

A Beals type criterion for pseudidifferential operators with a magnetic field

Radu Purice

Work done in collaboration with
Viorel Iftimie, Marius Mantoiu, Serge Richard

September 2007

Introduction

Introduction

Purpose

To elaborate a **completely covariant functional calculus**, enough powerful to deal with a large number of problems concerning **quantum systems in a non-homogeneous magnetic field**.

Introduction

Purpose

To elaborate a **completely covariant functional calculus**, enough powerful to deal with a large number of problems concerning **quantum systems in a non-homogeneous magnetic field**.

Main idea

Concentrate on the **modified symplectic structure defined by the magnetic field**, that is gauge independent, and develop an associated **twisted Weyl calculus** that allows to work with the quantum observables in a completely **representation free way**.

Introduction

Why a Beal's type criterion



Introduction

Why a Beal's type criterion

- First for its technical importance in developing a pseudodifferential calculus

Introduction

Why a Beal's type criterion

- First for its technical importance in developing a pseudodifferential calculus
- As a necessary tool in proving that the resolvent, (fractional powers) of a pseudodifferential operator (positive) are also of pseudodifferential type with a precise class of symbols.

Introduction

Why a Beal's type criterion

- First for its technical importance in developing a pseudodifferential calculus
- As a necessary tool in proving that the resolvent, (fractional powers) of a pseudodifferential operator (positive) are also of pseudodifferential type with a precise class of symbols.
- As a first step towards a definition of Twisted Fourier Integral Operators (in the spirit of that of Bony) and to study their relation with symplectic transforms with respect to the modified symplectic form.

Introduction

Why a Beal's type criterion

- First for its technical importance in developing a pseudodifferential calculus
- As a necessary tool in proving that the resolvent, (fractional powers) of a pseudodifferential operator (positive) are also of pseudodifferential type with a precise class of symbols.
- As a first step towards a definition of Twisted Fourier Integral Operators (in the spirit of that of Bony) and to study their relation with symplectic transforms with respect to the modified symplectic form.
- As a possible consequence to study the unitary evolution associated to a quantum Hamiltonian with magnetic field and its large time or semiclassical limits.

Structure

- 1 The algebra of quantum observables in a magnetic field
 - The magnetic field
 - The magnetic Schrödinger representation
 - The *magnetic* algebra of quantum observables

Structure

- 1 The algebra of quantum observables in a magnetic field
 - The magnetic field
 - The magnetic Schrödinger representation
 - The *magnetic* algebra of quantum observables
- 2 The *magnetic* pseudodifferential calculus
 - *Magnetic* observables associated to symbols
 - *Magnetic* composition of symbols
 - L^2 -continuity
 - *Magnetic* Sobolev spaces
 - An inversion result

Structure

- 1 The algebra of quantum observables in a magnetic field
 - The magnetic field
 - The magnetic Schrödinger representation
 - The *magnetic* algebra of quantum observables
- 2 The *magnetic* pseudodifferential calculus
 - *Magnetic* observables associated to symbols
 - *Magnetic* composition of symbols
 - L^2 -continuity
 - *Magnetic* Sobolev spaces
 - An inversion result
- 3 A Beals type criterion
 - The result
 - Idea of the proof
 - The case $m \neq 0$

Structure

- 1 The algebra of quantum observables in a magnetic field
 - The magnetic field
 - The magnetic Schrödinger representation
 - The *magnetic* algebra of quantum observables
- 2 The *magnetic* pseudodifferential calculus
 - *Magnetic* observables associated to symbols
 - *Magnetic* composition of symbols
 - L^2 -continuity
 - *Magnetic* Sobolev spaces
 - An inversion result
- 3 A Beals type criterion
 - The result
 - Idea of the proof
 - The case $m \neq 0$

The magnetic field

- We work in the n -dimensional space $\mathcal{X} := \mathbb{R}^n$.

The magnetic field

- We work in the n -dimensional space $\mathcal{X} := \mathbb{R}^n$.
- We consider the associated symplectic space $\Xi := \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}'$ with the canonical symplectic form:

$$\sigma(X, Y) \equiv \sigma((x, \xi), (y, \eta)) := \langle \xi, y \rangle - \langle \eta, x \rangle$$

The magnetic field

- We work in the n -dimensional space $\mathcal{X} := \mathbb{R}^n$.
- We consider the associated symplectic space $\Xi := \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}'$ with the canonical symplectic form:

$$\sigma(X, Y) \equiv \sigma((x, \xi), (y, \eta)) := \langle \xi, y \rangle - \langle \eta, x \rangle$$

- The magnetic field is described by a closed 2-form B on \mathcal{X} .

The magnetic field

- We work in the n -dimensional space $\mathcal{X} := \mathbb{R}^n$.
- We consider the associated symplectic space $\Xi := \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}'$ with the canonical symplectic form:

$$\sigma(X, Y) \equiv \sigma((x, \xi), (y, \eta)) := \langle \xi, y \rangle - \langle \eta, x \rangle$$

- The magnetic field is described by a closed 2-form B on \mathcal{X} .
- To the magnetic field we can canonically associate a perturbation of the canonical symplectic form on Ξ :

$$\sigma_z^B((x, \xi), (y, \eta)) := \sigma((x, \xi), (y, \eta)) + B(z)(x, y), \quad \forall z \in \mathcal{X}$$

The gauge transformations

- To B we may associate in a highly non-unique way a vector potential, i.e. a 1-form A such that $B = dA$.

The gauge transformations

- To B we may associate in a highly non-unique way a vector potential, i.e. a 1-form A such that $B = dA$.
- Gauge transformations: $A \mapsto A' = A + d\Phi$; so that $B = dA = dA'$.

The magnetic canonical variables

- For the Hamiltonian formalism, the Lorentz force is equivalent to the replacement of the usual canonical pair of variables (x, ξ) on Ξ by the pair of variables $(x, \xi + A(x))$

The magnetic canonical variables

- For the Hamiltonian formalism, the Lorentz force is equivalent to the replacement of the usual canonical pair of variables

(x, ξ) on Ξ by the pair of variables $(x, \xi + A(x))$

defined once we have chosen a vector potential A for B .

The magnetic canonical variables

- For the Hamiltonian formalism, the Lorentz force is equivalent to the replacement of the usual canonical pair of variables

$$(x, \xi) \text{ on } \Xi \text{ by the pair of variables } (x, \xi + A(x))$$

defined once we have chosen a vector potential A for B .

- Apparently this prescription is highly non-unique due to the gauge ambiguity.

The magnetic canonical variables

- For the Hamiltonian formalism, the Lorentz force is equivalent to the replacement of the usual canonical pair of variables

$$(x, \xi) \text{ on } \Xi \text{ by the pair of variables } (x, \xi + A(x))$$

defined once we have chosen a vector potential A for B .

- Apparently this prescription is highly non-unique due to the gauge ambiguity.
- In fact it is only the symplectic form σ^B that is important for the Hamiltonian evolution. But in order to see this fact one has to work directly in the algebra of observables and not in a Hilbertian representation.

The magnetic Schrödinger representation (1)

- Suppose chosen a gauge A for the magnetic field B .

The magnetic Schrödinger representation (1)

- Suppose chosen a gauge A for the magnetic field B .
- We have to define a functional calculus for the family of non-commuting operators

$$Q_1, \dots, Q_n; \Pi_1^A := D_1 - iA_1, \dots, \Pi_n^A := D_n - iA_n$$

representing the canonical variables in the magnetic field.

The magnetic Schrödinger representation (1)

- Suppose chosen a gauge A for the magnetic field B .
- We have to define a functional calculus for the family of non-commuting operators

$$Q_1, \dots, Q_n; \Pi_1^A := D_1 - iA_1, \dots, \Pi_n^A := D_n - iA_n$$

representing the canonical variables in the magnetic field.

- We shall use the unitary groups associated to the above $2n$ self-adjoint operators and define the Magnetic Weyl system:

$$W^A((x, \xi)) := e^{-i\langle \xi, (Q+x)/2 \rangle} e^{-i \int_{[Q, Q+x]} A} e^{i\langle x, P \rangle}$$

The magnetic Schrödinger representation (2)

- For any test function $f : \Xi \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ we define the associated magnetic Weyl operator:

$$\mathfrak{Op}^A(f) := \int_{\Xi} dX \hat{f}(X) W^A(X) \in \mathbb{B}[\mathcal{H}]$$

The magnetic Schrödinger representation (2)

- For any test function $f : \Xi \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ we define the associated magnetic Weyl operator:

$$\mathfrak{D}_p^A(f) := \int_{\Xi} dX \hat{f}(X) W^A(X) \in \mathbb{B}[\mathcal{H}]$$

- In fact for any tempered distribution $F \in \mathcal{S}'(\Xi)$ we can define the linear operator:

$$\mathfrak{D}_p^A(F) := \int_{\Xi} dX \hat{F}(X) W^A(X) \in \mathbb{B}[\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{X}); \mathcal{S}'(\mathcal{X})]$$

The magnetic Schrödinger representation (2)

- For any test function $f : \Xi \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ we define the associated magnetic Weyl operator:

$$\mathfrak{W}^A(f) := \int_{\Xi} dX \hat{f}(X) W^A(X) \in \mathbb{B}[\mathcal{H}]$$

- In fact for any tempered distribution $F \in \mathcal{S}'(\Xi)$ we can define the linear operator:

$$\mathfrak{W}^A(F) := \int_{\Xi} dX \hat{F}(X) W^A(X) \in \mathbb{B}[\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{X}); \mathcal{S}'(\mathcal{X})]$$

- It defines a linear bijection [M.P., *J. Math. Phys.* 04].

The *magnetic* algebra of quantum observables (1)

The magnetic Moyal product

The above functional calculus induces a *magnetic composition* on the complex linear space of test functions $\mathcal{S}(\Xi)$:

$$\mathfrak{Op}^A(f \#^B g) := \mathfrak{Op}^A(f) \cdot \mathfrak{Op}^A(g)$$

The *magnetic* algebra of quantum observables (1)

The magnetic Moyal product

The above functional calculus induces a *magnetic composition* on the complex linear space of test functions $\mathcal{S}(\Xi)$:

$$\mathfrak{Op}^A(f \#^B g) := \mathfrak{Op}^A(f) \cdot \mathfrak{Op}^A(g)$$

Explicitly we have:

$$(f \#^B g)(X) := 4^n \int_{\Xi} dY \int_{\Xi} dZ e^{-i \int_{\mathcal{T}_X(Y,Z)} \sigma^B} f(X - Y) g(X - Z)$$

where $\mathcal{T}_X(Y, Z)$ is the triangle in Ξ having vertices:

$$X - Y - Z, \quad X + Y - Z, \quad X - Y + Z.$$

The *magnetic* algebra of quantum observables (2)

Remark: For any 3 test functions f, g, h we have
 $(f, g\sharp^B h) = (f\sharp^B g, h) = (h, f\sharp^B g) = (h\sharp^B f, g) = (g, h\sharp^B f).$

The *magnetic* algebra of quantum observables (2)

Remark: For any 3 test functions f, g, h we have
 $(f, g\sharp^B h) = (f\sharp^B g, h) = (h, f\sharp^B g) = (h\sharp^B f, g) = (g, h\sharp^B f).$

The magnetic Moyal algebra

We set:

$$\mathfrak{M}^B(\Xi) := \left\{ F \in \mathcal{S}'(\Xi) \mid F\sharp^B \phi \in \mathcal{S}(\Xi), \phi\sharp^B F \in \mathcal{S}(\Xi), \forall \phi \in \mathcal{S}(\Xi) \right\}$$

The *magnetic* algebra of quantum observables (2)

Remark: For any 3 test functions f, g, h we have
 $(f, g \sharp^B h) = (f \sharp^B g, h) = (h, f \sharp^B g) = (h \sharp^B f, g) = (g, h \sharp^B f)$.

The magnetic Moyal algebra

We set:

$$\mathfrak{M}^B(\Xi) := \left\{ F \in \mathcal{S}'(\Xi) \mid F \sharp^B \phi \in \mathcal{S}(\Xi), \phi \sharp^B F \in \mathcal{S}(\Xi), \forall \phi \in \mathcal{S}(\Xi) \right\}$$

This defines a $*$ -algebra for
the *composition* \sharp^B (that we can extend by duality)
and the usual *complex conjugation* as $*$ -conjugation.

The *magnetic* algebra of quantum observables (3)

Proposition [M.P., *J. Math. Phys.* 04]

The space of indefinitely differentiable functions with uniform polynomial growth on \mathcal{X} is contained in $\mathfrak{M}^B(\Xi)$.

The norm (1)

Observation: *Gauge covariance*

The Schrödinger representations associated to any two gauge-equivalent vector potentials are unitarily equivalent:

$$A' = A + d\varphi \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathfrak{Op}^{A'}(f) = e^{i\varphi(Q)} \mathfrak{Op}^A(f) e^{-i\varphi(Q)}.$$

The norm (1)

Observation: *Gauge covariance*

The Schrödinger representations associated to any two gauge-equivalent vector potentials are unitarily equivalent:

$$A' = A + d\varphi \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathfrak{D}_p^{A'}(f) = e^{i\varphi(Q)} \mathfrak{D}_p^A(f) e^{-i\varphi(Q)}.$$

Thus the family:

$$\mathfrak{C}^B(\Xi) := \left\{ F \in \mathcal{S}'(\Xi) \mid \mathfrak{D}_p^A(F) \in \mathbb{B}[L^2(\mathcal{X})] \right\}$$

(defined once we have chosen a vector potential A for B)

The norm (1)

Observation: *Gauge covariance*

The Schrödinger representations associated to any two gauge-equivalent vector potentials are unitarily equivalent:

$$A' = A + d\varphi \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathfrak{D}_p^{A'}(f) = e^{i\varphi(Q)} \mathfrak{D}_p^A(f) e^{-i\varphi(Q)}.$$

Thus the family:

$$\mathfrak{C}^B(\Xi) := \left\{ F \in \mathcal{S}'(\Xi) \mid \mathfrak{D}_p^A(F) \in \mathbb{B}[L^2(\mathcal{X})] \right\}$$

(defined once we have chosen a vector potential A for B)
does not depend on the choice of A
but only on the magnetic field B .

The norm (2)

- On \mathfrak{C}^B we can define the map:

$$\|F\|_B := \|\mathfrak{Op}^A(F)\|_{\mathbb{B}[L^2(\mathcal{X})]}$$

that does not depend on the choice of A
and is in fact a C^* -norm on \mathfrak{C}^B .

The norm (2)

- On \mathfrak{C}^B we can define the map:

$$\|F\|_B := \|\mathfrak{Op}^A(F)\|_{\mathbb{B}[L^2(\mathcal{X})]}$$

that does not depend on the choice of A
and is in fact a C^* -norm on \mathfrak{C}^B .

- \mathfrak{C}^B is a C^* -algebra isomorphic to $\mathbb{B}[L^2(\mathcal{X})]$.

Structure

- 1 The algebra of quantum observables in a magnetic field
 - The magnetic field
 - The magnetic Schrödinger representation
 - The *magnetic* algebra of quantum observables
- 2 The *magnetic* pseudodifferential calculus
 - *Magnetic* observables associated to symbols
 - *Magnetic* composition of symbols
 - L^2 -continuity
 - *Magnetic* Sobolev spaces
 - An inversion result
- 3 A Beals type criterion
 - The result
 - Idea of the proof
 - The case $m \neq 0$

Symbols

We shall use the following Hörmander type symbols:

Definition

For $m \in \mathbb{R}$ and $0 \leq \delta \leq \rho \leq 1$ we define:

$\forall F \in C^\infty(\Xi)$ the family of seminorms

$$|F|_{(a,\alpha)}^{(m;\rho,\delta)} := \sup_{(x,\xi) \in \Xi} \langle \xi \rangle^{-m+\rho|\alpha|-\delta|a|} |(\partial_x^a \partial_\xi^\alpha F)(x, \xi)|,$$

the Fréchet space

$$S_{\rho,\delta}^m(\Xi) := \left\{ F \in C^\infty(\Xi) \mid \forall (a, \alpha), |F|_{(a,\alpha)}^{(m;\rho,\delta)} < \infty \right\}.$$

Observables

Hypothesis

The magnetic field B has components of class $C_{\text{pol}}^\infty(\mathcal{X})$.

Observables

Hypothesis

The magnetic field B has components of class $C_{\text{pol}}^\infty(\mathcal{X})$.

By usual oscillatory integrals techniques we prove that the symbols define 'good' quantum observables:

Proposition [I.M.P., *Proc. RIMS 07*]

For $m \in \mathbb{R}$ and $0 \leq \delta \leq \rho \leq 1$ we have $S_{\rho,\delta}^m(\Xi) \subset \mathfrak{M}^B(\Xi)$.

Observables

Hypothesis

The magnetic field B has components of class $C_{\text{pol}}^\infty(\mathcal{X})$.

By usual oscillatory integrals techniques we prove that the symbols define 'good' quantum observables:

Proposition [I.M.P., *Proc. RIMS 07*]

For $m \in \mathbb{R}$ and $0 \leq \delta \leq \rho \leq 1$ we have $S_{\rho,\delta}^m(\Xi) \subset \mathfrak{M}^B(\Xi)$.

Definition

Choosing any vector potential A for $B = dA$ we define the associated *magnetic* pseudodifferential operators on $\mathcal{H} := L^2(\mathcal{X})$:

$$\Psi_{\rho,\delta}^m(A) := \mathfrak{Op}^A[S_{\rho,\delta}^m(\Xi)].$$

Composition of symbols

Hypothesis

The magnetic field B has components of class $C_{\text{pol}}^\infty(\mathcal{X})$.

Composition of symbols

Hypothesis

The magnetic field B has components of class $C_{\text{pol}}^\infty(\mathcal{X})$.

Theorem [I.M.P., *Proc. RIMS 07*]

For any m_1 and m_2 in \mathbb{R} and for any $0 \leq \delta \leq \rho \leq 1$ we have:

$$S_{\rho,\delta}^{m_1}(\Xi) \#^B S_{\rho,\delta}^{m_2}(\Xi) \subset S_{\rho,\delta}^{m_1+m_2}(\Xi).$$

Composition of symbols

Hypothesis

The magnetic field B has components of class $C_{\text{pol}}^\infty(\mathcal{X})$.

Theorem [I.M.P., *Proc. RIMS 07*]

For any m_1 and m_2 in \mathbb{R} and for any $0 \leq \delta \leq \rho \leq 1$ we have:

$$S_{\rho,\delta}^{m_1}(\Xi) \#^B S_{\rho,\delta}^{m_2}(\Xi) \subset S_{\rho,\delta}^{m_1+m_2}(\Xi).$$

Corollary

Under the above hypothesis on the magnetic field B , for any vector potential A we have that in the Schrödinger representation:

$$\Psi_{\rho,\delta}^{m_1}(A) \cdot \Psi_{\rho,\delta}^{m_2}(A) \subset \Psi_{\rho,\delta}^{m_1+m_2}(A).$$

Composition of symbols

REMARK:

In fact, for magnetic fields with components of class $BC^\infty(\mathcal{X})$ and for $\delta < \rho$ we have a much stronger result giving an asymptotic development of the composed symbol [I.M.P., *Proc. RIMS 07*].

L^2 -continuity

Hypothesis

The magnetic field B has components of class $BC^\infty(\mathcal{X})$.

L^2 -continuity

Hypothesis

The magnetic field B has components of class $BC^\infty(\mathcal{X})$.

Theorem [I.M.P., *Proc. RIMS 07*]

In any Schrödinger representation of the form $\mathfrak{D}p^A$,
the operator corresponding to an observable F of class $S_{\rho,\rho}^0(\Xi)$,
with $0 \leq \rho < 1$, defines a bounded operator
and there exist two constants $c(n) \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $p(n) \in \mathbb{N}$, depending
only on the dimension n of the space \mathcal{X} , such that we have the
estimation:

$$\|\mathfrak{D}p^A(F)\|_{\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})} \leq c(n)|F|_{(p(n),p(n))}.$$

L^2 -continuity

Hypothesis

The magnetic field B has components of class $BC^\infty(\mathcal{X})$.

Corollary

Taking into account the obvious inclusion $S_{\rho,\delta}^0(\Xi) \subset S_{\delta,\delta}^0(\Xi)$ we deduce that the previous Theorem remains true for F of class $S_{\rho,\delta}^0(\Xi)$ for $0 \leq \delta < \rho \leq 1$.

L^2 -continuity

Hypothesis

The magnetic field B has components of class $BC^\infty(\mathcal{X})$.

Corollary

Taking into account the obvious inclusion $S_{\rho,\delta}^0(\Xi) \subset S_{\delta,\delta}^0(\Xi)$ we deduce that the previous Theorem remains true for F of class $S_{\rho,\delta}^0(\Xi)$ for $0 \leq \delta < \rho \leq 1$.

Corollary

For a magnetic field B with components of class $BC^\infty(\mathcal{X})$, any function of class $BC^\infty(\Xi)$ defines a bounded observable, i.e. a bounded operator in any representation of the algebra of quantum observables.

Sobolev spaces

Hypothesis

The magnetic field B has components of class $BC^\infty(\mathcal{X})$.

We shall define the scale of Sobolev spaces starting from a special set of symbols; for any $m > 0$ we define:

$$\varphi_m(x, \xi) := \langle \xi \rangle^m \equiv (1 + |\xi|^2)^{m/2}$$

so that $\varphi \in S_{1,0}^m(\Xi) \subset \mathfrak{M}^B(\Xi)$ and for any potential vector A we can define:

$$p_m^A := \mathfrak{D}p^A(\varphi_m).$$

Sobolev spaces

Hypothesis

The magnetic field B has components of class $BC^\infty(\mathcal{X})$.

Definition

Suppose chosen a vector potential A for it. For any $m > 0$ we define the complex linear space:

$$\mathcal{H}_A^m(\mathcal{X}) := \left\{ u \in L^2(\mathcal{X}) \mid p_m^A u \in L^2(\mathcal{X}) \right\}.$$

Sobolev spaces

Hypothesis

The magnetic field B has components of class $BC^\infty(\mathcal{X})$.

Definition

Suppose chosen a vector potential A for it. For any $m > 0$ we define the complex linear space:

$$\mathcal{H}_A^m(\mathcal{X}) := \left\{ u \in L^2(\mathcal{X}) \mid \mathfrak{p}_m^A u \in L^2(\mathcal{X}) \right\}.$$

Proposition [I.M.P., *Proc. RIMS 07*]

The space $\mathcal{H}_A^m(\mathcal{X})$ is a Hilbert space for the scalar product:

$$\langle u, v \rangle_{(m,A)} := (\mathfrak{p}_m^A u, \mathfrak{p}_m^A v)_2 + (u, v)_2.$$

Sobolev spaces

Hypothesis

The magnetic field B has components of class $BC^\infty(\mathcal{X})$.

Definition

Suppose chosen a vector potential A . For any $m > 0$ we define the space $\mathcal{H}_A^{-m}(\mathcal{X})$ as the dual space of $\mathcal{H}_A^m(\mathcal{X})$ with the dual norm:

$$\|\phi\|_{(-m,A)} := \sup_{u \in \mathcal{H}_A^m(\mathcal{X}) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{|\langle \phi, u \rangle|}{\|u\|_{(m,A)}}$$

that induces a scalar product.

We also denote $\mathcal{H}_A^0(\mathcal{X}) := L^2(\mathcal{X})$.

Elliptic symbols

Definition

For $m > 0$ a symbol $F \in S_{\rho,\delta}^m(\Xi)$ is said to be **elliptic** if there exist two positive constants R and C such that for any $(x, \xi) \in \Xi$ with $|\xi| \geq R$ one has that

$$|F(x, \xi)| \geq C \langle \xi \rangle^m$$

Elliptic symbols

Hypothesis

The magnetic field B has components of class $BC^\infty(\mathcal{X})$.

Proposition [I.M.P., *Proc. RIMS 07*]

- Suppose given a real symbol $F \in S_{\rho,\delta}^m(\Xi)$, where $m \geq 0$ and F elliptic if $m > 0$,
with either $0 \leq \delta < \rho \leq 1$ or $\delta = \rho \in [0, 1)$.

Then for any vector potential A defining B the operator

$$\mathfrak{D}_p^A(F) : \mathcal{H}_A^m(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow L^2(\mathcal{X})$$

is self-adjoint.

Elliptic symbols

Hypothesis

The magnetic field B has components of class $BC^\infty(\mathcal{X})$.

Proposition [I.M.P., *Proc. RIMS 07*]

- Suppose given a real symbol $F \in S_{\rho,\delta}^m(\Xi)$, where $m \geq 0$ and F elliptic if $m > 0$,
with either $0 \leq \delta < \rho \leq 1$ or $\delta = \rho \in [0, 1)$.

Then for any vector potential A defining B the operator

$$\mathfrak{D}_p^A(F) : \mathcal{H}_A^m(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow L^2(\mathcal{X})$$

is self-adjoint.

- If $F \geq 0$ then $\mathfrak{D}_p^A(F)$ is lower semibounded.

An inversion result

An inversion result

- Let $m > 0$ and $F \in S_{1,0}^m(\Xi)$ be an elliptic symbol that does not depend on the $x \in \mathcal{X}$ variable.

An inversion result

- Let $m > 0$ and $F \in S_{1,0}^m(\Xi)$ be an elliptic symbol that does not depend on the $x \in \mathcal{X}$ variable.
- Let $-a < \inf_{\xi \in \mathcal{X}'} F(\xi)$ and $F_a(\xi) := F(\xi) + a$.

An inversion result

- Let $m > 0$ and $F \in S_{1,0}^m(\Xi)$ be an elliptic symbol that does not depend on the $x \in \mathcal{X}$ variable.
- Let $-a < \inf_{\xi \in \mathcal{X}'} F(\xi)$ and $F_a(\xi) := F(\xi) + a$.
- Let us denote by $F_a^{-1}(\xi) := 1/F_a(\xi)$ its usual inverse (for pointwise multiplication).

An inversion result

- Let $m > 0$ and $F \in S_{1,0}^m(\Xi)$ be an elliptic symbol that does not depend on the $x \in \mathcal{X}$ variable.
- Let $-a < \inf_{\xi \in \mathcal{X}'} F(\xi)$ and $F_a(\xi) := F(\xi) + a$.
- Let us denote by $F_a^{-1}(\xi) := 1/F_a(\xi)$ its usual inverse (for pointwise multiplication).
- We define: $\mathfrak{t}_a^B[F] := F_a \#^B F_a^{-1} - 1 \in \mathfrak{M}^B(\Xi)$.

An inversion result

Hypothesis

The magnetic field B has components of class $BC^\infty(\mathcal{X})$.

$$\tau_a^B[F] := F_a \#^B F_a^{-1} - 1$$

Theorem [M.P.R., *J.Func. Anal.* 07]

For $m > 0$ and $F \in S_{1,0}^m(\Xi) \cap C^\infty(\mathcal{X}')$ elliptic, we have that:

- 1 for $-a < \inf_{\xi \in \mathcal{X}'} F(\xi)$, the symbol $\tau_a^B[F]$ has strictly negative order and belongs to $\mathfrak{C}^B(\Xi)$.

An inversion result

Hypothesis

The magnetic field B has components of class $BC^\infty(\mathcal{X})$.

$$\tau_a^B[F] := F_a \#^B F_a^{-1} - 1$$

Theorem [M.P.R., *J.Func. Anal.* 07]

For $m > 0$ and $F \in S_{1,0}^m(\Xi) \cap C^\infty(\mathcal{X}')$ elliptic, we have that:

- 1 for $-a < \inf_{\xi \in \mathcal{X}'} F(\xi)$, the symbol $\tau_a^B[F]$ has strictly negative order and belongs to $\mathcal{C}^B(\Xi)$.
- 2 For $a \in \mathbb{R}_+$ large enough we have: $\|\tau_a^B[F]\|_B < 1$.

An inversion result

Hypothesis

The magnetic field B has components of class $BC^\infty(\mathcal{X})$.

Corollary

For $m > 0$, $F \in S_{1,0}^m(\Xi) \cap C^\infty(\mathcal{X}')$ elliptic and $a \in \mathbb{R}_+$ large enough F_a is invertible in $\mathfrak{C}^B(\mathcal{X})$ and its inverse F_a^- is given by the formula

$$F_a^- = F_a^{-1} \#^B \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} (\tau_a^B[F]) \#^B k \right)$$

with the series converging in the C^* -norm $\|\cdot\|_B$.

Structure

- 1 The algebra of quantum observables in a magnetic field
 - The magnetic field
 - The magnetic Schrödinger representation
 - The *magnetic* algebra of quantum observables
- 2 The *magnetic* pseudodifferential calculus
 - *Magnetic* observables associated to symbols
 - *Magnetic* composition of symbols
 - L^2 -continuity
 - *Magnetic* Sobolev spaces
 - An inversion result
- 3 A Beals type criterion
 - The result
 - Idea of the proof
 - The case $m \neq 0$

We shall concentrate on the case of symbols of type $S_{0,0}^0(\Xi)$.

We shall concentrate on the case of symbols of type $S_{0,0}^0(\Xi)$.

MOTIVATION

Let us very briefly recall [the Beal's criterion](#) in the usual pseudodifferential calculus, that may be obtained from our formalism by taking $B = 0$ (and $A = 0$ evidently).

We shall concentrate on the case of symbols of type $S_{0,0}^0(\Xi)$.

MOTIVATION

Let us very briefly recall [the Beal's criterion](#) in the usual pseudodifferential calculus, that may be obtained from our formalism by taking $B = 0$ (and $A = 0$ evidently).

Let us recall the following notations:

$$a\partial_{Q_j} T := Q_j T - T Q_j, \quad a\partial_{D_j} T := D_j T - T D_j, \quad \forall T \in \mathbb{B}[L^2(\mathcal{X})]$$

as sesquilinear forms on the domain of Q_j , resp. D_j .

Motivation

Beal's criterion

$T \in \mathbb{B}[L^2(\mathcal{X})]$ has the form $T = \mathfrak{Op}(F_T)$, with $F_T \in S_{0,0}^0(\Xi)$,

Motivation

Beal's criterion

$T \in \mathbb{B}[L^2(\mathcal{X})]$ has the form $T = \mathcal{O}p(F_T)$, with $F_T \in S_{0,0}^0(\Xi)$,
if and only if for any family $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n\} \in \mathbb{N}^{2n}$

Motivation

Beal's criterion

$T \in \mathbb{B}[L^2(\mathcal{X})]$ has the form $T = \mathfrak{Op}(F_T)$, with $F_T \in S_{0,0}^0(\Xi)$,
if and only if for any family $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n\} \in \mathbb{N}^{2n}$
the sesquilinear form $a\mathfrak{d}_{Q_1}^{\alpha_1}[\dots a\mathfrak{d}_{Q_n}^{\alpha_n}[a\mathfrak{d}_{D_1}^{\beta_1}[\dots a\mathfrak{d}_{D_n}^{\beta_n}[T]\dots]]$ defined on
an evident dense domain in $L^2(\mathcal{X})$,

Motivation

Beal's criterion

$T \in \mathbb{B}[L^2(\mathcal{X})]$ has the form $T = \mathfrak{Op}(F_T)$, with $F_T \in S_{0,0}^0(\Xi)$,
if and only if for any family $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n\} \in \mathbb{N}^{2n}$
the sesquilinear form $\mathfrak{ad}_{Q_1}^{\alpha_1}[\dots \mathfrak{ad}_{Q_n}^{\alpha_n}[\mathfrak{ad}_{D_1}^{\beta_1}[\dots \mathfrak{ad}_{D_n}^{\beta_n}[T]\dots]]]$ defined on
an evident dense domain in $L^2(\mathcal{X})$,
is continuous with respect to the $L^2(\mathcal{X})$ -norm.

Motivation

Beal's criterion

$T \in \mathbb{B}[L^2(\mathcal{X})]$ has the form $T = \mathfrak{Op}(F_T)$, with $F_T \in S_{0,0}^0(\Xi)$,
if and only if for any family $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n\} \in \mathbb{N}^{2n}$
the sesquilinear form $a\mathfrak{d}_{Q_1}^{\alpha_1}[\dots a\mathfrak{d}_{Q_n}^{\alpha_n}[a\mathfrak{d}_{D_1}^{\beta_1}[\dots a\mathfrak{d}_{D_n}^{\beta_n}[T]\dots]]$ defined on
an evident dense domain in $L^2(\mathcal{X})$,
is continuous with respect to the $L^2(\mathcal{X})$ -norm.

Given a magnetic field B with components of class $BC^\infty(\mathcal{X})$

Motivation

Beal's criterion

$T \in \mathbb{B}[L^2(\mathcal{X})]$ has the form $T = \mathfrak{Op}(F_T)$, with $F_T \in S_{0,0}^0(\Xi)$,
if and only if for any family $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n\} \in \mathbb{N}^{2n}$
the sesquilinear form $a\mathfrak{d}_{Q_1}^{\alpha_1}[\dots a\mathfrak{d}_{Q_n}^{\alpha_n}[a\mathfrak{d}_{D_1}^{\beta_1}[\dots a\mathfrak{d}_{D_n}^{\beta_n}[T]\dots]]$ defined on
an evident dense domain in $L^2(\mathcal{X})$,
is continuous with respect to the $L^2(\mathcal{X})$ -norm.

Given a magnetic field B with components of class $BC^\infty(\mathcal{X})$
our purpose is to formulate a similar criterion for a bounded
operator T to be in $\Psi_{0,0}^0(A)$.

Motivation

It is rather natural to consider the following strategy:

Motivation

It is rather natural to consider the following strategy:

- Replace the operators $\{D_j\}_{1 \leq j \leq n}$
with the '*magnetic moments*' $\{\Pi_j^A\}_{1 \leq j \leq n}$

Motivation

It is rather natural to consider the following strategy:

- Replace the operators $\{D_j\}_{1 \leq j \leq n}$ with the '*magnetic moments*' $\{\Pi_j^A\}_{1 \leq j \leq n}$
- Try to formulate the criterion in a gauge invariant way by using the algebraic framework developed above.

Main Result

Hypothesis

The magnetic field B has components of class $BC^\infty(\mathcal{X})$.

Main Result

Hypothesis

The magnetic field B has components of class $BC^\infty(\mathcal{X})$.

Theorem

In any Schrödinger representation associated to a vector potential A for B , an operator $T \in \mathbb{B}[L^2(\mathcal{X})]$ has the form $T = \mathfrak{Op}^A(F_T)$, with $F_T \in S_{0,0}^0(\Xi)$,

Main Result

Hypothesis

The magnetic field B has components of class $BC^\infty(\mathcal{X})$.

Theorem

In any Schrödinger representation associated to a vector potential A for B , an operator $T \in \mathbb{B}[L^2(\mathcal{X})]$ has the form $T = \mathfrak{Op}^A(F_T)$, with $F_T \in S_{0,0}^0(\Xi)$, if and only if for any family $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n\} \in \mathbb{N}^{2n}$

Main Result

Hypothesis

The magnetic field B has components of class $BC^\infty(\mathcal{X})$.

Theorem

In any Schrödinger representation associated to a vector potential A for B , an operator $T \in \mathbb{B}[L^2(\mathcal{X})]$ has the form $T = \mathfrak{Op}^A(F_T)$, with $F_T \in S_{0,0}^0(\Xi)$,

if and only if for any family $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n\} \in \mathbb{N}^{2n}$ the sesquilinear form $\text{ad}_{Q_1}^{\alpha_1} [\dots \text{ad}_{Q_n}^{\alpha_n} [\text{ad}_{P_1^A}^{\beta_1} [\dots \text{ad}_{P_n^A}^{\beta_n} [T] \dots]]]$ defined on a dense domain in $L^2(\mathcal{X})$,

Main Result

Hypothesis

The magnetic field B has components of class $BC^\infty(\mathcal{X})$.

Theorem

In any Schrödinger representation associated to a vector potential A for B , an operator $T \in \mathbb{B}[L^2(\mathcal{X})]$ has the form $T = \mathfrak{Op}^A(F_T)$, with $F_T \in S_{0,0}^0(\Xi)$,

if and only if for any family $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n\} \in \mathbb{N}^{2n}$ the sesquilinear form $\text{ad}_{Q_1}^{\alpha_1} [\dots \text{ad}_{Q_n}^{\alpha_n} [\text{ad}_{P_1}^{\beta_1} [\dots \text{ad}_{P_n}^{\beta_n} [T] \dots]]]$ defined on a dense domain in $L^2(\mathcal{X})$,
is continuous with respect to the $L^2(\mathcal{X})$ -norm.

Main Result

In fact the above theorem is the evident '*represented version*' of a result concerning the algebra $\mathfrak{G}^B(\Xi)$ that we shall now present.

The magnetic action of Ξ on $\mathcal{C}^B(\Xi)$

- In order to define the '*linear monomials*' on Ξ we shall use the canonical symplectic form σ on Ξ and consider for any $X \in \Xi$ the function: $l_X : \Xi \ni Y \mapsto \sigma(X, Y) \in \mathbb{R}$

The magnetic action of Ξ on $\mathcal{C}^B(\Xi)$

- In order to define the '*linear monomials*' on Ξ we shall use the canonical symplectic form σ on Ξ and consider for any $X \in \Xi$ the function: $l_X : \Xi \ni Y \mapsto \sigma(X, Y) \in \mathbb{R}$
- Then we can introduce *the algebraic Weyl system*:
 $e_X := \exp\{-il_X\}$ indexed by $X \in \Xi$.

The magnetic action of Ξ on $\mathcal{C}^B(\Xi)$

- In order to define the '*linear monomials*' on Ξ we shall use the canonical symplectic form σ on Ξ and consider for any $X \in \Xi$ the function: $l_X : \Xi \ni Y \mapsto \sigma(X, Y) \in \mathbb{R}$
- Then we can introduce *the algebraic Weyl system*:
 $e_X := \exp\{-il_X\}$ indexed by $X \in \Xi$.
- We define the following *twisted action* of Ξ on $\mathcal{C}^B(\Xi)$:

$$\Xi \ni X \mapsto \mathcal{E}_X^B \in \text{Aut}[\mathcal{C}^B(\Xi)], \quad \mathcal{E}_X^B[F] := e_{-X} \#^B F \#^B e_X$$

The magnetic action of Ξ on $\mathcal{C}^B(\Xi)$

- In order to define the '*linear monomials*' on Ξ we shall use the canonical symplectic form σ on Ξ and consider for any $X \in \Xi$ the function: $l_X : \Xi \ni Y \mapsto \sigma(X, Y) \in \mathbb{R}$
- Then we can introduce *the algebraic Weyl system*:
 $e_X := \exp\{-il_X\}$ indexed by $X \in \Xi$.
- We define the following *twisted action* of Ξ on $\mathcal{C}^B(\Xi)$:

$$\Xi \ni X \mapsto \mathcal{E}_X^B \in \text{Aut}[\mathcal{C}^B(\Xi)], \quad \mathcal{E}_X^B[F] := e_{-X} \#^B F \#^B e_X$$

- Some calculus gives: $-i\partial_t \mathcal{E}_{tX}^B[F]|_{t=0} = \alpha\mathcal{D}_X^B[F]$
where $\alpha\mathcal{D}_X^B[F] := l_X \#^B F - F \#^B l_X$.

The magnetic action of Ξ on $\mathcal{C}^B(\Xi)$

The space of \mathcal{E}^B -regular vectors at the origin

$$\mathfrak{X}_{\infty,0}^B := \left\{ F \in \mathcal{C}^B(\Xi) \mid \text{ad}_{X_1}^B[\dots \text{ad}_{X_N}^B[F]\dots] \in \mathcal{C}^B(\Xi) \right\}$$

where $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\{X_1, \dots, X_N\} \subset \Xi$ are arbitrary.

The magnetic action of Ξ on $\mathcal{C}^B(\Xi)$

The space of \mathcal{E}^B -regular vectors at the origin

$$\mathfrak{X}_{\infty,0}^B := \left\{ F \in \mathcal{C}^B(\Xi) \mid \text{ad}_{X_1}^B[\dots \text{ad}_{X_N}^B[F]\dots] \in \mathcal{C}^B(\Xi) \right\}$$

where $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\{X_1, \dots, X_N\} \subset \Xi$ are arbitrary.

This space is endowed with the family of seminorms:

$$\|F\|_{X_1, \dots, X_N} := \|\text{ad}_{X_1}^B[\dots \text{ad}_{X_N}^B[F]\dots]\|_B$$

indexed by all the families $\{X_1, \dots, X_N\} \subset \Xi$ with $N \in \mathbb{N}$ arbitrary

The magnetic action of Ξ on $\mathcal{C}^B(\Xi)$

The space of \mathcal{E}^B -regular vectors at the origin

$$\mathfrak{V}_{\infty,0}^B := \left\{ F \in \mathcal{C}^B(\Xi) \mid \text{ad}_{X_1}^B[\dots \text{ad}_{X_N}^B[F]\dots] \in \mathcal{C}^B(\Xi) \right\}$$

where $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\{X_1, \dots, X_N\} \subset \Xi$ are arbitrary.

This space is endowed with the family of seminorms:

$$\|F\|_{X_1, \dots, X_N} := \|\text{ad}_{X_1}^B[\dots \text{ad}_{X_N}^B[F]\dots]\|_B$$

indexed by all the families $\{X_1, \dots, X_N\} \subset \Xi$ with $N \in \mathbb{N}$ arbitrary

The above seminorms define on $\mathfrak{V}_{\infty,0}^B$ a Frechet space structure.

Let us recall the usual action through translations of Ξ on the C^* -algebra $BC_u(\Xi)$ (endowed with the usual norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$):

$$\Xi \ni X \mapsto \mathcal{T}_X \in \text{Aut}[BC_u(\Xi)], \quad \mathcal{T}_X[F](Y) := F(Y + X)$$

Let us recall the usual action through translations of Ξ on the C^* -algebra $BC_u(\Xi)$ (endowed with the usual norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$):

$$\Xi \ni X \mapsto \mathcal{T}_X \in \text{Aut}[BC_u(\Xi)], \quad \mathcal{T}_X[F](Y) := F(Y + X)$$

The space of associated \mathcal{T} -regular vectors (in $BC_u(\Xi)$) is $BC^\infty(\Xi)$ with the family of seminorms

$$|F|_{(N)} := \max_{|\alpha|+|\alpha| \leq N} \|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\alpha F\|_\infty$$

indexed by $N \in \mathbb{N}$,

that also induce a Frechet space structure on $BC^\infty(\Xi)$

The main result

Theorem

If the magnetic field B has components of class $BC^\infty(\mathcal{X})$, then the two Frechet spaces $\mathfrak{D}_{\infty,0}^B$ and $BC^\infty(\Xi)$ coincide (as subspaces of $\mathcal{S}'(\Xi)$).

The main result

Theorem

If the magnetic field B has components of class $BC^\infty(\mathcal{X})$, then the two Frechet spaces $\mathfrak{D}_{\infty,0}^B$ and $BC^\infty(\Xi)$ coincide (as subspaces of $\mathcal{S}'(\Xi)$).

Our '*magnetic*' version of Beal's criterion (stated before) is a straightforward consequence of the above result.

(Just observe that $S_{0,0}^0(\Xi) = BC^\infty(\Xi)$).

Sketch of the proof

Main Idea:

Sketch of the proof

Main Idea:

- For the inclusion $BC^\infty(\Xi) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{A}_{\infty,0}^B$ (as Frechet spaces), we use our L^2 -continuity Theorem above [I.M.P., *Proc. RIMS 07*] and a Lemma estimating the sup-norm of $\alpha\partial_{X_1}^B [\dots \alpha\partial_{X_N}^B [F] \dots]$ by some BC^∞ -seminorm.

Sketch of the proof

Main Idea:

- For the inclusion $BC^\infty(\Xi) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{A}_{\infty,0}^B$ (as Frechet spaces), we use our L^2 -continuity Theorem above [I.M.P., *Proc. RIMS 07*] and a Lemma estimating the sup-norm of $\alpha\partial_{X_1}^B [\dots \alpha\partial_{X_N}^B [F] \dots]$ by some BC^∞ -seminorm.
- For the other inclusion: $\mathfrak{A}_{\infty,0}^B \hookrightarrow BC^\infty(\Xi)$ (as Frechet spaces), we shall follow the main steps in [B. Helffer ...]

Sketch of the proof

Main Idea:

- For the inclusion $BC^\infty(\Xi) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{A}_{\infty,0}^B$ (as Frechet spaces), we use our L^2 -continuity Theorem above [I.M.P., *Proc. RIMS 07*] and a Lemma estimating the sup-norm of $\alpha\partial_{X_1}^B [\dots \alpha\partial_{X_N}^B [F] \dots]$ by some BC^∞ -seminorm.
- For the other inclusion: $\mathfrak{A}_{\infty,0}^B \hookrightarrow BC^\infty(\Xi)$ (as Frechet spaces), we shall follow the main steps in [B. Helffer ...] but we shall replace L^2 -norm estimations on $Tu \in L^2(\mathcal{X})$, for $u \in L^2(\mathcal{X})$

Sketch of the proof

Main Idea:

- For the inclusion $BC^\infty(\Xi) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{A}_{\infty,0}^B$ (as Frechet spaces), we use our L^2 -continuity Theorem above [I.M.P., *Proc. RIMS 07*] and a Lemma estimating the sup-norm of $\alpha\partial_{X_1}^B[\dots\alpha\partial_{X_N}^B[F]\dots]$ by some BC^∞ -seminorm.
- For the other inclusion: $\mathfrak{A}_{\infty,0}^B \hookrightarrow BC^\infty(\Xi)$ (as Frechet spaces), we shall follow the main steps in [B. Helffer ...] but we shall replace L^2 -norm estimations on $Tu \in L^2(\mathcal{X})$, for $u \in L^2(\mathcal{X})$ with Hilber-Schmidt-norm estimations on $TR \in \mathbb{B}_2[L^2(\mathcal{X})]$, for $R \in \mathbb{B}_2[L^2(\mathcal{X})]$.

Sketch of the proof

An important ingredient of the proof is the following equality
[M.P., *J. Math. Phys.* 04]:

$$\|\mathfrak{D}p^A(f)\|_{\mathbb{B}_2} = \|f\|_2, \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{S}(\Xi)$$

Sketch of the proof

An important ingredient of the proof is the following equality
[M.P., *J. Math. Phys.* 04]:

$$\|\mathfrak{Op}^A(f)\|_{\mathbb{B}_2} = \|f\|_2, \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{S}(\Xi)$$

and the fact that

$$\|F\#^B f\|_2 \leq \|F\|_B \|f\|_2$$

Sketch of the proof

An important ingredient of the proof is the following equality
[M.P., *J. Math. Phys.* 04]:

$$\|\mathfrak{D}^A(f)\|_{\mathbb{B}_2} = \|f\|_2, \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{S}(\Xi)$$

and the fact that

$$\|F\#^B f\|_2 \leq \|F\|_B \|f\|_2$$

This last estimation allows us to put into evidence the rather abstract B -norm in inequalities involving usual functional norms.

Sketch of the proof

- We chose a function $\Psi \in \mathfrak{M}^B(\Xi)$ such that:

(in fact Ψ is defined explicitly)

Sketch of the proof

- We chose a function $\Psi \in \mathfrak{M}^B(\Xi)$ such that:
 - it is invertible for \sharp^B in $\mathfrak{M}^B(\Xi)$

(in fact Ψ is defined explicitly)

Sketch of the proof

- We chose a function $\Psi \in \mathfrak{M}^B(\Xi)$ such that:
 - it is invertible for \sharp^B in $\mathfrak{M}^B(\Xi)$
 - its \sharp^B -inverse Ψ^- belongs to $L^2(\Xi)$

(in fact Ψ is defined explicitly)

Sketch of the proof

- We chose a function $\Psi \in \mathfrak{M}^B(\Xi)$ such that:
 - it is invertible for \sharp^B in $\mathfrak{M}^B(\Xi)$
 - its \sharp^B -inverse Ψ^- belongs to $L^2(\Xi)$
 - some more regularity conditions are satisfied by Ψ .
- (in fact Ψ is defined explicitly)

Sketch of the proof

- We chose a function $\Psi \in \mathfrak{M}^B(\Xi)$ such that:
 - it is invertible for \sharp^B in $\mathfrak{M}^B(\Xi)$
 - its \sharp^B -inverse Ψ^- belongs to $L^2(\Xi)$
 - some more regularity conditions are satisfied by Ψ .

(in fact Ψ is defined explicitly)

- We observe that for any $F \in \mathfrak{M}^B(\Xi)$ we have

$$F = (F \sharp^B \Psi^-) \sharp^B \Psi \equiv \tilde{F}_\Psi \sharp^B \Psi$$

Sketch of the proof

- We chose a function $\Psi \in \mathfrak{M}^B(\Xi)$ such that:
 - it is invertible for \sharp^B in $\mathfrak{M}^B(\Xi)$
 - its \sharp^B -inverse Ψ^- belongs to $L^2(\Xi)$
 - some more regularity conditions are satisfied by Ψ .

(in fact Ψ is defined explicitly)

- We observe that for any $F \in \mathfrak{M}^B(\Xi)$ we have

$$F = (F \sharp^B \Psi^-) \sharp^B \Psi \equiv \tilde{F}_\Psi \sharp^B \Psi$$

- Using usual oscillatory integral techniques we obtain

$$\|F\|_\infty = \|\tilde{F}_\Psi \sharp^B \Psi\|_\infty \leq C \|\partial_x^a \partial_\xi^\alpha \tilde{F}_\Psi\|_2$$

Sketch of the proof

- We chose a function $\Psi \in \mathfrak{M}^B(\Xi)$ such that:
 - it is invertible for \sharp^B in $\mathfrak{M}^B(\Xi)$
 - its \sharp^B -inverse Ψ^- belongs to $L^2(\Xi)$
 - some more regularity conditions are satisfied by Ψ .

(in fact Ψ is defined explicitly)

- We observe that for any $F \in \mathfrak{M}^B(\Xi)$ we have

$$F = (F \sharp^B \Psi^-) \sharp^B \Psi \equiv \tilde{F}_\Psi \sharp^B \Psi$$

- Using usual oscillatory integral techniques we obtain

$$\|F\|_\infty = \|\tilde{F}_\Psi \sharp^B \Psi\|_\infty \leq C \|\partial_x^a \partial_\xi^\alpha \tilde{F}_\Psi\|_2$$

- The final step is to obtain $\|F\|_B$ by the trick explained above.

Sketch of the proof

One of the basic technical points is to put the abstract **derivatives** $\alpha\partial^B$ acting on $\mathcal{S}(\Xi)$ in a simple explicit form allowing for precise estimations.

Sketch of the proof

One of the basic technical points is to put the abstract **derivatives** $\alpha\partial^B$ acting on $\mathcal{S}(\Xi)$ in a simple explicit form allowing for precise estimations.

- Let us choose a basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ in \mathcal{X} and a basis $\{\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n\}$ in \mathcal{X}'

Sketch of the proof

One of the basic technical points is to put the abstract **derivatives** $\alpha\partial^B$ acting on $\mathcal{S}(\Xi)$ in a simple explicit form allowing for precise estimations.

- Let us choose a basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ in \mathcal{X} and a basis $\{\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n\}$ in \mathcal{X}'
- We have $\alpha\partial_{\epsilon_j}^B f = -i\partial_{\xi_j} f$

Sketch of the proof

One of the basic technical points is to put the abstract **derivatives** $\alpha\partial^B$ acting on $\mathcal{S}(\Xi)$ in a simple explicit form allowing for precise estimations.

- Let us choose a basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ in \mathcal{X} and a basis $\{\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n\}$ in \mathcal{X}'
- We have $\alpha\partial_{\epsilon_j}^B f = -i\partial_{\xi_j} f$
- We have $\alpha\partial_{e_j}^B f = -i\partial_{x_j} f + \delta_j^B[f]$

Sketch of the proof

One of the basic technical points is to put the abstract **derivatives** $\alpha \partial^B$ acting on $\mathcal{S}(\Xi)$ in a simple explicit form allowing for precise estimations.

- Let us choose a basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ in \mathcal{X} and a basis $\{\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n\}$ in \mathcal{X}'
- We have $\alpha \partial_{\epsilon_j}^B f = -i \partial_{\xi_j} f$
- We have $\alpha \partial_{e_j}^B f = -i \partial_{x_j} f + \delta_j^B[f]$ where

$$\delta_j^B[f] = \sum_{1 \leq \alpha \leq 2[n/4]+3} c_{j,\alpha}^B \star (-i \partial_{\xi})^\alpha f$$

Sketch of the proof

$$\delta_j^B[f] = \sum_{1 \leq \alpha \leq 2[n/4]+3} c_{j,\alpha}^B \star (-i\partial_\xi)^\alpha f$$

where:

Sketch of the proof

$$\delta_j^B[f] = \sum_{1 \leq \alpha \leq 2[n/4]+3} c_{j,\alpha}^B \star (-i\partial_\xi)^\alpha f$$

where:

- $c_{j,\alpha}^B \in L^1(\mathcal{X}'; BC(\mathcal{X}))$ has rapid decay in the \mathcal{X}' -variables (due to the assumptions on B having components in BC^∞)

Sketch of the proof

$$\delta_j^B[f] = \sum_{1 \leq \alpha \leq 2[n/4]+3} c_{j,\alpha}^B \star (-i\partial_\xi)^\alpha f$$

where:

- $c_{j,\alpha}^B \in L^1(\mathcal{X}'; BC(\mathcal{X}))$ has rapid decay in the \mathcal{X}' -variables (due to the assumptions on B having components in BC^∞)
- $(f \star g)(x, \xi) := \int_{\mathcal{X}'} d\eta f(x, \eta)g(x, \xi - \eta)$

The case $m \neq 0$

The case $m \neq 0$

- For $(m, a) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+$ let
$$\wp_{m,a}(X) := a + \wp_m(X) = a + \langle \xi \rangle^m$$

The case $m \neq 0$

- For $(m, a) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+$ let
$$\wp_{m,a}(X) := a + \wp_m(X) = a + \langle \xi \rangle^m$$
- Due to our invertibility result, there exists $\wp_{m,a}^- \in \mathcal{C}^B(\Xi)$ for any $a \geq a_m > 0$

The case $m \neq 0$

- For $(m, a) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+$ let
$$\wp_{m,a}(X) := a + \wp_m(X) = a + \langle \xi \rangle^m$$
- Due to our invertibility result, there exists $\wp_{m,a}^- \in \mathcal{C}^B(\Xi)$ for any $a \geq a_m > 0$
- We shall define

$$\wp_0 := 1$$

$$\wp_m := \wp_{m,a_m}, \quad \text{for } m > 0$$

$$\wp_m := \wp_{|m|,a_{|m|}}^-, \quad \text{for } m < 0$$

The case $m \neq 0$

Theorem

Let us suppose the magnetic field B has components of class $BC^\infty(\mathcal{X})$. A distribution $F \in \mathcal{S}(\Xi)$ is a symbol of class $S_{0,0}^m(\Xi)$ if and only if for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and any family $\{X_1, \dots, X_N\} \subset \Xi$ we have that

$$\mathfrak{w}_m^{-\sharp B} \mathfrak{a} \mathfrak{d}_{X_1}^B [\dots \mathfrak{a} \mathfrak{d}_{X_N}^B [F] \dots] \in \mathfrak{e}^B(\Xi)$$