

Self-adjoint extensions of Dirac operators via Hardy-like inequalities

Maria J. ESTEBAN

C.N.R.S. & Université Paris-Dauphine

In collaboration with : Michael Loss

In the units in which both the speed of light c and Planck's constant \hbar are equal to 1, the Dirac operator in the presence of an external electrostatic potential V is given by

$$H_0 + V \quad \text{with} \quad H_0 := -i \alpha \cdot \nabla + \beta .$$

$\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$ and β are 4×4 complex matrices, whose standard form (in 2×2 blocks) is

$$\beta = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{I} & 0 \\ 0 & -\mathbb{I} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \alpha_k = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma_k \\ \sigma_k & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (k = 1, 2, 3),$$

where $\mathbb{I} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and σ_k are the Pauli matrices:

$$\sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- If V is bounded, $H_0 + V$ with domain $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^4)$ is self-adjoint.
- What if V has singularities, as it is the case in atomic and molecular physics?

– If V is bounded, $H_0 + V$ with domain $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^4)$ is self-adjoint.

– What if V has singularities, as it is the case in atomic and molecular physics?

In this case one is interested in defining **physically relevant** self-adjoint extensions of $T := H_0 + V|_{C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^4)}$.

- If V is bounded, $H_0 + V$ with domain $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^4)$ is self-adjoint.
- What if V has singularities, as it is the case in atomic and molecular physics?

In this case one is interested in defining **physically relevant** self-adjoint extensions of $T := H_0 + V|_{C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^4)}$.

For $\nu \in (0, \pi/2]$ one can use the pseudo-Friedrich extension method to define an extension which satisfies

$$\mathcal{D}(H_0 + V) \subset \mathcal{D}(|H_0|^{1/2}) = H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^4).$$

This result is obtained by using Kato's inequality :

$$|H_0| \geq \frac{2}{\pi|x|}.$$

- If V is bounded, $H_0 + V$ with domain $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^4)$ is self-adjoint.
- What if V has singularities, as it is the case in atomic and molecular physics?

In this case one is interested in defining **physically relevant** self-adjoint extensions of $T := H_0 + V|_{C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^4)}$.

For $\nu \in (0, \pi/2]$ one can use the pseudo-Friedrich extension method to define an extension which satisfies

$$\mathcal{D}(H_0 + V) \subset \mathcal{D}(|H_0|^{1/2}) = H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^4).$$

This result is obtained by using Kato's inequality :

$$|H_0| \geq \frac{2}{\pi|x|}.$$

Actually one can prove that $H_0 - \frac{\nu}{|x|}$ defined on $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^4)$ is essentially self-adjoint iff $\nu < \sqrt{3}/2$ (Schmincke, 1972).

Case $\nu < 1$

- Various works of Schmincke and Wüst show “basically” (some other technical assumptions made) that if

$$\sup_{x \neq 0} |x| |V(x)| < 1,$$

there is a distinguished self-adjoint extension of T characterized by the fact that the domain is contained in $D(T^*) \cap D(r^{-1/2})$.

(semibounded and not semibounded potentials; **cut-off method**, 1972-1975)

- Various works of Schmincke and Wüst show “basically” (some other technical assumptions made) that if

$$\sup_{x \neq 0} |x| |V(x)| < 1,$$

there is a distinguished self-adjoint extension of T characterized by the fact that the domain is contained in $D(T^*) \cap D(r^{-1/2})$.

(semibounded and not semibounded potentials; **cut-off method**, 1972-1975)

- In 1976, G. Nenciu proved that under the same assumption, there is a distinguished self-adjoint extension of T characterized by the fact that the domain is contained in $H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^4)$.

Case $\nu < 1$

- Various works of Schmincke and Wüst show “basically” (some other technical assumptions made) that if

$$\sup_{x \neq 0} |x| |V(x)| < 1,$$

there is a distinguished self-adjoint extension of T characterized by the fact that the domain is contained in $D(T^*) \cap D(r^{-1/2})$.

(semibounded and not semibounded potentials; **cut-off method**, 1972-1975)

- In 1976, G. Nenciu proved that under the same assumption, there is a distinguished self-adjoint extension of T characterized by the fact that the domain is contained in $H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^4)$.
- In 1978, Klaus and Wüst proved that the above extensions coincide.

Our idea was to find a general method to link existence of a distinguished and physically relevant self-adjoint extension of T with existence of a Hardy-like inequality for the operator $H_0 + V$.

Our idea was to find a general method to link existence of a distinguished and physically relevant self-adjoint extension of T with existence of a Hardy-like inequality for the operator $H_0 + V$.

In the case of the Schrödinger operator, the Hardy-like inequality

$$-\Delta \geq \frac{(N-2)^2}{4|x|^2},$$

marks the limit for self-adjointness : one can find a distinguished self-adjoint extension for the operator $-\Delta - \frac{\mu}{|x|^2} |_{C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)}$ iff $\mu \leq \frac{(N-2)^2}{4}$.

Our idea was to find a general method to link existence of a distinguished and physically relevant self-adjoint extension of T with existence of a Hardy-like inequality for the operator $H_0 + V$.

In the case of the Schrödinger operator, the Hardy-like inequality

$$-\Delta \geq \frac{(N-2)^2}{4|x|^2},$$

marks the limit for self-adjointness : one can find a distinguished self-adjoint extension for the operator $-\Delta - \frac{\mu}{|x|^2} |_{C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)}$ iff $\mu \leq \frac{(N-2)^2}{4}$.

This follows from the fact that the quadratic form associated with $-\Delta - \frac{\mu}{|x|^2}$ is nonnegative iff $\mu \leq \frac{(N-2)^2}{4}$.

Our idea was to find a general method to link existence of a distinguished and physically relevant self-adjoint extension of T with existence of a Hardy-like inequality for the operator $H_0 + V$.

In the case of the Schrödinger operator, the Hardy-like inequality

$$-\Delta \geq \frac{(N-2)^2}{4|x|^2},$$

marks the limit for self-adjointness : one can find a distinguished self-adjoint extension for the operator $-\Delta - \frac{\mu}{|x|^2} |_{C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)}$ iff $\mu \leq \frac{(N-2)^2}{4}$.

This follows from the fact that the quadratic form associated with $-\Delta - \frac{\mu}{|x|^2}$ is nonnegative iff $\mu \leq \frac{(N-2)^2}{4}$.

But in the case of the Dirac operator, $H_0 + V$ is not bounded below for any potential V , even for $V = 0$. Hence the corresponding quadratic form is never semi-definite nonnegative.

Hardy inequality for Dirac operators I

BUT there is a Hardy-like inequality for the Dirac operator as follows : for all $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^2)$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\frac{|\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \nabla \varphi|^2}{1 + \frac{1}{|x|}} + |\varphi|^2 \right) dx \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|\varphi|^2}{|x|} dx .$$

Hardy inequality for Dirac operators I

BUT there is a Hardy-like inequality for the Dirac operator as follows : for all $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^2)$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\frac{|\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \nabla \varphi|^2}{1 + \frac{1}{|x|}} + |\varphi|^2 \right) dx \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|\varphi|^2}{|x|} dx .$$

There are two proofs for it :

(1) (Dolbeault-E.-Séré , 2000) : If

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow +\infty} V(x) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad -\frac{\nu}{|x|} - c_1 \leq V \leq \Gamma = \sup(V) ,$$

with $\nu \in (0, 1)$, $c_1, \Gamma \geq 0$, $c_1 + \Gamma - 1 < \sqrt{1 - \nu^2}$. Then,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\frac{|\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \nabla \varphi|^2}{1 + \lambda_1(V) - V} + (1 - \lambda_1(V) + V) |\varphi|^2 \right) dx \geq 0 ,$$

where $\lambda_1(V)$ denotes the smallest eigenvalue of $H_0 + V$ in the spectral gap $(-1, 1)$.

Hardy inequality for Dirac operators II

We apply the above to the potentials $V_\nu := -\nu/|x|$, $\nu \in (0, 1)$. We get :

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\frac{|\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \nabla \varphi|^2}{1 + \sqrt{1 - \nu^2} + \frac{\nu}{|x|}} + (1 - \sqrt{1 - \nu^2}) |\varphi|^2 \right) dx \geq \nu \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|\varphi|^2}{|x|} dx ,$$

for all $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^2)$.

Hardy inequality for Dirac operators II

We apply the above to the potentials $V_\nu := -\nu/|x|$, $\nu \in (0, 1)$. We get :

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\frac{|\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \nabla \varphi|^2}{1 + \sqrt{1 - \nu^2} + \frac{\nu}{|x|}} + (1 - \sqrt{1 - \nu^2}) |\varphi|^2 \right) dx \geq \nu \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|\varphi|^2}{|x|} dx ,$$

for all $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^2)$.

Now, we take limits $\nu \nearrow 1$ to get :

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\frac{|\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \nabla \varphi|^2}{1 + \frac{1}{|x|}} + |\varphi|^2 \right) dx \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|\varphi|^2}{|x|} dx .$$

Hardy inequality for Dirac operators II

We apply the above to the potentials $V_\nu := -\nu/|x|$, $\nu \in (0, 1)$. We get :

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\frac{|\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \nabla \varphi|^2}{1 + \sqrt{1 - \nu^2} + \frac{\nu}{|x|}} + (1 - \sqrt{1 - \nu^2}) |\varphi|^2 \right) dx \geq \nu \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|\varphi|^2}{|x|} dx ,$$

for all $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^2)$.

Now, we take limits $\nu \nearrow 1$ to get :

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\frac{|\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \nabla \varphi|^2}{1 + \frac{1}{|x|}} + |\varphi|^2 \right) dx \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|\varphi|^2}{|x|} dx .$$

(2) Analytical (not spectral) proof of the above inequality by Dolbeault, E., Loss and Vega (2004).

Hardy inequality for Dirac operators II

We apply the above to the potentials $V_\nu := -\nu/|x|$, $\nu \in (0, 1)$. We get :

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\frac{|\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \nabla \varphi|^2}{1 + \sqrt{1 - \nu^2} + \frac{\nu}{|x|}} + (1 - \sqrt{1 - \nu^2}) |\varphi|^2 \right) dx \geq \nu \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|\varphi|^2}{|x|} dx ,$$

for all $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^2)$.

Now, we take limits $\nu \nearrow 1$ to get :

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\frac{|\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \nabla \varphi|^2}{1 + \frac{1}{|x|}} + |\varphi|^2 \right) dx \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|\varphi|^2}{|x|} dx .$$

(2) Analytical (not spectral) proof of the above inequality by Dolbeault, E., Loss and Vega (2004).

CONSEQUENCE : nonnegativity of the quadratic form

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\frac{|\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \nabla \varphi|^2}{1 + \frac{1}{|x|}} + \left(1 - \frac{1}{|x|}\right) |\varphi|^2 \right) dx .$$

Hardy inequality for Dirac operators with magnetic fields

Note that the above type of inequalities also hold in the case on an external magnetic field B with associated potential A : for all $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^2)$, for $V_\nu := -\nu/|x|$, $\nu \in (0, 1)$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\frac{|\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot (\nabla - iA) \varphi|^2}{1 + \lambda_1^A(V_\nu) - V_\nu} + (1 - \lambda_1^A(V_\nu) + V_\nu) |\varphi|^2 \right) dx \geq 0,$$

where $\lambda_1^A(V_\nu)$ denotes the smallest eigenvalue of the magnetic Dirac-Coulomb operator

$$H_0^A + V_\nu = -i \boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot (\nabla - iA) + \beta - \nu/|x|$$

in the spectral gap $(-1, 1)$.

(Dolbeault, E., Loss, 2007)

Hardy inequality for Dirac operators with magnetic fields

Note that the above type of inequalities also hold in the case on an external magnetic field B with associated potential A : for all $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^2)$, for $V_\nu := -\nu/|x|$, $\nu \in (0, 1)$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\frac{|\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot (\nabla - iA) \varphi|^2}{1 + \lambda_1^A(V_\nu) - V_\nu} + (1 - \lambda_1^A(V_\nu) + V_\nu) |\varphi|^2 \right) dx \geq 0,$$

where $\lambda_1^A(V_\nu)$ denotes the smallest eigenvalue of the magnetic Dirac-Coulomb operator

$$H_0^A + V_\nu = -i \boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot (\nabla - iA) + \beta - \nu/|x|$$

in the spectral gap $(-1, 1)$.

(Dolbeault, E., Loss, 2007)

Remark. For very intense magnetic fields, and even if ν is very small, $\lambda_1^A(V_\nu)$ can leave the gap $(-1, 1)$ and then the inequality is not true anymore.

Some definitions I

Assumption (A) : $V \in L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R})$ is a function such that for some constant $c(V) \in (-1, 1)$, $\Gamma := \sup_{\mathbb{R}^3} V < 1 + c(V)$ and for every $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^2)$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\frac{|\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \nabla \varphi|^2}{1 + c(V) - V} + (1 - c(V) + V) |\varphi|^2 \right) dx \geq 0 .$$

Some definitions I

Assumption (A) : $V \in L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R})$ is a function such that for some constant $c(V) \in (-1, 1)$, $\Gamma := \sup_{\mathbb{R}^3} V < 1 + c(V)$ and for every $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^2)$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\frac{|\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \nabla \varphi|^2}{1 + c(V) - V} + (1 - c(V) + V) |\varphi|^2 \right) dx \geq 0 .$$

(The potentials $V_\nu := -\nu/|x|$, $\nu \in (0, 1]$ satisfy the above assumption with $c(V_\nu) = \sqrt{1 - \nu^2}$)

Some definitions I

Assumption (A) : $V \in L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R})$ is a function such that for some constant $c(V) \in (-1, 1)$, $\Gamma := \sup_{\mathbb{R}^3} V < 1 + c(V)$ and for every $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^2)$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\frac{|\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \nabla \varphi|^2}{1 + c(V) - V} + (1 - c(V) + V) |\varphi|^2 \right) dx \geq 0 .$$

(The potentials $V_\nu := -\nu/|x|$, $\nu \in (0, 1]$ satisfy the above assumption with $c(V_\nu) = \sqrt{1 - \nu^2}$)

For any γ in $(\Gamma, 1 + c(V))$, consider the quadratic form

$$b_\gamma(\varphi, \varphi) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\frac{|\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \nabla \varphi|^2}{\gamma - V} + (2 - \gamma + V) |\varphi|^2 \right) dx$$

defined on $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^2)$. Note that by assumption (A), this quadratic form is nonnegative and symmetric on $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^2)$. Therefore it is closable and we denote its closure by \widehat{b}_γ and its form domain by \mathcal{H}_{+1}^γ .

Some definitions I

Assumption (A) : $V \in L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R})$ is a function such that for some constant $c(V) \in (-1, 1)$, $\Gamma := \sup_{\mathbb{R}^3} V < 1 + c(V)$ and for every $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^2)$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\frac{|\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \nabla \varphi|^2}{1 + c(V) - V} + (1 - c(V) + V) |\varphi|^2 \right) dx \geq 0 .$$

(The potentials $V_\nu := -\nu/|x|$, $\nu \in (0, 1]$ satisfy the above assumption with $c(V_\nu) = \sqrt{1 - \nu^2}$)

For any γ in $(\Gamma, 1 + c(V))$, consider the quadratic form

$$b_\gamma(\varphi, \varphi) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\frac{|\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \nabla \varphi|^2}{\gamma - V} + (2 - \gamma + V) |\varphi|^2 \right) dx$$

defined on $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^2)$. Note that by assumption (A), this quadratic form is nonnegative and symmetric on $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^2)$. Therefore it is closable and we denote its closure by \widehat{b}_γ and its form domain by \mathcal{H}_{+1}^γ .

Definition : We denote by S_γ the unique selfadjoint operator associated with \widehat{b}_γ : for all $\varphi \in D(S_\gamma) \subset \mathcal{H}_{+1}^\gamma$,

$$\widehat{b}_\gamma(\varphi, \varphi) = (\varphi, S_\gamma \varphi) .$$

Some definitions II

S_γ is an isometric isomorphism from \mathcal{H}_{+1}^γ to its dual \mathcal{H}_{-1}^γ .

Moreover, \mathcal{H}_{+1}^γ is the operator domain of $S_\gamma^{1/2}$, and for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_{+1}^\gamma$,

$$\widehat{b}_\gamma(\varphi, \varphi) = (S_\gamma^{1/2}\varphi, S_\gamma^{1/2}\varphi).$$

Some definitions II

S_γ is an isometric isomorphism from \mathcal{H}_{+1}^γ to its dual \mathcal{H}_{-1}^γ .

Moreover, \mathcal{H}_{+1}^γ is the operator domain of $S_\gamma^{1/2}$, and for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_{+1}^\gamma$,

$$\widehat{b}_\gamma(\varphi, \varphi) = (S_\gamma^{1/2}\varphi, S_\gamma^{1/2}\varphi).$$

Proposition : The espaces $\mathcal{H}_{\pm 1}^\gamma$ do not depend on γ . We denote them by $\mathcal{H}_{\pm 1}$.

Some definitions II

S_γ is an isometric isomorphism from \mathcal{H}_{+1}^γ to its dual \mathcal{H}_{-1}^γ .

Moreover, \mathcal{H}_{+1}^γ is the operator domain of $S_\gamma^{1/2}$, and for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_{+1}^\gamma$,

$$\widehat{b}_\gamma(\varphi, \varphi) = (S_\gamma^{1/2}\varphi, S_\gamma^{1/2}\varphi).$$

Proposition : The espaces $\mathcal{H}_{\pm 1}^\gamma$ do not depend on γ . We denote them by $\mathcal{H}_{\pm 1}$.

Definition : The domain \mathcal{D} of the Dirac operator is the collection of all $\psi = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi \\ \chi \end{pmatrix}$ such that $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_{+1}$, $\chi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^2)$ and

$$(2 - \gamma + V)\varphi - i\sigma \cdot \nabla \chi, \quad -i\sigma \cdot \nabla \varphi + (V - \gamma)\chi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^2).$$

Some definitions II

S_γ is an isometric isomorphism from \mathcal{H}_{+1}^γ to its dual \mathcal{H}_{-1}^γ .

Moreover, \mathcal{H}_{+1}^γ is the operator domain of $S_\gamma^{1/2}$, and for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_{+1}^\gamma$,

$$\widehat{b}_\gamma(\varphi, \varphi) = (S_\gamma^{1/2}\varphi, S_\gamma^{1/2}\varphi).$$

Proposition : The espaces $\mathcal{H}_{\pm 1}^\gamma$ do not depend on γ . We denote them by $\mathcal{H}_{\pm 1}$.

Definition : The domain \mathcal{D} of the Dirac operator is the collection of all $\psi = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi \\ \chi \end{pmatrix}$ such that $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_{+1}$, $\chi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^2)$ and

$$(2 - \gamma + V)\varphi - i\sigma \cdot \nabla \chi, \quad -i\sigma \cdot \nabla \varphi + (V - \gamma)\chi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^2).$$

The meaning of these two expressions is **in the weak sense**, i.e., the linear functional $(\eta, (2 - \gamma + V)\varphi) + (-i\sigma \cdot \nabla \eta, \chi)$, which is defined for all test functions, extends uniquely to a bounded linear on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^2) : \forall \eta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^2)$,

$$\exists C > 0, |(\eta, (2 - \gamma + V)\varphi) + (-i\sigma \cdot \nabla \eta, \chi)| \leq C \|\eta\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^2)}.$$

Likewise the same for $(\eta, (V - \gamma)\chi) + (-i\sigma \cdot \nabla \eta, \varphi)$. From this definition it is clear that the domain does not depend on γ .

Main theorem

Why the expressions $(2 - \gamma + V)\varphi - i\sigma \cdot \nabla\chi$, $-i\sigma \cdot \nabla\varphi + (V - \gamma)\chi$?

Main theorem

Why the expressions $(2 - \gamma + V)\varphi - i\sigma \cdot \nabla\chi$, $-i\sigma \cdot \nabla\varphi + (V - \gamma)\chi$?

Because formally they are the two components of the operator $H_0 + V + (1 - \gamma)$, since

$H_0 + V$ acts on smooth functions $\psi = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi \\ \chi \end{pmatrix}$ as :

$$(H_0 + V) \begin{pmatrix} \varphi \\ \chi \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} (V + 1)\varphi - i\sigma \cdot \nabla\chi \\ -i\sigma \cdot \nabla\varphi + (V - 1)\chi \end{pmatrix}$$

Main theorem

Why the expressions $(2 - \gamma + V)\varphi - i\sigma \cdot \nabla\chi$, $-i\sigma \cdot \nabla\varphi + (V - \gamma)\chi$?

Because formally they are the two components of the operator $H_0 + V + (1 - \gamma)$, since

$H_0 + V$ acts on smooth functions $\psi = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi \\ \chi \end{pmatrix}$ as :

$$(H_0 + V) \begin{pmatrix} \varphi \\ \chi \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} (V + 1)\varphi - i\sigma \cdot \nabla\chi \\ -i\sigma \cdot \nabla\varphi + (V - 1)\chi \end{pmatrix}$$

Theorem : If V satisfies Assumption **(A)**, the operator $H_0 + V$ defined on \mathcal{D} is self-adjoint. And it is the unique self-adjoint extension of $T := H_0 + V|_{C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^4)}$ such that the domain is contained in $\mathcal{H}_{+1} \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^2)$.

Main theorem

Why the expressions $(2 - \gamma + V)\varphi - i\sigma \cdot \nabla\chi$, $-i\sigma \cdot \nabla\varphi + (V - \gamma)\chi$?

Because formally they are the two components of the operator $H_0 + V + (1 - \gamma)$, since

$H_0 + V$ acts on smooth functions $\psi = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi \\ \chi \end{pmatrix}$ as :

$$(H_0 + V) \begin{pmatrix} \varphi \\ \chi \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} (V + 1)\varphi - i\sigma \cdot \nabla\chi \\ -i\sigma \cdot \nabla\varphi + (V - 1)\chi \end{pmatrix}$$

Theorem : If V satisfies Assumption **(A)**, the operator $H_0 + V$ defined on \mathcal{D} is self-adjoint. And it is the unique self-adjoint extension of $T := H_0 + V|_{C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^4)}$ such that the domain is contained in $\mathcal{H}_{+1} \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^2)$.

Corollary : The above theorem applies to the case of Coulomb potentials $V_\nu := -\nu/|x|$ for $\nu \in (0, 1]$.

Main theorem

Why the expressions $(2 - \gamma + V)\varphi - i\sigma \cdot \nabla\chi$, $-i\sigma \cdot \nabla\varphi + (V - \gamma)\chi$?

Because formally they are the two components of the operator $H_0 + V + (1 - \gamma)$, since

$H_0 + V$ acts on smooth functions $\psi = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi \\ \chi \end{pmatrix}$ as :

$$(H_0 + V) \begin{pmatrix} \varphi \\ \chi \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} (V + 1)\varphi - i\sigma \cdot \nabla\chi \\ -i\sigma \cdot \nabla\varphi + (V - 1)\chi \end{pmatrix}$$

Theorem : If V satisfies Assumption **(A)**, the operator $H_0 + V$ defined on \mathcal{D} is self-adjoint. And it is the unique self-adjoint extension of $T := H_0 + V|_{C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^4)}$ such that the domain is contained in $\mathcal{H}_{+1} \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^2)$.

Corollary : The above theorem applies to the case of Coulomb potentials $V_\nu := -\nu/|x|$ for $\nu \in (0, 1]$.

Proposition : When $\nu \in (0, 1)$, our extension coincides with those of Schmincke, Wüst and Nenciu and in this case, $\mathcal{D} \subset H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^4)$.

Intermediate results I

Proposition : Under assumption **(A)** on the potential V ,

$$\mathcal{H}_{+1} \subset \left\{ \varphi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^2) : \frac{-i\sigma \cdot \nabla \varphi}{\gamma - V} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^2) \right\},$$

where $\nabla \varphi$ denotes the distributional gradient of φ . Therefore, we have the ‘scale of spaces’ $\mathcal{H}_{+1} \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^2) \subset \mathcal{H}_{-1}$.

Intermediate results I

Proposition : Under assumption **(A)** on the potential V ,

$$\mathcal{H}_{+1} \subset \left\{ \varphi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^2) : \frac{-i\sigma \cdot \nabla \varphi}{\gamma - V} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^2) \right\},$$

where $\nabla \varphi$ denotes the distributional gradient of φ . Therefore, we have the ‘scale of spaces’ $\mathcal{H}_{+1} \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^2) \subset \mathcal{H}_{-1}$.

Idea of proof : If $\delta := \frac{(\gamma - \Gamma)(1 + c(V) - \gamma)}{1 + c(V) - \Gamma} (> 0)$,

$$b_\gamma(\varphi, \varphi) \geq \delta \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\varphi|^2 dx + \delta \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|\sigma \cdot \nabla \varphi|^2}{(\gamma - V)^2} dx ,$$

Intermediate results II

Proposition : For any F in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^2)$ and for any $\gamma \in (\Gamma, 1 + c(V))$,

$$-i\sigma \cdot \nabla \left(\frac{F}{\gamma - V} \right) \in \mathcal{H}_{-1} ,$$

where, once again, the gradient is to be interpreted in the distributional sense.

Intermediate results II

Proposition : For any F in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^2)$ and for any $\gamma \in (\Gamma, 1 + c(V))$,

$$-i\sigma \cdot \nabla \left(\frac{F}{\gamma - V} \right) \in \mathcal{H}_{-1} ,$$

where, once again, the gradient is to be interpreted in the distributional sense.

Idea of proof : By the definition of the distributional derivative, for every $\eta \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^2)$,

$$\left| \left(-i\sigma \cdot \nabla \eta, \frac{F}{\gamma - V} \right) \right| = \left| \left(\frac{-i\sigma \cdot \nabla \eta}{\gamma - V}, F \right) \right| \leq \|\eta\|_{\mathcal{H}_{+1}} \|F\|_2 . \quad (2)$$

Hence, the linear functional

$$\eta \rightarrow \left(-i\sigma \cdot \nabla \eta, \frac{F}{\gamma - V} \right) \quad (2)$$

extends uniquely to a bounded linear functional on \mathcal{H}_{+1} .

Proof of main theorem : symmetry

We have to prove that for both pairs (φ, χ) , $(\tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{\chi})$ in the domain,

$$\left((H_0 + V + 1 - \gamma) \begin{pmatrix} \varphi \\ \chi \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\varphi} \\ \tilde{\chi} \end{pmatrix} \right) = ((V - \gamma)\chi - i\sigma \cdot \nabla \varphi, \tilde{\chi}) + ((2 - \gamma + V)\varphi - i\sigma \cdot \nabla \chi, \tilde{\varphi})$$

equals

$$(\chi, (V - \gamma)\tilde{\chi} - i\sigma \cdot \nabla \tilde{\varphi}) + (\varphi, (2 - \gamma + V)\tilde{\varphi} - i\sigma \cdot \nabla \tilde{\chi}) = \left(\begin{pmatrix} \varphi \\ \chi \end{pmatrix}, (H_0 + V + 1 - \gamma) \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\varphi} \\ \tilde{\chi} \end{pmatrix} \right).$$

Proof of main theorem : symmetry

We have to prove that for both pairs (φ, χ) , $(\tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{\chi})$ in the domain,

$$\left((H_0 + V + 1 - \gamma) \begin{pmatrix} \varphi \\ \chi \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\varphi} \\ \tilde{\chi} \end{pmatrix} \right) = ((V - \gamma)\chi - i\sigma \cdot \nabla \varphi, \tilde{\chi}) + ((2 - \gamma + V)\varphi - i\sigma \cdot \nabla \chi, \tilde{\varphi})$$

equals

$$(\chi, (V - \gamma)\tilde{\chi} - i\sigma \cdot \nabla \tilde{\varphi}) + (\varphi, (2 - \gamma + V)\tilde{\varphi} - i\sigma \cdot \nabla \tilde{\chi}) = \left(\begin{pmatrix} \varphi \\ \chi \end{pmatrix}, (H_0 + V + 1 - \gamma) \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\varphi} \\ \tilde{\chi} \end{pmatrix} \right).$$

We use the above propositions to show **symmetry** as follows : for $\varphi, \tilde{\varphi}$ smooth,

$$\left((H_0 + V + 1 - \gamma) \begin{pmatrix} \varphi \\ \chi \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\varphi} \\ \tilde{\chi} \end{pmatrix} \right) = (S_\gamma \varphi, \tilde{\varphi}) + \left((V - \gamma) \left[\chi + \frac{-i\sigma \cdot \nabla \varphi}{V - \gamma} \right], \left[\tilde{\chi} + \frac{-i\sigma \cdot \nabla \tilde{\varphi}}{V - \gamma} \right] \right).$$

Proof of main theorem : symmetry

We have to prove that for both pairs (φ, χ) , $(\tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{\chi})$ in the domain,

$$\left((H_0 + V + 1 - \gamma) \begin{pmatrix} \varphi \\ \chi \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\varphi} \\ \tilde{\chi} \end{pmatrix} \right) = ((V - \gamma)\chi - i\sigma \cdot \nabla \varphi, \tilde{\chi}) + ((2 - \gamma + V)\varphi - i\sigma \cdot \nabla \chi, \tilde{\varphi})$$

equals

$$(\chi, (V - \gamma)\tilde{\chi} - i\sigma \cdot \nabla \tilde{\varphi}) + (\varphi, (2 - \gamma + V)\tilde{\varphi} - i\sigma \cdot \nabla \tilde{\chi}) = \left(\begin{pmatrix} \varphi \\ \chi \end{pmatrix}, (H_0 + V + 1 - \gamma) \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\varphi} \\ \tilde{\chi} \end{pmatrix} \right).$$

We use the above propositions to show **symmetry** as follows : for $\varphi, \tilde{\varphi}$ smooth,

$$\left((H_0 + V + 1 - \gamma) \begin{pmatrix} \varphi \\ \chi \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\varphi} \\ \tilde{\chi} \end{pmatrix} \right) = (S_\gamma \varphi, \tilde{\varphi}) + \left((V - \gamma) \left[\chi + \frac{-i\sigma \cdot \nabla \varphi}{V - \gamma} \right], \left[\tilde{\chi} + \frac{-i\sigma \cdot \nabla \tilde{\varphi}}{V - \gamma} \right] \right).$$

and both expressions are continuous in $\varphi, \tilde{\varphi}$ with respect to the \mathcal{H}_{+1} -norm. So we can pass to the limit and so prove symmetry.

Proof of main theorem : surjectivity

For any F_1, F_2 in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^2)$, there exists $\begin{pmatrix} \varphi \\ \chi \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{D}$ such that

$$(H_0 + V + 1 - \gamma) \begin{pmatrix} \varphi \\ \chi \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} (V + 2 - \gamma)\varphi - i\sigma \cdot \nabla \chi \\ -i\sigma \cdot \nabla \varphi + (V - \gamma)\chi \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} F_1 \\ F_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Proof of main theorem : surjectivity

For any F_1, F_2 in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^2)$, there exists $\begin{pmatrix} \varphi \\ \chi \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{D}$ such that

$$(H_0 + V + 1 - \gamma) \begin{pmatrix} \varphi \\ \chi \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} (V + 2 - \gamma)\varphi - i\sigma \cdot \nabla \chi \\ -i\sigma \cdot \nabla \varphi + (V - \gamma)\chi \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} F_1 \\ F_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Since S_γ is an isomorphism, there exists a unique φ in \mathcal{H}_{+1} such that

$$S_\gamma \varphi = F_1 - i\sigma \cdot \nabla \left(\frac{F_2}{\gamma - V} \right).$$

Indeed, F_1 is in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^2)$ and therefore in \mathcal{H}_{-1} . Moreover the second term is also in \mathcal{H}_{-1} by the above proposition. Next define χ by

$$\chi = \frac{-F_2 - i\sigma \cdot \nabla \varphi}{\gamma - V} \iff (V - \gamma)\chi - i\sigma \cdot \nabla \varphi = F_2$$

which by the above proposition is in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^2)$. But then, we can write

Proof of main theorem : surjectivity

For any F_1, F_2 in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^2)$, there exists $\begin{pmatrix} \varphi \\ \chi \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{D}$ such that

$$(H_0 + V + 1 - \gamma) \begin{pmatrix} \varphi \\ \chi \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} (V + 2 - \gamma)\varphi - i\sigma \cdot \nabla \chi \\ -i\sigma \cdot \nabla \varphi + (V - \gamma)\chi \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} F_1 \\ F_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Since S_γ is an isomorphism, there exists a unique φ in \mathcal{H}_{+1} such that

$$S_\gamma \varphi = F_1 - i\sigma \cdot \nabla \left(\frac{F_2}{\gamma - V} \right).$$

Indeed, F_1 is in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^2)$ and therefore in \mathcal{H}_{-1} . Moreover the second term is also in \mathcal{H}_{-1} by the above proposition. Next define χ by

$$\chi = \frac{-F_2 - i\sigma \cdot \nabla \varphi}{\gamma - V} \iff (V - \gamma)\chi - i\sigma \cdot \nabla \varphi = F_2$$

which by the above proposition is in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^2)$. But then, we can write

$$F_1 = S_\gamma \varphi - i\sigma \cdot \nabla \left(\chi + \frac{i\sigma \cdot \nabla \varphi}{\gamma - V} \right)$$

End of the proof of surjectivity

$$F_1 = S_\gamma \varphi - i\sigma \cdot \nabla \left(\chi + \frac{i\sigma \cdot \nabla \varphi}{\gamma - V} \right)$$

is equivalent to : for all smooth η ,

$$\begin{aligned} (\eta, F_1) &= (\eta, S_\gamma \varphi) + \left(-i\sigma \cdot \nabla \eta, \chi + \frac{i\sigma \cdot \nabla \varphi}{\gamma - V} \right) \\ &= (S_\gamma \eta, \varphi) + \left(-i\sigma \cdot \nabla \eta, \frac{i\sigma \cdot \nabla \varphi}{\gamma - V} \right) + (-i\sigma \cdot \nabla \eta, \chi) \\ &= \left(S_\gamma \eta - i\sigma \cdot \nabla \left(\frac{i\sigma \cdot \nabla \eta}{\gamma - V} \right), \varphi \right) + (-i\sigma \cdot \nabla \eta, \chi) \\ &= ((V + 2 - \gamma)\eta, \varphi) + (-i\sigma \cdot \nabla \eta, \chi) \end{aligned}$$

End of the proof of surjectivity

$$F_1 = S_\gamma \varphi - i\sigma \cdot \nabla \left(\chi + \frac{i\sigma \cdot \nabla \varphi}{\gamma - V} \right)$$

is equivalent to : for all smooth η ,

$$\begin{aligned} (\eta, F_1) &= (\eta, S_\gamma \varphi) + \left(-i\sigma \cdot \nabla \eta, \chi + \frac{i\sigma \cdot \nabla \varphi}{\gamma - V} \right) \\ &= (S_\gamma \eta, \varphi) + \left(-i\sigma \cdot \nabla \eta, \frac{i\sigma \cdot \nabla \varphi}{\gamma - V} \right) + (-i\sigma \cdot \nabla \eta, \chi) \\ &= \left(S_\gamma \eta - i\sigma \cdot \nabla \left(\frac{i\sigma \cdot \nabla \eta}{\gamma - V} \right), \varphi \right) + (-i\sigma \cdot \nabla \eta, \chi) \\ &= ((V + 2 - \gamma)\eta, \varphi) + (-i\sigma \cdot \nabla \eta, \chi) \end{aligned}$$

and in the weak sense, this means that

$$F_1 = (V + 2 - \gamma)\varphi - i\sigma \cdot \nabla \chi$$

Proof of main theorem : injectivity + uniqueness of the extension

Assuming that $(H_0 + V + 1 - \gamma) \begin{pmatrix} \varphi \\ \chi \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and since

$$\chi = \frac{-F_2 - i\sigma \cdot \nabla \varphi}{\gamma - V} \quad \text{and} \quad S_\gamma \varphi = F_1 - i\sigma \cdot \nabla \left(\frac{F_2}{\gamma - V} \right),$$

$$\chi = \frac{-i\sigma \cdot \nabla \varphi}{\gamma - V}, \quad S_\gamma \varphi = 0.$$

Since S_γ is an isomorphism, this implies that $\varphi = \chi = 0$.

Proof of main theorem : injectivity + uniqueness of the extension

Assuming that $(H_0 + V + 1 - \gamma) \begin{pmatrix} \varphi \\ \chi \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and since

$$\chi = \frac{-F_2 - i\sigma \cdot \nabla \varphi}{\gamma - V} \quad \text{and} \quad S_\gamma \varphi = F_1 - i\sigma \cdot \nabla \left(\frac{F_2}{\gamma - V} \right),$$

$$\chi = \frac{-i\sigma \cdot \nabla \varphi}{\gamma - V}, \quad S_\gamma \varphi = 0.$$

Since S_γ is an isomorphism, this implies that $\varphi = \chi = 0$.

It remains to show the **uniqueness** part in our theorem. Assume that $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^4) \subset \hat{\mathcal{D}}$ is another selfadjoint extension such that whenever $(\varphi, \chi) \in \hat{\mathcal{D}}$, $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_{+1}$. Since $H_0 + V$ is selfadjoint on this domain, for all $(\tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{\chi}) \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^4)$

$$\left(\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\varphi} \\ \tilde{\chi} \end{pmatrix}, (H_0 + V + 1 - \gamma) \begin{pmatrix} \varphi \\ \chi \end{pmatrix} \right) = \left((H_0 + V + 1 - \gamma) \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\varphi} \\ \tilde{\chi} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \varphi \\ \chi \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

Proof of main theorem : injectivity + uniqueness of the extension

Assuming that $(H_0 + V + 1 - \gamma) \begin{pmatrix} \varphi \\ \chi \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and since

$$\chi = \frac{-F_2 - i\sigma \cdot \nabla \varphi}{\gamma - V} \quad \text{and} \quad S_\gamma \varphi = F_1 - i\sigma \cdot \nabla \left(\frac{F_2}{\gamma - V} \right),$$

$$\chi = \frac{-i\sigma \cdot \nabla \varphi}{\gamma - V}, \quad S_\gamma \varphi = 0.$$

Since S_γ is an isomorphism, this implies that $\varphi = \chi = 0$.

It remains to show the **uniqueness** part in our theorem. Assume that $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^4) \subset \hat{\mathcal{D}}$ is another selfadjoint extension such that whenever $(\varphi, \chi) \in \hat{\mathcal{D}}$, $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_{+1}$. Since $H_0 + V$ is selfadjoint on this domain, for all $(\tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{\chi}) \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^4)$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\varphi} \\ \tilde{\chi} \end{pmatrix}, (H_0 + V + 1 - \gamma) \begin{pmatrix} \varphi \\ \chi \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle = \left\langle (H_0 + V + 1 - \gamma) \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\varphi} \\ \tilde{\chi} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \varphi \\ \chi \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle \\ & = \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} (V + 2 - \gamma)\tilde{\varphi} - i\sigma \cdot \nabla \tilde{\chi} \\ -i\sigma \cdot \nabla \tilde{\varphi} + (V - \gamma)\tilde{\chi} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \varphi \\ \chi \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle = \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\varphi} \\ \tilde{\chi} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} (V + 2 - \gamma)\varphi - i\sigma \cdot \nabla \chi \\ -i\sigma \cdot \nabla \varphi + (V - \gamma)\chi \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle_{C_0^\infty, (C_0^\infty)'} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\hat{\mathcal{D}} \subset \mathcal{D}$ and hence $\hat{\mathcal{D}} = \mathcal{D}$.

Remark about the finiteness of the energy

In the limit case $V(x) = -\frac{1}{|x|}$, the domain \mathcal{D} is not contained anymore in $H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^4)$, but the total energy is still finite :

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\frac{|\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \nabla \varphi|^2}{1 + \frac{1}{|x|}} + \left(1 - \frac{1}{|x|}\right) |\varphi|^2 \right) dx < +\infty$$

even if

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \nabla \varphi|^2}{1 + \frac{1}{|x|}} dx \quad , \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|\varphi|^2}{|x|} dx = +\infty$$

THE END