

L^2 -Sobolev estimates for the backscattering transform

Ingrid Beltiță (IMAR), Anders Melin (Lund University)

September 2007, Moeciu

The backscattering data

Consider

$$H_\nu = -\Delta + \nu, \quad \nu \in L_{\text{cpt}}^q(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad q > n, \quad n \geq 3 \text{ odd.}$$

The backscattering data

Consider

$$H_\nu = -\Delta + \nu, \quad \nu \in L_{\text{cpt}}^q(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad q > n, \quad n \geq 3 \text{ odd.}$$

- *Wave operators:* $W_\pm = \lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} e^{itH_\nu} e^{-itH_0}$ exist and are complete
- *Scattering operator:* $S = W_+^* W_-.$

Classical backscattering data

Consider

$$A = (2\pi i)^{-1}(S - I).$$

Classical backscattering data

Consider

$$A = (2\pi i)^{-1}(S - I).$$

- For every $\lambda > 0$ there is a continuous operator $\hat{A}(\lambda^2)$ on $L^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ such that

$$(\mathcal{F}Af)(\lambda \cdot) = \hat{A}(\lambda^2)(\mathcal{F}f)(\lambda \cdot),$$

where \mathcal{F} is the Fourier transformation.

- *Scattering amplitude:* $\hat{A}(\lambda^2; \omega, \omega')$

Classical backscattering data

Consider

$$A = (2\pi i)^{-1}(S - I).$$

- For every $\lambda > 0$ there is a continuous operator $\hat{A}(\lambda^2)$ on $L^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ such that

$$(\mathcal{F}Af)(\lambda \cdot) = \hat{A}(\lambda^2)(\mathcal{F}f)(\lambda \cdot),$$

where \mathcal{F} is the Fourier transformation.

- *Scattering amplitude:* $\hat{A}(\lambda^2; \omega, \omega')$
- “*Classical*” backscattering data: $\hat{A}(\lambda^2; \omega, -\omega)$, $\omega \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ and $\lambda > 0$.

The real backscattering data

$$A\varphi = -(2\pi)^{-1} \lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\varepsilon|t|} e^{itH_0} (W_+^* v) e^{-itH_0} \varphi dt.$$

The “classical” backscattering data can be identified with

$$\begin{aligned} (B_{\text{class}} v)(x) &= \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\xi \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{F}(W_+^* v) \mathcal{F}^*(-\xi/2, \xi/2)}) \\ &= 2^n \int v(x-y) W_+(x-y, x+y) dy. \end{aligned}$$

The real backscattering data

$$A\varphi = -(2\pi)^{-1} \lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\varepsilon|t|} e^{itH_0} (W_+^* v) e^{-itH_0} \varphi dt.$$

The “classical” backscattering data can be identified with

$$\begin{aligned} (B_{\text{class}} v)(x) &= \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\xi \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{F}(W_+^* v) \mathcal{F}^*(-\xi/2, \xi/2)}) \\ &= 2^n \int v(x-y) W_+(x-y, x+y) dy. \end{aligned}$$

- v is real: consider only the real part of $B_{\text{class}} v$

$$(B_{\text{class,re}} v)(x) = 2^{n-1} \int v(x-y) (W_+ + W_-)(x-y, x+y) dy.$$

The real backscattering data

$$A\varphi = -(2\pi)^{-1} \lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\varepsilon|t|} e^{itH_0} (W_+^* v) e^{-itH_0} \varphi dt.$$

The “classical” backscattering data can be identified with

$$\begin{aligned} (B_{\text{class}} v)(x) &= \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\xi \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{F}(W_+^* v) \mathcal{F}^*(-\xi/2, \xi/2)}) \\ &= 2^n \int v(x-y) W_+(x-y, x+y) dy. \end{aligned}$$

- v is real: consider only the real part of $B_{\text{class}} v$

$$(B_{\text{class,re}} v)(x) = 2^{n-1} \int v(x-y) (W_+ + W_-)(x-y, x+y) dy.$$

Problem 1. Find v (singularities of v) from $B_{\text{class,re}} v$.

A formula for $W_+ + W_-$

- $K_\nu(t)$: $(\partial_t^2 + H_\nu)K_\nu(t) = 0$, $K_\nu(0) = 0$, $\dot{K}_\nu(0) = I$

A formula for $W_+ + W_-$

- $K_v(t)$: $(\partial_t^2 + H_v)K_v(t) = 0$, $K_v(0) = 0$, $\dot{K}_v(0) = I$
- $K_0(t) = \frac{\sin t|D|}{|D|}$, $t \geq 0$.
- $K_N(t) = \int_0^t K_{N-1}(t-s)vK_0(s) ds.$

Then

$$K_v(t) = \sum_N (-1)^N K_N(t),$$

and $L_{\text{cpt}}^\infty \ni v \rightarrow K_v(t) \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$ is entire analytic.

A formula for $W_+ + W_-$

- $K_v(t)$: $(\partial_t^2 + H_v)K_v(t) = 0$, $K_v(0) = 0$, $\dot{K}_v(0) = I$
- $K_0(t) = \frac{\sin t|D|}{|D|}$, $t \geq 0$.
- $K_N(t) = \int_0^t K_{N-1}(t-s)vK_0(s) ds.$

Then

$$K_v(t) = \sum_N (-1)^N K_N(t),$$

and $L_{\text{cpt}}^\infty \ni v \rightarrow K_v(t) \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$ is entire analytic.

- $|x - y| \leq t$ in the support of $K_v(t, x, y)$ (with $=$ when $v = 0$)

- Define

$$G = - \int_0^{\infty} K_v(t) v \dot{K}_0(t) dt, \quad v \in L^q_{\text{cpt}}.$$

- Define

$$G = - \int_0^{\infty} K_v(t) v \dot{K}_0(t) dt, \quad v \in L_{\text{cpt}}^q.$$

- $\{\lambda_j\} \subset (-\infty, 0]$ eigenvalues of H_v counted with multiplicities, with $\{f_j\}$ the corresponding orthonormal set of eigenfunctions. There exist $g_j \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$(W_+ + W_-)/2 = I + G + \sum f_j \otimes g_j.$$

The backscattering transformation and the problem

Definition (A. Melin (99))

The *backscattering transform* of $v \in L_{\text{cpt}}^q$:

$$(Bv)(x) = v(x) + 2^n \int v(x-y) G(x-y, x+y) dy.$$

The backscattering transformation and the problem

Definition (A. Melin (99))

The *backscattering transform* of $v \in L_{\text{cpt}}^q$:

$$(Bv)(x) = v(x) + 2^n \int v(x-y) G(x-y, x+y) dy.$$

Problem. Find v (singularities of v) from Bv .

The backscattering transformation and the problem

Definition (A. Melin (99))

The *backscattering transform* of $v \in L_{\text{cpt}}^q$:

$$(Bv)(x) = v(x) + 2^n \int v(x-y) G(x-y, x+y) dy.$$

Problem. Find v (singularities of v) from Bv .

- When v is real $Bv - B_{\text{class, re}}v$ is a smooth function. If there are no bound states $Bv = B_{\text{class, re}}v$.

The backscattering transformation and the problem

Definition (A. Melin (99))

The *backscattering transform* of $v \in L_{\text{cpt}}^q$:

$$(Bv)(x) = v(x) + 2^n \int v(x-y) G(x-y, x+y) dy.$$

Problem. Find v (singularities of v) from Bv .

- When v is real $Bv - B_{\text{class,re}}v$ is a smooth function. If there are no bound states $Bv = B_{\text{class,re}}v$.
- $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \ni v \mapsto Bv \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is entire analytic in v :

$$Bv = \sum_1^\infty B_N v, \quad B_1 v = v.$$

The backscattering transformation and the problem

Definition (A. Melin (99))

The *backscattering transform* of $v \in L_{\text{cpt}}^q$:

$$(Bv)(x) = v(x) + 2^n \int v(x-y) G(x-y, x+y) dy.$$

Problem. Find v (singularities of v) from Bv .

- When v is real $Bv - B_{\text{class,re}}v$ is a smooth function. If there are no bound states $Bv = B_{\text{class,re}}v$.
- $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \ni v \mapsto Bv \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is entire analytic in v :

$$Bv = \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} B_N v, \quad B_1 v = v.$$

- $B_N v$ is the value at (v, \dots, v) of an N -linear singular integral operator.

Continuity problems and smoothing

Aim: Find $(C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \subseteq) X \subseteq Y$ Banach spaces of functions such that there is $C > 0$

- $\|B_N v\|_X \leq C^N \|v\|_Y^N$, for every N and $v \in Y$, or
- $\|B_N v\|_{X_{\text{loc}}} \leq C^N \|v\|_Y^N$, for every N and $v \in Y$ with compact support.

First result

- The regularity of $B_N v$ increases with N in the sense of the next theorem.

Theorem (A. Melin (03))

Let $q > n$ and k be a nonnegative integer. Then there is a positive integer $N_0 = N_0(n, q, k)$ such that if $R_1, R_2 > 0$ there is a $C = C(n, k, R_1, R_2, q)$ such that

$$\|\Delta^k B_N v\|_{L^2(B(0, R_1))} \leq C^N \|v\|_{L^q}^N / N!, \quad N \geq N_0,$$

whenever $v \in L^q$ has support in the ball $B(0, R_2)$.

Formula for $B_N v$

We have

$$(B_N v)(x) = \int \cdots \int v(x + x_1) \dots v(x + x_N) \\ E_N(x_N - x_1, , \dots, x_{N-2} - x_{N-1}, x_{N-1} + x_N) dx_1 \dots dx_N$$

when $v \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $N \geq 2$.

Here $E_N \in \mathcal{D}'((\mathbb{R}^n)^N)$ is constructed iteratively.

The distribution E_N

Define

$$Q_1(x; t) = k_0(x; t),$$

$N \geq 2$:

$$Q_N(x_1, \dots, x_N; t) = \int Q_{N-1}(x_1, \dots, x_{N-1}; t-s) Q_1(x_N; s) ds,$$

The distribution E_N

Define

$$Q_1(x; t) = k_0(x; t),$$

$N \geq 2$:

$$Q_N(x_1, \dots, x_N; t) = \int Q_{N-1}(x_1, \dots, x_{N-1}; t-s) Q_1(x_N; s) ds,$$

Then set

$$E_N(x_1, \dots, x_N) = - \int Q_{N-1}(x_1, \dots, x_{N-1}; t) \dot{k}_0(x_N, t) dt.$$

Further properties of E_N

- $E_2 = 4^{-1}(i\pi)^{1-n}\delta^{(n-2)}(x^2 - y^2)$ and it is the unique solution to $(\Delta_x - \Delta_y)E_2(x, y) = \delta(x, y)$ such that
 - ▶ $E_2(x, y) = -E_2(y, x)$
 - ▶ E_2 is rotation invariant separately in both variables

Further properties of E_N

- $E_2 = 4^{-1}(i\pi)^{1-n}\delta^{(n-2)}(x^2 - y^2)$ and it is the unique solution to $(\Delta_x - \Delta_y)E_2(x, y) = \delta(x, y)$ such that
 - ▶ $E_2(x, y) = -E_2(y, x)$
 - ▶ E_2 is rotation invariant separately in both variables
- $N > 2$:

$$\begin{aligned} E_N(x_1, \dots, x_N) &= \\ &= (E_2(x_1, x_2) \otimes \delta(x_3, \dots, x_N)) * (\delta(x_1) \otimes E_{N-1}(x_2, \dots, x_N)) \\ &\quad - (E_2(x_1, x_2) \otimes \delta(x_3, \dots, x_N)) * (\delta(x_2) \otimes E_{N-1}(x_1, x_3, \dots, x_N)) \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ E_N is rotation invariant separately in all variables
- ▶ E_N is symmetric in x_1, \dots, x_{N-1}
- ▶ $|x_N| = |x_1| + \dots + |x_{N-1}|$ on the support of E_N .

Another result

Theorem

Assume $n = 3$ and $s > 1$. Then there exists $C = C(s)$ such that

$$\|B_N v\|_{L^2} \leq C^N \|\langle x \rangle^s v\|_{L^2}^N$$

when $v \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Another result

Theorem

Assume $n = 3$ and $s > 1$. Then there exists $C = C(s)$ such that

$$\|B_N v\|_{L^2} \leq C^N \|\langle x \rangle^s v\|_{L^2}^N$$

when $v \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proof relies on:

$$E_N(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N) = c^N \frac{k_0(|x_1| + \dots + |x_{N-1}|; x_N)}{|x_1| \cdots |x_{N-1}|}$$

Smoothning properties of B_N

Theorem

Let $R, R_1 > 0$ be fixed. Assume

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma &\geq (n - 3)/2, \quad 0 \leq \alpha \leq \sigma - (n - 3)/2, \\ N_0 &\geq 2 \text{ integer}, \quad N_0 > \alpha + 1, \quad N_0 \geq 2.\end{aligned}$$

Then there exists C that depends only on R, R_1, σ, α and N_0 such that

$$\|B_N v\|_{H_{(\sigma+\alpha)}(B(0, R_1))} \leq C^N \|v\|_{H_{(\sigma)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^N$$

for every $N \geq N_0$ and $v \in C_0^\infty(B(0, R))$.

Consequences

Corollary

Assume $R, R_1 > 0$ are fixed and

$$q > n, \sigma \geq (n - 3)/2, 0 \leq \alpha < 1, \alpha \leq \sigma - (n - 3)/2.$$

If $v \in H_{(\sigma)} \cap L^q$ is compactly supported then

$$v - Bv \in H_{(\sigma+\alpha),\text{loc}}.$$

Main ingredients of the proof

- $B_N v = \beta_N v$ on $B(0, R_1)$ where

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}(\beta_N v)(\xi) &= C^N \int \cdots \int_{(\mathbb{R}^n)^{N-1}} \widehat{U}_N(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_{N-1}, \xi/2) \\ &\quad \widehat{v}(\xi/2 + \theta_1) \widehat{v}(\theta_2 - \theta_1) \dots \widehat{v}(\xi/2 - \theta_{N-1}) d\vec{\theta},\end{aligned}$$

where

$$U_N = \Phi(x_N) E_N$$

for some $\Phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

- $|\widehat{U}_2(\xi, \eta)| \leq C \langle |\xi| + |\eta| \rangle^{-1} \langle |\xi| - |\eta| \rangle^{-1}$.

The quadratic term

Assume $N = 2$:

Theorem

Assume s, σ, a satisfy

$$\begin{aligned}0 &\leq a < (n-1)/2, \quad a > 1/4, \\0 &\leq \alpha < 1, \quad \alpha \leq (n-3)/2 - \sigma, \\a + \alpha &\leq (n-1)/2.\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\|\langle x \rangle^{2a-1/2} \langle D \rangle^{\sigma+\alpha} B_2(v)\| \leq C \|\langle x \rangle^a \langle D \rangle^\sigma v\|^2.$$

Remark: When $1/2 < a$, $2a - 1/2 > a$.

References

- *A. Melin*, (1999, 2003, 2004).
- *G. Eskin, J. Ralston*, (1991, 1989, 1992).
- *A. Greenleaf, G. Uhlmann*, (1993).
- *M.S. Joshi*, (1998).
- *L. Päivärinta, E. Somersalo* (1991).
- *A. Ruiz, A. Vargas*, (2005).
- *P. Stefanov*, (1992).
- *G. Uhlmann*, (2001).