The magnetic field B has components of class $C_{\text{pol}}^{\infty}(\mathcal{X})$.

The magnetic Moyal product

The above functional calculus induces a magnetic composition on the complex linear space of test functions $S(\Xi)$:

$$\mathfrak{Op}_{\hbar}^{A}(f\sharp_{\hbar}^{B}g):=\mathfrak{Op}_{\hbar}^{A}(f)\cdot\mathfrak{Op}_{\hbar}^{A}(g)$$

Explicitely we have:

$$(f\sharp_{\hbar}^{B}g)(X):=(\pi\hbar)^{-2d}\int_{\Xi}dY\int_{\Xi}dZ\,e^{-(i/\hbar)\int_{\mathcal{T}_{X}(Y,Z)}\sigma^{B}}f(X-Y)g(X-Z)$$

where $\mathcal{T}_X(Y,Z)$ is the triangle in Ξ having vertices:

$$X - Y - Z$$
, $X + Y - Z$, $X - Y + Z$.



By the Schwartz Kernel Theorem, any operator $T \in \mathbb{B}(\mathscr{S}(\mathcal{X}); \mathscr{S}'(\mathcal{X}))$ is an integral operator with a distribution kernel $\mathfrak{K}(T) \in \mathscr{S}'(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X})$. For $F \in \mathscr{S}'(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X})$ let $\mathfrak{I}(F) \in \mathbb{B}(\mathscr{S}(\mathcal{X}); \mathscr{S}'(\mathcal{X}))$ be the associated operator.

By the Schwartz Kernel Theorem, any operator $T \in \mathbb{B}(\mathscr{S}(\mathcal{X}); \mathscr{S}'(\mathcal{X}))$ is an integral operator with a distribution kernel $\mathfrak{K}(T) \in \mathscr{S}'(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X})$. For $F \in \mathscr{S}'(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X})$ let $\mathfrak{I}(F) \in \mathbb{B}(\mathscr{S}(\mathcal{X}); \mathscr{S}'(\mathcal{X}))$ be the associated operator.

• We consider the change of variables:

$$\begin{split} \mathfrak{m}_{\hbar}: \mathscr{S}'(\Xi) &\to \mathscr{S}'(\Xi), \quad \big(\mathfrak{m}_{\hbar}\big)(x,\xi) := F(x,\hbar\xi), \\ \Theta: \mathscr{S}'(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}) &\to \mathscr{S}'(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}), \quad \big(\Theta(F)\big)(x,y) := F\left(\frac{x+y}{2},y-x\right). \end{split}$$

By the Schwartz Kernel Theorem, any operator $T \in \mathbb{B}(\mathscr{S}(\mathcal{X}); \mathscr{S}'(\mathcal{X}))$ is an integral operator with a distribution kernel $\mathfrak{K}(T) \in \mathscr{S}'(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X})$. For $F \in \mathscr{S}'(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X})$ let $\mathfrak{I}(F) \in \mathbb{B}(\mathscr{S}(\mathcal{X}); \mathscr{S}'(\mathcal{X}))$ be the associated operator.

• We consider the change of variables:

$$\begin{split} \mathfrak{m}_{\hbar} : \mathscr{S}'(\Xi) &\to \mathscr{S}'(\Xi), \quad \big(\mathfrak{m}_{\hbar}\big)(x,\xi) := F(x,\hbar\xi), \\ \Theta : \mathscr{S}'(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}) &\to \mathscr{S}'(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}), \quad \big(\Theta(F)\big)(x,y) := F\left(\frac{x+y}{2},y-x\right). \end{split}$$

• The inverse Fourier transform in the second variable:

$$\mathfrak{F} := \mathbb{1} \otimes \mathcal{F}^- : \mathscr{S}'(\Xi) \to \mathscr{S}'(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X})$$

• and the operator \mathfrak{e}_{\hbar}^{A} of multiplication on $\mathscr{S}'(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X})$ with the C^{∞} function $e^{-(i/\hbar)\int_{[x,y]}A}$ (we choose the components of A in C_{pol}^{∞}).



By the Schwartz Kernel Theorem, any operator $T \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{X}); \mathcal{S}'(\mathcal{X}))$ is an integral operator with a distribution kernel $\mathfrak{K}(T) \in \mathscr{S}'(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X})$. For $F \in \mathscr{S}'(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X})$ let $\mathfrak{I}(F) \in \mathbb{B}(\mathscr{S}(\mathcal{X}); \mathscr{S}'(\mathcal{X}))$ be the associated operator.

We consider the change of variables:

$$\mathfrak{m}_{\hbar}: \mathscr{S}'(\Xi) \to \mathscr{S}'(\Xi), \quad (\mathfrak{m}_{\hbar})(x,\xi) := F(x,\hbar\xi),$$

$$\Theta: \mathscr{S}'(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}) \to \mathscr{S}'(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}), \quad (\Theta(F))(x,y) := F\left(\frac{x+y}{2},y-x\right).$$

• The inverse Fourier transform in the second variable:

$$\mathfrak{F}:=\mathbb{1}\otimes\mathcal{F}^-:\mathscr{S}'(\Xi)\to\mathscr{S}'(\mathcal{X}\times\mathcal{X})$$

• and the operator \mathfrak{e}_{h}^{A} of multiplication on $\mathscr{S}'(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X})$ with the C^{∞} function $e^{-(i/\hbar)\int_{[x,y]}A}$ (we choose the components of A in C_{pol}^{∞}).

Then, for $F \in \mathcal{S}'(\Xi)$ we have $\mathfrak{Op}_{h}^{A}(F) = \mathfrak{I}(\mathfrak{e}_{h}^{A} \circ \Theta \circ \mathfrak{F} \circ \mathfrak{m}_{h}(F))$, all the applications being bijective.

Let us recall:

Definition

A Poisson algebra is a triple $(\mathscr{A},\circ,\{\cdot,\cdot\})$, where \mathscr{A} is a real vector space, \circ , $\{\cdot,\cdot\}$ are bilinear maps : $\mathscr{A}\times\mathscr{A}\to\mathscr{A}$ such that \circ is associative and commutative, $\{\cdot,\cdot\}$ is antisymmetric and for each $\varphi\in\mathscr{A}$, $\{\varphi,\cdot\}$ is a derivation both with respect to \circ and to $\{\cdot,\cdot\}$. Thus, aside bilinearity, the two maps satisfy for all $\varphi,\psi,\rho\in\mathscr{A}$:

- (i) $\psi \circ \varphi = \varphi \circ \psi$, $(\psi \circ \varphi) \circ \rho = \psi \circ (\varphi \circ \rho)$,
- (ii) $\{\psi, \varphi\} = -\{\varphi, \psi\}$,
- (iii) $\{\varphi, \psi \circ \rho\} = \psi \circ \{\varphi, \rho\} + \{\varphi, \psi\} \circ \rho$ (Leibnitz rule),
- (iv) $\{\varphi, \{\psi, \rho\}\} = \{\{\varphi, \psi\}, \rho\} + \{\psi, \{\varphi, \rho\}\}\$ (Jacobi's identity).

Let us recall:

Definition

A Poisson algebra is a triple $(\mathscr{A}, \circ, \{\cdot, \cdot\})$, where \mathscr{A} is a real vector space, \circ , $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$ are bilinear maps : $\mathscr{A} \times \mathscr{A} \to \mathscr{A}$ such that \circ is associative and commutative, $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$ is antisymmetric and for each $\varphi \in \mathscr{A}$, $\{\varphi, \cdot\}$ is a derivation both with respect to \circ and to $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$. Thus, aside bilinearity, the two maps satisfy for all $\varphi, \psi, \rho \in \mathscr{A}$:

- (i) $\psi \circ \varphi = \varphi \circ \psi$, $(\psi \circ \varphi) \circ \rho = \psi \circ (\varphi \circ \rho)$,
- (ii) $\{\psi, \varphi\} = -\{\varphi, \psi\}$,
- (iii) $\{\varphi, \psi \circ \rho\} = \psi \circ \{\varphi, \rho\} + \{\varphi, \psi\} \circ \rho$ (Leibnitz rule),
- (iv) $\{\varphi, \{\psi, \rho\}\} = \{\{\varphi, \psi\}, \rho\} + \{\psi, \{\varphi, \rho\}\}$ (Jacobi's identity).

Let \mathscr{A}_0 be a Poisson algebra which is densely contained in the self-adjoint part $\mathfrak{C}^0_{\mathbb{R}}$ of an abelian C^* -algebra \mathfrak{C}^0 .

Definition

A strict quantization of the Poisson algebra $(\mathscr{A}_0, \circ, \{\cdot, \cdot\})$ is a family of maps $(\mathfrak{Q}^{\hbar} : \mathscr{A}_0 \to \mathfrak{C}^{\hbar}_{\mathbb{R}})_{\hbar \in I}$, where

- (i) $\forall \hbar \in I_0, \ \mathfrak{C}^{\hbar}$ is a C^* -algebra, with product \sharp^{\hbar} and norm $\|\cdot\|_{\hbar}$.
- (ii) $\mathfrak{Q}^{\hbar}:\mathscr{A}_0\to\mathfrak{C}^{\hbar}_{\mathbb{R}}$ is \mathbb{R} -linear $\forall \hbar\in I_0$ and \mathfrak{Q}^0 is just the inclusion map, and the following axioms are fulfilled:
- (a) RIEFFEL'S CONDITION:
- $I \ni \hbar \to \parallel \mathfrak{Q}^{\hbar}(\varphi) \parallel_{\hbar} \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$ is continuous $\forall \varphi \in \mathscr{A}_{0}$.
- (b) VON NEUMANN CONDITION: For $\varphi, \psi \in \mathscr{A}_0$,

$$\lim_{\hbar \to 0} \| \frac{1}{2} \left(\varphi^{\hbar} \sharp^{\hbar} \psi^{\hbar} + \psi^{\hbar} \sharp^{\hbar} \varphi^{\hbar} \right) - \mathfrak{Q}^{\hbar} (\varphi \circ \psi) \|_{\hbar} \to 0.$$

- (c) DIRAC'S CONDITION: For $\varphi, \psi \in \mathscr{A}_0$,
- $\lim_{\hbar \to 0} \| \frac{1}{i\hbar} \left(\varphi^{\hbar} \sharp^{\hbar} \psi^{\hbar} \psi^{\hbar} \sharp^{\hbar} \varphi^{\hbar} \right) \mathfrak{Q}^{\hbar} \left(\left\{ \varphi, \psi \right\} \right) \|_{\hbar} \to 0.$
- (e) COMPLETENESS: $\mathfrak{Q}^{\hbar}(\mathscr{A}_0)$ is dense in $\mathfrak{C}^{\hbar}_{\mathbb{R}}$ for all $\hbar \in I$.



A strict quantization $(\mathfrak{Q}^{\hbar}:\mathscr{A}_{0}\to\mathscr{C}^{\hbar}_{\mathbb{R}})_{\hbar\in I}$ is called a strict deformation quantization if for each \hbar , $\mathfrak{Q}^{\hbar}(\mathscr{A}_{0})$ is a subalgebra of $\mathscr{C}^{\hbar}_{\mathbb{R}}$ and \mathfrak{Q}^{\hbar} is injective.

A strict quantization $(\mathfrak{Q}^{\hbar}:\mathscr{A}_0\to\mathscr{C}^{\hbar}_{\mathbb{R}})_{\hbar\in I}$ is called a strict deformation quantization if for each \hbar , $\mathfrak{Q}^{\hbar}(\mathscr{A}_0)$ is a subalgebra of $\mathscr{C}^{\hbar}_{\mathbb{R}}$ and \mathfrak{Q}^{\hbar} is injective.

Let us consider:

- $\mathscr{A}_0 := \mathscr{S}(\Xi)$ with \circ the usual pointwise multiplication and $\{.,.\} = \{.,.\}_B$ the Poisson bracket associated to the 'magnetic' simplectic form on Ξ .
- $\mathfrak{C}^0 := C_{\infty}(\Xi)$ (continuous functions vanishing at infinity).
- $\forall h > 0$, $\mathfrak{C}^h := \mathbb{B}_{\infty} (L^2(\mathcal{X}))$ (the compact operators in the Schrödinger representation of the magnetic Weyl system).
- $\mathfrak{Q}^{\hbar}(\varphi) := \mathfrak{O}\mathfrak{p}_{\hbar}^{A}(\varphi)$ for some vector potential A associated to B.

A strict quantization $(\mathfrak{Q}^{\hbar}:\mathscr{A}_0\to\mathscr{C}^{\hbar}_{\mathbb{R}})_{\hbar\in I}$ is called a strict deformation quantization if for each \hbar , $\mathfrak{Q}^{\hbar}(\mathscr{A}_0)$ is a subalgebra of $\mathscr{C}^{\hbar}_{\mathbb{R}}$ and \mathfrak{Q}^{\hbar} is injective.

Let us consider:

- $\mathscr{A}_0 := \mathscr{S}(\Xi)$ with \circ the usual pointwise multiplication and $\{.,.\} = \{.,.\}_B$ the Poisson bracket associated to the 'magnetic' simplectic form on Ξ .
- $\mathfrak{C}^0 := C_{\infty}(\Xi)$ (continuous functions vanishing at infinity).
- $\forall h > 0$, $\mathfrak{C}^h := \mathbb{B}_{\infty} (L^2(\mathcal{X}))$ (the compact operators in the Schrödinger representation of the magnetic Weyl system).
- $\mathfrak{Q}^{\hbar}(\varphi) := \mathfrak{Op}_{\hbar}^{A}(\varphi)$ for some vector potential A associated to B.

Theorem [MP JMP'05]

The above family is a strict deformation quantization.

Suppose given a Weyl system $W_{\hbar}: \Xi \to \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$ for some $\hbar \in I_0$.



Suppose given a Weyl system $W_{\hbar} : \Xi \to \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$ for some $\hbar \in I_0$. For any fixed vector $\varphi \in \mathcal{H} \setminus \{0\}$ we can define the family

$$\{\varphi_{\hbar}(X)\}_{X\in\Xi}, \qquad \varphi_{\hbar}(X):=W_{\hbar}(-\hbar^{-1}X)\varphi.$$

Suppose given a Weyl system $W_{\hbar} : \Xi \to \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$ for some $\hbar \in I_0$. For any fixed vector $\varphi \in \mathcal{H} \setminus \{0\}$ we can define the family

$$\{\varphi_{\hbar}(X)\}_{X\in\Xi}, \qquad \varphi_{\hbar}(X):=W_{\hbar}(-\hbar^{-1}X)\varphi.$$

Proposition

For any two vectors $(\varphi, \psi) \in (\mathcal{H} \setminus \{0\})^2$

- the map $\Xi \ni X \mapsto \mathcal{W}^{\hbar}_{\varphi,\psi}(X) := (\varphi_{\hbar}(X),\psi)_{\mathcal{H}} \in \mathbb{C}$ is of class $L^2(\Xi)$ for the measure $d^d_{\hbar}X := \frac{dX^d}{(2\pi\hbar)^d}$.
- and using the canonical Riesz anti-isomorpism $\mathfrak{R}:\mathcal{H}\to\mathcal{H}^*$ the map $\mathcal{H}^*\otimes\mathcal{H}\ni\mathfrak{R}(\varphi)\otimes\psi\mapsto\mathcal{W}^\hbar_{\varphi,\psi}\in L^2\left(\Xi,\frac{dX^d}{(2\pi\hbar)^d}\right)$ is unitary.



Let us consider the vector $\varphi\in\mathcal{H}$ to be of unit norm and let us denote its associated orthogonal projection in $\mathcal H$ by

$$P_{\varphi} \equiv |\varphi\rangle\langle\varphi| \in \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}).$$

Thus, chosing any two vectors $(\psi_1, \psi_2) \in \mathcal{H}^2$ we have

$$(\psi_1,\psi_2)_{\mathcal{H}} = \int_{\Xi} \overline{\mathcal{W}_{\varphi,\psi_1}^{\hbar}(X)} \mathcal{W}_{\varphi,\psi_2}^{\hbar}(X) \frac{dX^d}{(2\pi\hbar)^d} = \int_{\Xi} (\psi_1, P_{\varphi_{\hbar}(X)}\psi_2)_{\mathcal{H}} \frac{dX^d}{(2\pi\hbar)^d}.$$

Thus
$$\int_{\Xi} P_{\varphi_h(X)} \frac{dX^d}{(2\pi\hbar)^d} = 1$$

in the weak operator topology on $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$.



The Projective Space

$\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})$ as a metric space.

Definition

Given a complex Hilbert space \mathcal{H} ,

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}) := \big\{ P \in \mathbb{B}_1(\mathcal{H}) \mid P^2 = P = P^*, \operatorname{Tr} P = 1 \big\}.$$

$\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})$ as a metric space.

Definition

Given a complex Hilbert space \mathcal{H} ,

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}) := \big\{ P \in \mathbb{B}_1(\mathcal{H}) \mid P^2 = P = P^*, \operatorname{Tr} P = 1 \big\}.$$

- $\forall (P,Q) \in \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})^2$ we have that $0 \leq \text{Tr}(PQ) \leq 1$.
- The following applications define equivalent metrics on $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})$:

$$d_p(P,Q) := \|P - Q\|_p \equiv \left(\operatorname{Tr}|P - Q|^p\right)^{(1/p)}, \qquad 1 \le p \le \infty.$$

$$\widetilde{d}(P,Q) := \arccos\operatorname{Tr}(PQ).$$

• We notice that: $d_{\infty}(P,Q) = \sqrt{1 - \text{Tr}(PQ)}$.

$\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})$ as a quotient space.

- Let $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}) := \{ \psi \in \mathcal{H} \mid \|\psi\|_{\mathcal{H}} = 1 \}.$
- We have the group action $\mathbb{U}(1) \times \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}) \ni (\lambda, \psi) \mapsto \lambda \psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$.
- Then $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})\cong\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})/\mathbb{U}(1)$ as metric spaces; i.e. the quotient metric $\hat{d}([\phi], [\psi]) := \inf_{\lambda \in \mathbb{U}(1)} \|\phi \lambda\psi\|_{\mathcal{H}} = \sqrt{2 \left(1 [\operatorname{Tr}(P_{\phi}P_{\psi})]^{1/2}\right)}$ is equivalent with the above metrics, (Here $P_{\phi}\psi := (\phi, \psi)_{\mathcal{H}}\phi$ and we shall denote it also by $P_{\phi} \equiv |\phi> < \phi|$.)
- We also have $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}^*) \cong \mathcal{H}/\mathbb{C}^*$ for the natural group action $\mathcal{H}^* \times \mathbb{C}^* \ni (\psi, c) \mapsto c\psi \in \mathcal{H}^*$.

- Let $\mathbb{U}(\mathcal{H}) := \{U \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}) \mid UU^* = U^*U = 1\}$ endowed with the operator multiplication and with the topology defined by the operator norm.
- We have the topological group action

$$\mathbb{U}(\mathcal{H}) \times \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}) \ni (U, P) \mapsto UPU^* \in \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}).$$

- Let $\mathbb{U}(\mathcal{H}) := \{U \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}) \mid UU^* = U^*U = 1\}$ endowed with the operator multiplication and with the topology defined by the operator norm.
- We have the topological group action

$$\mathbb{U}(\mathcal{H})\times\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})\ni (U,P)\mapsto UPU^*\in\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}).$$

For any fixed $P \in \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})$ let

• $V_1(P) := \{ Q \in \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}) \mid d_{\infty}(P,Q) < 1 \} = \{ Q \in \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}) \mid \mathsf{Tr}(PQ) > 0 \}$

- Let $\mathbb{U}(\mathcal{H}) := \{U \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}) \mid UU^* = U^*U = 1\}$ endowed with the operator multiplication and with the topology defined by the operator norm.
- We have the topological group action

$$\mathbb{U}(\mathcal{H})\times\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})\ni (U,P)\mapsto UPU^*\in\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}).$$

For any fixed $P \in \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})$ let

- $\bullet \ \mathcal{V}_1(P) := \{Q \in \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}) \ | \ d_{\infty}(P,Q) < 1\} = \{Q \in \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}) \ | \ \mathsf{Tr}(PQ) > 0\}$
- $\mathbb{I}_P := \{ U \in \mathbb{U}(\mathcal{H}) \mid UPU^* = P \},$ $\mathfrak{p}_P : \mathbb{U}(\mathcal{H}) \to \mathbb{U}(\mathcal{H})/\mathbb{I}_P =: \mathbb{U}(\mathcal{H})_P.$

- Let $\mathbb{U}(\mathcal{H}) := \{U \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}) \mid UU^* = U^*U = 1\}$ endowed with the operator multiplication and with the topology defined by the operator norm.
- We have the topological group action

$$\mathbb{U}(\mathcal{H})\times\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})\ni (U,P)\mapsto UPU^*\in\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}).$$

For any fixed $P \in \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})$ let

- $\bullet \ \mathcal{V}_1(P) := \{Q \in \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}) \ | \ d_{\infty}(P,Q) < 1\} = \{Q \in \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}) \ | \ \mathsf{Tr}(PQ) > 0\}$
- $\mathbb{I}_P := \{ U \in \mathbb{U}(\mathcal{H}) \mid UPU^* = P \},$ $\mathfrak{p}_P : \mathbb{U}(\mathcal{H}) \to \mathbb{U}(\mathcal{H})/\mathbb{I}_P =: \mathbb{U}(\mathcal{H})_P.$
- $\bullet \text{ Let also } \mathcal{V}_{\sqrt{2}}(1\!\!1) := \big\{ U \in \mathbb{U}(\mathcal{H}) \ | \ \|U 1\!\!1\|_{\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})} < \sqrt{2} \big\}.$

- Let $\mathbb{U}(\mathcal{H}) := \{U \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}) \mid UU^* = U^*U = 1\}$ endowed with the operator multiplication and with the topology defined by the operator norm.
- We have the topological group action

$$\mathbb{U}(\mathcal{H})\times\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})\ni (U,P)\mapsto UPU^*\in\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}).$$

For any fixed $P \in \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})$ let

- $\bullet \ \mathcal{V}_1(P) := \{Q \in \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}) \mid \ d_{\infty}(P,Q) < 1\} = \{Q \in \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}) \mid \ \mathsf{Tr}(PQ) > 0\}$
- $\mathbb{I}_P := \{ U \in \mathbb{U}(\mathcal{H}) \mid UPU^* = P \},$ $\mathfrak{p}_P : \mathbb{U}(\mathcal{H}) \to \mathbb{U}(\mathcal{H})/\mathbb{I}_P =: \mathbb{U}(\mathcal{H})_P.$
- Let also $\mathcal{V}_{\sqrt{2}}(1) := \{ U \in \mathbb{U}(\mathcal{H}) \mid \|U 1\|_{\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})} < \sqrt{2} \}.$

Then we have an isometry $\mathfrak{h}_P: \mathcal{V}_1(P) \overset{\sim}{\to} \mathfrak{p}_P(\mathcal{V}_{\sqrt{2}}(\mathbb{1})) \subset \mathbb{U}(\mathcal{H})_P$.



Differentiable curves in $\mathbb{U}(\mathcal{H})$.

- $\gamma: (-1,1) \to \mathbb{U}(\mathcal{H})$ continuous such that $\gamma(0) = 1$ and $\exists X_{\gamma} \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ with $\lim_{t \to 0} \left\| \frac{\gamma(t) 1}{t} X_{\gamma} \right\|_{\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})} = 0$.
- The unitarity implies that $X_{\gamma}^* = -X_{\gamma}$.
- ullet Thus, $\mathbb{U}(\mathcal{H})$ with the operator norm topology is an infinite dimensional manifold of real Banach type having the tangent space at the identity isomorphic to the real Banach space

$$\mathbb{B}_{ah}(\mathcal{H}) := \{ X \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}) \mid X^* = -X \}.$$



Let us fix $P \in \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})$.

• Let us transport any differentiable curve $\gamma:(-1,1)\to \mathbb{U}(\mathcal{H})$ on $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})$ by conjugation on $P: \gamma_P(t):=\gamma(t)P\gamma(t)^*\in \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})$.

Let us fix $P \in \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})$.

- Let us transport any differentiable curve $\gamma:(-1,1)\to \mathbb{U}(\mathcal{H})$ on $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})$ by conjugation on $P: \gamma_P(t):=\gamma(t)P\gamma(t)^*\in \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})$.
- Then $\frac{d}{dt}\big|_{t=0} \gamma_P(t) = [X_{\gamma}, P] \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}).$

Let us fix $P \in \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})$.

- Let us transport any differentiable curve $\gamma:(-1,1)\to \mathbb{U}(\mathcal{H})$ on $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})$ by conjugation on $P: \gamma_P(t):=\gamma(t)P\gamma(t)^*\in \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})$.
- Then $\frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} \gamma_P(t) = [X_{\gamma}, P] \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}).$
- We notice that $\gamma(t) \in \mathbb{I}_P \Leftrightarrow [X_{\gamma}, P] = 0$. Let $\mathbb{H}_P := \{X \in \mathbb{B}_{ah}(\mathcal{H}) \mid [X, P] = 0\}$.

Let us fix $P \in \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})$.

- Let us transport any differentiable curve $\gamma:(-1,1)\to \mathbb{U}(\mathcal{H})$ on $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})$ by conjugation on $P: \gamma_P(t):=\gamma(t)P\gamma(t)^*\in \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})$.
- Then $\frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} \gamma_P(t) = [X_{\gamma}, P] \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}).$
- We notice that $\gamma(t) \in \mathbb{I}_P \Leftrightarrow [X_{\gamma}, P] = 0$. Let $\mathbb{H}_P := \{X \in \mathbb{B}_{ah}(\mathcal{H}) \mid [X, P] = 0\}$.

Thus
$$\mathbb{T}_P[\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})] \simeq \mathbb{B}_{\mathsf{ah}}(\mathcal{H})/\mathbb{H}_P$$

Let us fix $P \in \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})$.

- Let us transport any differentiable curve $\gamma:(-1,1)\to \mathbb{U}(\mathcal{H})$ on $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})$ by conjugation on $P: \gamma_P(t):=\gamma(t)P\gamma(t)^*\in \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})$.
- Then $\frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} \gamma_P(t) = [X_{\gamma}, P] \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}).$
- We notice that $\gamma(t) \in \mathbb{I}_P \Leftrightarrow [X_{\gamma}, P] = 0$. Let $\mathbb{H}_P := \{X \in \mathbb{B}_{ah}(\mathcal{H}) \mid [X, P] = 0\}$.

Thus $\mathbb{T}_P[\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})] \simeq \mathbb{B}_{ah}(\mathcal{H})/\mathbb{H}_P$

Hilbertian model for $\mathbb{T}_P[\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})]$.

- For any $\phi \in P\mathcal{H}$
- let us define $\Upsilon_{\phi}: \mathbb{B}_{\mathsf{ah}} \ni X \mapsto \Upsilon_{\phi}X := (\mathbb{1} \mathrm{P})X\phi \in [\phi]^{\perp} \subset \mathcal{H}.$

Then $\Upsilon_{\phi}: \mathbb{B}_{ah}/\mathbb{H}_{P} \to (\mathbb{1}-P)\mathcal{H}$ is a bijective isometry.



The symplectic structure on $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})$.

Let us notice that

• \mathbb{B}_{ah} is closed for the commutator.

The symplectic structure on $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})$.

Let us notice that

- \mathbb{B}_{ab} is closed for the commutator.
- $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}) \subset \mathbb{B}_1(\mathcal{H}) \cong [\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})]^*$ with the identification $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}) \ni P \mapsto \hat{P} \in [\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})]^*$, $\hat{P}(X) := \text{Tr}(PX)$

The symplectic structure on $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})$.

Let us notice that

- \mathbb{B}_{ah} is closed for the commutator.
- $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}) \subset \mathbb{B}_1(\mathcal{H}) \cong [\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})]^*$ with the identification $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}) \ni P \mapsto \hat{P} \in [\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})]^*, \ \hat{P}(X) := \text{Tr}(PX)$
- and we have the following canonical symplectic form:

$$\sigma_P^{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})}(X_1, X_2) := \hat{P}([X_1, X_2]) = \operatorname{Tr}(P[X_1, X_2]) =$$

$$= -2\Im(\Upsilon_{\phi}X_1, \Upsilon_{\phi}X_2)_{\mathcal{H}}, \quad \text{for } \phi \in P\mathcal{H}.$$

Magnetic Coherent States

Given a magnetic field *B* with bounded smooth components, we want to construct a set of *'magnetic coherent states'*, similar to the family defined above for a Weyl system.

Given a magnetic field *B* with bounded smooth components, we want to construct a set of 'magnetic coherent states', similar to the family defined above for a Weyl system.

We want these states to provide also a kind of *pure state quantization* in the sense of N.P. Landsman (that I shall briefly present further).

Given a magnetic field *B* with bounded smooth components, we want to construct a set of 'magnetic coherent states', similar to the family defined above for a Weyl system.

We want these states to provide also a kind of *pure state quantization* in the sense of N.P. Landsman (that I shall briefly present further).

In fact, together with Marius Măntoiu and Serge Richard we have constructed two types of *magnetic coherent states*:

- both depending only on the magnetic field and not on the vector potential,
- both reducing to the usual Weyl coherent states when B = 0
- but each one being more adequate to certain specific features connected with the *general properties* a coherent states system is supposed to have.

Let us consider some A with B = dA and the magnetic Weyl system with $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathcal{X})$.

Let us consider some A with B = dA and the magnetic Weyl system with $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathcal{X})$.

Let us consider $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$, i.e. $\phi \in L^2(\mathcal{X})$ with $\int_{\mathcal{X}} |\phi(x)|^2 dx = 1$ and its associated magnetic 1-d projection $P_{\phi} \in \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})$ given by $P_{\phi}\psi = (\phi, \psi)_{L^2(\mathcal{X})}\phi$.

Thus $P_{\phi} = \Im(\widetilde{p}_{\phi})$ where $\widetilde{p}_{\phi}(x,y) := \phi(x)\phi(y)$ is a distribution kernel in $L^{2}(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X})$. Thus its magnetic symbol $p_{\phi} \in L^{2}(\Xi)$ satisfies:

$$\mathfrak{e}_{\hbar}^{\mathcal{A}}\circ\Theta\circ\mathfrak{F}\circ\mathfrak{m}_{\hbar}(p_{\phi})=\widetilde{p}$$

and thus we would obtain a symbol depending on the vector potential A.

Let us consider some A with B=dA and the magnetic Weyl system with $\mathcal{H}=L^2(\mathcal{X})$.

Let us consider $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$, i.e. $\phi \in L^2(\mathcal{X})$ with $\int_{\mathcal{X}} |\phi(x)|^2 dx = 1$ and its associated magnetic 1-d projection $P_{\phi} \in \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})$ given by $P_{\phi}\psi = (\phi, \psi)_{L^2(\mathcal{X})}\phi$.

Thus $P_{\phi} = \Im(\widetilde{p}_{\phi})$ where $\widetilde{p}_{\phi}(x,y) := \phi(x)\overline{\phi(y)}$ is a distribution kernel in $L^2(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X})$. Thus its magnetic symbol $p_{\phi} \in L^2(\Xi)$ satisfies:

$$\mathfrak{e}_{\hbar}^{\mathcal{A}}\circ\Theta\circ\mathfrak{F}\circ\mathfrak{m}_{\hbar}(p_{\phi})=\widetilde{p}$$

and thus we would obtain a symbol depending on the vector potential A. We prefer to 'rename' the state vectors by making an A-dependent unitary transformation (the transversal gauge)

$$(\mathfrak{U}_{\hbar}^{A}\phi)(x):=e^{(i/\hbar)\int_{[0,x]}A}\phi(x).$$

so that $P_{\mathfrak{U}_{\hbar}^{A}\phi}=\mathfrak{I}(\widetilde{p}_{\phi}^{A})$ with $\widetilde{p}_{\phi}^{A}(x,y):=e^{(i/\hbar)\int_{[0,x]}A}e^{(-i/\hbar)\int_{[0,y]}A}\phi(x)\overline{\phi(y)}.$

We define

the magnetic projection symbol associated to the vector $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$ to be

$$p_{\phi,\hbar}^B = \mathfrak{m}_{\hbar}^{-1} \mathfrak{F}^{-1} \Theta^{-1} \left[\overline{\omega_{\hbar}^B} \left(\phi \otimes \overline{\phi} \right) \right]$$

with $\omega_{\hbar}^B(x,y) := e^{-(i/\hbar) \int_{<0,x,y>} B}$.

We define

the magnetic projection symbol associated to the vector $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$ to be

$$p_{\phi,\hbar}^B = \mathfrak{m}_{\hbar}^{-1} \mathfrak{F}^{-1} \Theta^{-1} \left[\overline{\omega_{\hbar}^B} \left(\phi \otimes \overline{\phi} \right) \right]$$

with
$$\omega_{\hbar}^B(x,y) := e^{-(i/\hbar) \int_{<0,x,y>} B}$$
.

$$\mathfrak{Op}^{A}(p_{\phi,\hbar}^{B})\mathfrak{U}_{\hbar}^{A}\phi=\mathfrak{U}_{\hbar}^{A}\phi.$$

We define

the magnetic projection symbol associated to the vector $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$ to be

$$p_{\phi,\hbar}^B = \mathfrak{m}_{\hbar}^{-1} \mathfrak{F}^{-1} \Theta^{-1} \left[\overline{\omega_{\hbar}^B} \big(\phi \otimes \overline{\phi} \big) \right]$$

with
$$\omega_{\hbar}^{B}(x,y) := e^{-(i/\hbar) \int_{\langle 0,x,y\rangle} B}$$
.

Then

$$\mathfrak{Op}^{A}(p_{\phi,\hbar}^{B})\mathfrak{U}_{\hbar}^{A}\phi=\mathfrak{U}_{\hbar}^{A}\phi.$$

We denote by

$$P_{\phi,\hbar}^{A} := \mathfrak{Op}^{A}(p_{\phi,\hbar}^{B})$$

the Schrödinger representation of a gauge invariant projection symbol.

We define

the magnetic projection symbol associated to the vector $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$ to be

$$p_{\phi,\hbar}^B = \mathfrak{m}_{\hbar}^{-1} \mathfrak{F}^{-1} \Theta^{-1} \left[\overline{\omega_{\hbar}^B} \big(\phi \otimes \overline{\phi} \big) \right]$$

with
$$\omega_{\hbar}^{B}(x,y) := e^{-(i/\hbar) \int_{\langle 0,x,y\rangle} B}$$
.

Then

$$\mathfrak{Op}^{A}(\rho_{\phi,\hbar}^{B})\mathfrak{U}_{\hbar}^{A}\phi=\mathfrak{U}_{\hbar}^{A}\phi.$$

We denote by

$$P_{\phi,\hbar}^A := \mathfrak{Op}^A(p_{\phi,\hbar}^B)$$

the Schrödinger representation of a gauge invariant projection symbol.

A magnetic projection symbol

is defined as $p \in L^2(\Xi)$ such that $\overline{p} = p = p \sharp_h^B p$.

Magnetic Coherent States

The Perelomov type magnetic coherent states

The Perelomov type Magnetic Coherent States

Definition 1

Given any $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$ we define the following family of pure quantum states indexed by $X \in \Xi$:

$$P_{\phi,\hbar}^{A}(X) := W_{\hbar}^{A}(\hbar X)^{-1} P_{\phi,\hbar}^{A} W_{\hbar}^{A}(\hbar X)$$

Then
$$P_{\phi,\hbar}^A(X) = \mathfrak{Op}^A(p_{\phi,\hbar}^B(X))$$
 where, if we denote by $\mathfrak{e}_X(Y) := e^{-(i\sigma(X,Y))} \ (\forall (X,Y) \in \Xi^2)$ we have $p_{\phi,\hbar}^B(X) = \mathfrak{e}_{-\hbar X} \sharp_{\hbar}^B p_{\phi,\hbar}^B \sharp_{\hbar}^B \mathfrak{e}_{\hbar X}.$

The Perelomov type Magnetic Coherent States

Definition 1

Given any $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$ we define the following family of pure quantum states indexed by $X \in \Xi$:

$$P_{\phi,\hbar}^A(X) := W_{\hbar}^A(\hbar X)^{-1} P_{\phi,\hbar}^A W_{\hbar}^A(\hbar X)$$

Then
$$P_{\phi,\hbar}^A(X) = \mathfrak{Op}^A(p_{\phi,\hbar}^B(X))$$
 where, if we denote by $\mathfrak{e}_X(Y) := e^{-(i\sigma(X,Y))} \ (\forall (X,Y) \in \Xi^2)$ we have $p_{\phi,\hbar}^B(X) = \mathfrak{e}_{-\hbar X} \sharp_{\hbar}^B p_{\phi,\hbar}^B \sharp_{\hbar}^B \mathfrak{e}_{\hbar X}.$

Remark:

$$P_{\phi,\hbar}^{A}(X)\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{C} \cdot W_{\hbar}^{A}(\hbar X)^{-1}\mathfrak{U}_{\hbar}^{A}\phi$$

and we also have Schrödinger representations of gauge invariant magnetic projection symbols.

The Perelomov type Magnetic Coherent States

Definition 1

Given any $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$ we define the following family of pure quantum states indexed by $X \in \Xi$:

$$P_{\phi,\hbar}^A(X) := W_{\hbar}^A(\hbar X)^{-1} P_{\phi,\hbar}^A W_{\hbar}^A(\hbar X)$$

Then
$$P_{\phi,\hbar}^A(X)=\mathfrak{Op}^A(p_{\phi,\hbar}^B(X))$$
 where, if we denote by $\mathfrak{e}_X(Y):=e^{-(i\sigma(X,Y))}$ $(\forall (X,Y)\in \Xi^2)$ we have
$$p_{\phi,\hbar}^B(X)=\mathfrak{e}_{-\hbar X}\sharp_{\hbar}^Bp_{\phi,\hbar}^B\sharp_{\hbar}^B\mathfrak{e}_{\hbar X}.$$

Remark:

$$P_{\phi,\hbar}^{A}(X)\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{C} \cdot W_{\hbar}^{A}(\hbar X)^{-1}\mathfrak{U}_{\hbar}^{A}\phi$$

and we also have Schrödinger representations of gauge invariant magnetic projection symbols.

We call $\{P_{\phi,\hbar}^A(X)\}_{X\in\Xi}$ the Perelomov type magnetic coherent states.

The partition of unity property

Proposition

For any two vectors $(\phi, \psi) \in (\mathcal{H} \setminus \{0\})^2$

- the map $\Xi \ni X \mapsto \mathcal{W}_{\phi,\psi}^{A,\hbar}(X) := (\mathfrak{U}_{\hbar}^{A}(X)\phi,\psi)_{\mathcal{H}} \in \mathbb{C}$ is of class $L^{2}(\Xi)$ for the measure $d_{\hbar}^{d}X := \frac{dX^{d}}{(2\pi\hbar)^{d}}$.
- and using the canonical Riesz anti-isomorpism $\mathfrak{R}:\mathcal{H}\to\mathcal{H}^*$ the map $\mathcal{H}^*\otimes\mathcal{H}\ni\mathfrak{R}(\varphi)\otimes\psi\mapsto\mathcal{W}_{\varphi,\psi}^{A,\hbar}\in L^2\left(\Xi,\frac{dX^d}{(2\pi\hbar)^d}\right)$ is unitary.
- $\int_{\Xi} \frac{dX^d}{(2\pi\hbar)^d} P_{\phi,\hbar}^A(X) = 1 \text{ in the weak operator topology}.$

- 4 ロ ト 4 個 ト 4 差 ト 4 差 ト - 差 - 夕 Q (C)

Magnetic Coherent States

The pure state quantization

We are in the following special case of a "quantization":

Classical description:

The phase space $\Xi \equiv \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}^*$ with simplectic form σ^B and associated Poisson bracket $\{.,.\}_B : C^{\infty} \times C^{\infty} \to C^{\infty}$.

The bounded observables $BC^{\infty}(\Xi)$ so that f(X) is the <u>value</u> of $f \in BC^{\infty}(\Xi)$ in the state $X \in \Xi$.

We are in the following special case of a "quantization":

Classical description:

The phase space $\Xi \equiv \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}^*$ with simplectic form σ^B and associated Poisson bracket $\{.,.\}_B : C^\infty \times C^\infty \to C^\infty$. The bounded observables $BC^\infty(\Xi)$ so that f(X) is the value of

 $f \in BC^{\infty}(\Xi)$ in the state $X \in \Xi$.

Quantum description:

The phase space $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})$ (for some complex Hilbert space \mathcal{H}). The bounded observables $\mathbb{B}_h(\mathcal{H}) := \{ T \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}) \mid T^* = T \}$ so that Tr(PT) is the <u>mean value</u> of $T \in \mathbb{B}_h(\mathcal{H})$ in the state $P \in \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})$.

We are in the following special case of a "quantization":

• Classical description:

The phase space $\Xi \equiv \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}^*$ with simplectic form σ^B and associated Poisson bracket $\{.,.\}_B : C^\infty \times C^\infty \to C^\infty$. The bounded observables $BC^\infty(\Xi)$ so that f(X) is the value of

 $f \in BC^{\infty}(\Xi)$ in the state $X \in \Xi$.

Quantum description:

The phase space $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})$ (for some complex Hilbert space \mathcal{H}). The bounded observables $\mathbb{B}_h(\mathcal{H}) := \{ T \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}) \mid T^* = T \}$ so that Tr(PT) is the <u>mean value</u> of $T \in \mathbb{B}_h(\mathcal{H})$ in the state $P \in \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})$.

We want to connect the two descriptions when $\hbar \to 0$.

We are in the following special case of a "quantization":

Classical description:

The phase space $\Xi \equiv \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}^*$ with simplectic form σ^B and associated Poisson bracket $\{...\}_B: C^{\infty} \times C^{\infty} \to C^{\infty}$. The bounded observables $BC^{\infty}(\Xi)$ so that f(X) is the value of

 $f \in BC^{\infty}(\Xi)$ in the state $X \in \Xi$.

• Quantum description:

The phase space $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})$ (for some complex Hilbert space \mathcal{H}). The bounded observables $\mathbb{B}_h(\mathcal{H}) := \{ T \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}) \mid T^* = T \}$ so that $\mathsf{Tr}(PT)$ is the mean value of $T \in \mathbb{B}_h(\mathcal{H})$ in the state $P \in \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})$.

We want to connect the two descriptions when $\hbar \to 0$.

Remark: $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})$ has a canonical symplectic structure with the symplectic form $\sigma^{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})}$. We have to work with the symplectic form $\sigma_{\mathbb{P}}^{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})} := \hbar \sigma^{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})}$.

Białowieża, July, 2013

Transition probability structure

Let us notice that in the quantum description each state $P \in \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})$ is also a bounded observable (we have $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}) \subset \mathbb{B}_h(\mathcal{H})$).

Transition probability structure

Let us notice that in the quantum description each state $P \in \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})$ is also a bounded observable (we have $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}) \subset \mathbb{B}_h(\mathcal{H})$).

The mean value of the observable state $Q \in \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})$ in the state $P \in \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})$, given by $Tr(PQ) \in [0,1]$ is called the transition probability from state P to state Q.

Definition

We call *pure state quantization* of a symplectic space (Σ, σ) of dimension 2d, a complex Hilbert space \mathcal{H} together with a family of injective applications $\{P_{\hbar}: \Sigma \to \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})\}_{\hbar \in I_0}$ satisfying the following three axioms:

- Axiom I: $\int_{\Xi} \frac{dX^d}{(2\pi\hbar)^d} P_{\hbar}(X) = 1 \text{ in the weak operator topology.}$
- Axiom II: $\lim_{\hbar \to 0} \int_{\Xi} \frac{dX^d}{(2\pi\hbar)^d} \text{Tr}(P_{\hbar}(Z)P_{\hbar}(Y)) f(Y) = f(Z),$ $\forall f \in BC(\Sigma), \quad \forall Z \in \Sigma.$
- **Axiom III:** Let us denote by $P_{\hbar}^* \sigma_{\hbar}^{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})}$ the pull-back on the tangent space of Σ of the canonical symplectic form on $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})$; then

$$\lim_{\hbar \to 0} P_{\hbar}^* \sigma_{\hbar}^{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})} = \sigma.$$

Theorem

Taking $\Sigma:=\Xi$, a magnetic field B with components of class $BC^\infty(\mathcal{X})$, its associated symplectic form σ^B and $\mathcal{H}:=L^2(\mathcal{X})$ the family of maps $\{P_{\phi,\hbar}^A:\Xi\to\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})\}_{\hbar\in I_0}$ satisfies Axioms I and II of a pure state quantization for any $\phi\in\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$.

Theorem

Taking $\Sigma := \Xi$, a magnetic field B with components of class $BC^{\infty}(\mathcal{X})$, its associated symplectic form σ^B and $\mathcal{H} := L^2(\mathcal{X})$ the family of maps $\{P_{\phi,\hbar}^A:\Xi\to\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})\}_{\hbar\in I_0}$ satisfies Axioms I and II of a pure state quantization for any $\phi\in\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$.

Remark

Concerning Axiom III we have the following result:

$$\lim_{\hbar \to 0} \left[\left(P_{\phi,\hbar}^A \right)^* \sigma_{\hbar}^{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})} \right]_X = \int_0^1 \sigma^{B(sx)} ds. \tag{!}$$

◆ロ > ◆団 > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > 豆 の Q (*)

Magnetic Coherent States

The Landsman type magnetic coherent states

The Landsman Magnetic Coherent States

Definition 1

Given any $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$ we define the following family of pure quantum states indexed by $X \in \Xi$:

$$Q_{\phi,\hbar}^{A}(X) := \mathfrak{U}_{\hbar}^{A}(X)W_{\hbar}^{0}(\hbar X)^{-1}P_{\phi}W_{\hbar}^{0}(\hbar X)\big[\mathfrak{U}_{\hbar}^{A}(Z)\big]^{-1},$$

where

$$(\mathfrak{U}_{\hbar}^{A}(X)\phi)(y) := e^{(i/\hbar)\int_{[x,y]}A}\phi(y).$$

Then

$$Q_{\phi,\hbar}^A(X) = \mathfrak{Op}^A(q_{\phi,\hbar}^B(X))$$
.

The Landsman Magnetic Coherent States

Definition 1

Given any $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$ we define the following family of pure quantum states indexed by $X \in \Xi$:

$$Q_{\phi,\hbar}^{A}(X) := \mathfrak{U}_{\hbar}^{A}(X)W_{\hbar}^{0}(\hbar X)^{-1}P_{\phi}W_{\hbar}^{0}(\hbar X)\big[\mathfrak{U}_{\hbar}^{A}(Z)\big]^{-1},$$

where

$$(\mathfrak{U}_{\hbar}^{A}(X)\phi)(y):=e^{(i/\hbar)\int_{[x,y]}A}\phi(y).$$

Then

$$Q_{\phi,\hbar}^A(X) = \mathfrak{Op}^A(q_{\phi,\hbar}^B(X))$$
.

Remark:

$$Q_{\phi,\hbar}^{A}(X)\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{C} \cdot \mathfrak{U}_{\hbar}^{A}(X)W_{\hbar}^{A}(\hbar X)^{-1}\phi$$

and we also have Schrödinger representations of gauge invariant magnetic projection symbols.

The Landsman Magnetic Coherent States

Definition 1

Given any $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$ we define the following family of pure quantum states indexed by $X \in \Xi$:

$$Q_{\phi,\hbar}^{A}(X) := \mathfrak{U}_{\hbar}^{A}(X)W_{\hbar}^{0}(\hbar X)^{-1}P_{\phi}W_{\hbar}^{0}(\hbar X)\big[\mathfrak{U}_{\hbar}^{A}(Z)\big]^{-1},$$

where

$$(\mathfrak{U}_{\hbar}^{A}(X)\phi)(y):=e^{(i/\hbar)\int_{[x,y]}A}\phi(y).$$

Then

$$Q_{\phi,\hbar}^A(X) = \mathfrak{Op}^A(q_{\phi,\hbar}^B(X))$$
.

Remark:

$$Q_{\phi,\hbar}^{A}(X)\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{C} \cdot \mathfrak{U}_{\hbar}^{A}(X)W_{\hbar}^{A}(\hbar X)^{-1}\phi$$

and we also have Schrödinger representations of gauge invariant magnetic projection symbols.

We call $\{Q_{\phi,\hbar}^A(X)\}_{X\in\Xi}$ the Landsman type magnetic coherent states.

Theorem

For $\Sigma := \Xi$, for a magnetic field B with components of class $BC^{\infty}(\mathcal{X})$ and for the associated symplectic form σ^B , taking $\mathcal{H} := L^2(\mathcal{X})$ and the family of maps $\{Q_{\phi,\hbar}^A : \Xi \to \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})\}_{\hbar \in I_0}$ is a pure state quantization for any $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$.

Magnetic Coherent States

Comments on the classical limit

A basic step in defining a system of coherent states is:

- ullet to raise the magnetic Weyl system: $W^A_\hbar:\Xi o \mathcal{U}ig(L^2(\mathcal{X})ig)$
- to a *projective automorphism* representation on the algebra of bounded observables: $\mathcal{W}_{\hbar}^{A}:\Xi\to \mathbb{A}\mathrm{ut}\big[\mathbb{B}\big(L^{2}(\mathcal{X})\big)\big]$

$$\mathcal{W}_{\hbar}^{A}X := W_{\hbar}^{A}X(W_{\hbar}^{A})^{-1}.$$

• and restrict it to $\mathbb{P}(L^2(\mathcal{X})) \subset \mathbb{B}(L^2(\mathcal{X}))$.

A basic step in defining a system of coherent states is:

- ullet to raise the magnetic Weyl system: $W^A_\hbar:\Xi o\mathcal{U}(L^2(\mathcal{X}))$
- to a *projective automorphism* representation on the algebra of bounded observables: $\mathcal{W}_{\hbar}^{A}: \Xi \to \mathbb{A}\mathrm{ut}\big[\mathbb{B}\big(L^{2}(\mathcal{X})\big)\big]$

$$\mathcal{W}_{\hbar}^{A}X := W_{\hbar}^{A}X(W_{\hbar}^{A})^{-1}.$$

• and restrict it to $\mathbb{P}(L^2(\mathcal{X})) \subset \mathbb{B}(L^2(\mathcal{X}))$.

Remark

We have the evident equality

$$\mathbb{T}[P_{\phi,\hbar}^A(X)] = \mathbb{T}[\mathcal{W}_{\hbar}^A P_{\phi}].$$



Remark

The Weyl system being not a representation of the linear group Ξ we have

$$W_{\hbar}^{A}(X+tZ)P \neq W_{\hbar}^{A}(tZ)W_{\hbar}^{A}(X)P.$$

Remark

The Weyl system being not a representation of the linear group Ξ we have

$$W_{\hbar}^{A}(X+tZ)P \neq W_{\hbar}^{A}(tZ)W_{\hbar}^{A}(X)P.$$

Thus:

- $i \frac{d}{dt}\big|_{t=0} \mathcal{W}_{\hbar}^{A}(t\underline{Z})P = \zeta \cdot Q z \cdot \Pi_{\hbar}^{A} =: \mathfrak{l}^{A}(Z),$
- $i \frac{d}{dt}|_{t=0} W_{\hbar}^{A}(X + t\underline{Z})P = \zeta \cdot Q z \cdot \Pi_{\hbar}^{A} + \hbar \int_{0}^{1} sds \sum_{j,k=1}^{n} z_{j}x_{k}B_{jk}(Q + (1-s)\hbar x) =: \mathcal{Z}_{\hbar}^{A}(X,\underline{Z};Q)$

Remark

The Weyl system being not a representation of the linear group Ξ we have

$$W_{\hbar}^{A}(X+tZ)P \neq W_{\hbar}^{A}(tZ)W_{\hbar}^{A}(X)P.$$

Thus:

- $i \frac{d}{dt}|_{t=0} W_{\hbar}^{A}(t\underline{Z})P = \zeta \cdot Q z \cdot \Pi_{\hbar}^{A} =: \mathfrak{l}^{A}(Z),$
- $i \frac{d}{dt}|_{t=0} W_{\hbar}^{A}(X + t\underline{Z})P = \zeta \cdot Q z \cdot \Pi_{\hbar}^{A} + \hbar \int_{0}^{1} sds \sum_{j,k=1}^{n} z_{j}x_{k}B_{jk}(Q + (1-s)\hbar x) =: \mathcal{Z}_{\hbar}^{A}(X,\underline{Z};Q)$

Let us define

$$\mathbb{W}_{\hbar,P}^{A}: \mathbb{T}\Xi\ni (X,\underline{Z})\mapsto \left(\mathcal{W}_{\hbar}^{A}(X)P, (1-\mathcal{W}_{\hbar}^{A}(X))\mathfrak{t}^{A}(Z)\phi_{X}\right)\in \mathbb{TP}(\mathcal{H}),$$

$$\forall \phi_X \in \mathcal{W}_{\hbar}^{A}(X)P\mathcal{H}.$$

The classical limit

Theorem

For a magnetic field with components of class $BC^{\infty}(\mathcal{X})$ we have

$$\lim_{\hbar \searrow 0} \sigma^{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})}_{\hbar, P^{A}_{\phi}(X)} \left(\mathbb{W}^{A}_{\hbar, P^{A}_{\phi}}(X) Z_{1}, \mathbb{W}^{A}_{\hbar, P^{A}_{\phi}}(X) Z_{2} \right) = \sigma^{B(x)}_{X} \left(Z_{1}, Z_{2} \right),$$

Magnetic Coherent States

Magnetic Coherent States - Symbols

- Let us notice that $\forall (f,g) \in \mathscr{S}(\Xi)^2$ we have $f\sharp_{\hbar}^{\mathcal{B}}g \in \mathscr{S}(\mathcal{X})$.
- Let us induce the following C^* -norm on $\mathscr{S}(\Xi)$: $\forall f \in \mathscr{S}(\Xi)$, define $\|\phi\|_{*,B} := \|\mathfrak{O}\mathfrak{p}_{\hbar}^A(f)\|$.
- Completing now $\mathscr{S}(\Xi)$ for the above norm we obtain a C^* -algebra $(\mathscr{S}(\Xi),\sharp_{\hbar}^B,\|\cdot\|_{*,B})$ that we denote by $\mathfrak{C}_{0,\hbar}^B$.

- Let us notice that $\forall (f,g) \in \mathscr{S}(\Xi)^2$ we have $f\sharp_{\hbar}^B g \in \mathscr{S}(\mathcal{X})$.
- Let us induce the following C^* -norm on $\mathscr{S}(\Xi)$: $\forall f \in \mathscr{S}(\Xi)$, define $\|\phi\|_{*,B} := \|\mathfrak{O}\mathfrak{p}_{\hbar}^A(f)\|$.
- Completing now $\mathscr{S}(\Xi)$ for the above norm we obtain a C^* -algebra $(\mathscr{S}(\Xi),\sharp_{\hbar}^B,\|\cdot\|_{*,B})$ that we denote by $\mathfrak{C}_{0,\hbar}^B$.

We can prove that $\mathfrak{C}^B_{0,\hbar} \cong \mathbb{B}_{\infty}(L^2(\mathcal{X}))$.

- Let us notice that $\forall (f,g) \in \mathscr{S}(\Xi)^2$ we have $f\sharp_{\hbar}^{\mathcal{B}}g \in \mathscr{S}(\mathcal{X})$.
- Let us induce the following C^* -norm on $\mathscr{S}(\Xi)$: $\forall f \in \mathscr{S}(\Xi)$, define $\|\phi\|_{*,B} := \|\mathfrak{O}\mathfrak{p}_{\hbar}^A(f)\|$.
- Completing now $\mathscr{S}(\Xi)$ for the above norm we obtain a C^* -algebra $(\mathscr{S}(\Xi),\sharp_{\hbar}^B,\|\cdot\|_{*,B})$ that we denote by $\mathfrak{C}_{0,\hbar}^B$.

We can prove that $\mathfrak{C}^B_{0,\hbar} \cong \mathbb{B}_{\infty}(L^2(\mathcal{X}))$. Then the pure states on $\mathfrak{C}^B_{0,\hbar}$ are of the form $\{p^B_{\phi,\hbar}\}_{\phi \in \mathcal{S}\left(L^2(\mathcal{X})\right)}$ with $p^B_{\phi,\hbar} = p^B_{\psi,\hbar}$ iff $\exists \lambda \in \mathbb{U}(1), \phi = \lambda \psi$.

- Let us notice that $\forall (f,g) \in \mathscr{S}(\Xi)^2$ we have $f\sharp_{\hbar}^{\mathcal{B}}g \in \mathscr{S}(\mathcal{X})$.
- Let us induce the following C^* -norm on $\mathscr{S}(\Xi)$: $\forall f \in \mathscr{S}(\Xi)$, define $\|\phi\|_{*,B} := \|\mathfrak{O}\mathfrak{p}_{\hbar}^A(f)\|$.
- Completing now $\mathscr{S}(\Xi)$ for the above norm we obtain a C^* -algebra $(\mathscr{S}(\Xi), \sharp_{\hbar}^B, \|\cdot\|_{*,B})$ that we denote by $\mathfrak{C}_{0,\hbar}^B$.

We can prove that $\mathfrak{C}^B_{0,\hbar} \cong \mathbb{B}_{\infty}(L^2(\mathcal{X})).$

Then the pure states on $\mathfrak{C}^B_{0,\hbar}$ are of the form $\{p^B_{\phi,\hbar}\}_{\phi\in\mathcal{S}\left(L^2(\mathcal{X})\right)}$

with
$$p_{\phi,\hbar}^B = p_{\psi,\hbar}^B$$
 iff $\exists \lambda \in \mathbb{U}(1), \phi = \lambda \psi$.

The mean value of $f \in \mathfrak{C}^B_{0,\hbar}$ in the state $p^B_{\phi,\hbar}$ is

$$\int_{\Xi} \left[p_{\phi,\hbar}^B \sharp_{\hbar}^B f \right] (X) dX.$$