

Journal: JOURNAL OF THE LONDON MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY  
 Article id: JDT061 (130122)  
 Article Title: New examples of the Green functors arising from representation theory of semisimple Hopf algebras  
 First Author: Sebastian Burciu  
 Corr. Author: Sebastian Burciu

**AUTHOR QUERIES - TO BE ANSWERED BY THE CORRESPONDING AUTHOR**

The following queries have arisen during the typesetting of your manuscript. Please answer these queries by marking the required corrections at the appropriate point in the text.

Q1	Please check that all names have been spelt correctly and appear in the correct order. Please also check that all initials are present. Please check that the author surnames (family name) have been correctly identified by a pink background. If this is incorrect, please identify the full surname of the relevant authors. Occasionally, the distinction between surnames and forenames can be ambiguous, and this is to ensure that the authors' full surnames and forenames are tagged correctly, for accurate indexing online. Please also check all author affiliations.
Q2	There seems to be a mismatch between the metadata/email and the manuscript for classification codes. We followed the one given in the manuscript. Please check.
Q3	As per the journal style, we have renumbered the equations and mathematical statements throughout the article. Please confirm changes made.
Q4	According to journal style, a sentence must not start with a symbol or a variable. Please help us adhere to this style by proposing a descriptive word.
Q5	Please provide the page range for reference [5].
Q6	Please confirm section numbers.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48

# New examples of the Green functors arising from representation theory of semisimple Hopf algebras

Sebastian **Burciu**

Q1

## ABSTRACT

A general Mackey-type decomposition for representations of semisimple Hopf algebras is investigated. We show that such a decomposition occurs in the case that the module is induced from an arbitrary Hopf subalgebra and it is restricted back to a group subalgebra. Some other examples when such a decomposition occurs are also constructed. They arise from gradings on the category of corepresentations of a semisimple Hopf algebra and provide new examples of the Green functors in the literature.

### 1. Introduction and main results

Mackey’s decomposition theorem of induced modules from subgroups is a very important tool in the representations theory of finite groups. This decomposition describes the process of an induction composed with a restriction in terms of the reverse processes consisting of restrictions followed by inductions. More precisely, if  $G$  is a finite group,  $M$  and  $N$  are two subgroups of  $G$  and  $V$  a finite-dimensional  $k$ -linear representation of  $M$ , then the well-known Mackey’s decomposition states that there is an isomorphism of  $kN$ -modules:

Q3

$$V \uparrow_{kM}^{kG} \downarrow_{kN}^{kG} \xrightarrow{\delta_V} \bigoplus_{x \in M \backslash G / N} k[N] \otimes_{k[{}^x M \cap N]} {}^x V. \tag{1.1}$$

Here  ${}^x M := xMx^{-1}$  is the conjugate subgroup and  ${}^x V := V$  is the conjugate  ${}^x M$ -representation defined by  $(xmx^{-1}) \cdot v := m \cdot v$  for all  $m \in M$  and  $v \in V$ . The direct sum is indexed by a set of representative group elements of  $G$  for all double cosets  $M \backslash G / N$  of  $G$  relative to the two subgroups  $M$  and  $N$ . Note that the inverse isomorphism of  $\delta_V$  is given on each direct summand by the left multiplication operator  $n \otimes_{kN \cap k{}^x M} v \mapsto nx \otimes_{kM} v$ ; see [20, Proposition 22].

The goal of this paper is to investigate a similar Mackey-type decomposition for the induced modules from Hopf subalgebras of semisimple Hopf algebras and restricted back to other Hopf subalgebras. In order to do this, we use the corresponding notion of a double coset relative to a pair of Hopf subalgebras of a semisimple Hopf algebra that was introduced by the author in [3]. We also have to define a conjugate Hopf subalgebra corresponding to the notion of a conjugate subgroup. For any Hopf subalgebra  $K \subseteq H$  of a semisimple Hopf algebra  $H$  and any simple subcoalgebra  $C$  of  $H$ , we define the conjugate Hopf subalgebra  ${}^C K$  of  $K$  in Proposition 3.2. This notion corresponds to the notion of conjugate subgroup from the above decomposition. In order to deduce that  ${}^C K$  is a Hopf subalgebra of  $H$ , we use several crucial results from [18] concerning the product of two subcoalgebras of a semisimple Hopf algebra as well as Frobenius–Perron theory for nonnegative matrices.

---

Received 22 January 2013; revised 27 May 2013.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification* 16T20 (primary), 19A22, 20J15 (secondary).

This work was supported by a grant of the Romanian National Authority for Scientific Research, CNCS UEFISCDI, project number PN-II-RU-TE-2012-3-0168.

Q2

Using these tools, we can prove one of the following main results of this paper.

**THEOREM 1.1.** *Let  $K \subseteq H$  be a Hopf subalgebra of a semisimple Hopf algebra and  $M$  be a finite-dimensional  $K$ -module. Then for any subgroup  $G \subseteq G(H)$  one has a canonical isomorphism of  $kG$ -modules*

$$M \uparrow_K^H \downarrow_{kG}^H \xrightarrow{\delta_M} \bigoplus_{C \in kG \backslash H/K} (kG \otimes_{kG_C} {}^C M). \quad (1.2)$$

Here  $G(H)$  is the group of group-like elements of  $H$  and the subgroup  $G_C \subseteq G$  is determined by  $kG \cap {}^C K = kG_C$ . The conjugate module  ${}^C M$  is defined by  ${}^C M := CK \otimes_K M$ .

As in the classical group case, the homomorphism  $\delta_M$  is the inverse of a natural homomorphism  $\pi_M$ , which is constructed by the left multiplication on each direct summand. It is not difficult to check (see Theorem 1.2) that in general, for any two Hopf subalgebras  $K, L \subseteq H$  the left multiplication homomorphism  $\pi_M$  is always an epimorphism.

**THEOREM 1.2.** *Let  $K$  and  $L$  be two Hopf subalgebras of a semisimple Hopf algebra  $H$ . For any finite-dimensional left  $K$ -module  $M$ , there is a canonical epimorphism of  $L$ -modules*

$$\bigoplus_{C \in L \backslash H/K} (L \otimes_{L \cap {}^C K} {}^C M) \xrightarrow{\pi_M} M \uparrow_K^H \downarrow_L^H \quad (1.3)$$

given on components by  $l \otimes_{L \cap {}^C K} v \mapsto lv$  for any  $l \in L$  and any  $v \in {}^C M$ . Here the conjugate module  ${}^C M$  is defined as above by  ${}^C K := CK \otimes_K M$ .

We remark that there is a similar direction in the literature in the paper [8]. In this paper, the author considers a similar decomposition, but for pointed Hopf algebras instead of semisimple Hopf algebras. Also, in [14] the author proves a similar result for some special Hopf subalgebras of quantum groups at roots of 1.

Another particular situation of Mackey’s decomposition can be found in [3]. In this paper, it is proved that for pairs of Hopf subalgebras that generate just one double coset subcoalgebra, the above epimorphism  $\pi_M$  from Theorem 1.2 is in fact an isomorphism. In both papers, the above homomorphism  $\pi_M$  is given by left multiplication.

**DEFINITION 1.3.** We say that  $(L, K)$  is a Mackey pair of Hopf subalgebras of  $H$  if the above left multiplication homomorphism  $\pi_M$  from Theorem 1.2 is an isomorphism for any finite-dimensional left  $K$ -module  $M$ .

Then Theorem 1.1 states that  $(kG, K)$  is a Mackey pair for any Hopf subalgebra  $K \subset H$  and any subgroup  $G \subset G(H)$ . Moreover, in Theorem 6.1 it is shown that for any normal Hopf subalgebra  $K$  of  $H$ , the pair  $(K, K)$  is a Mackey pair. This allows us to prove a new formula (see Proposition 6.3) for the restriction of an induced module from a normal Hopf subalgebra, which substantially improves [3, Proposition 5.12]. It also gives a criterion for an induced module from a normal Hopf subalgebra to be irreducible, generalizing a well-known criterion for group representations; see, for example, [20, Corollary 7.1].

For any semisimple Hopf algebra  $H$ , using the universal grading of the fusion category  $\text{Rep}(H^*)$  we construct in Section 5 new Mackey pairs of Hopf subalgebras of  $H$ . In turn, this allows us to define a Green functor on the universal group  $G$  of the category of representations of  $H^*$ . For  $H = kG$ , one obtains in this way the usual Green functor [11]. As in group theory,

97 this new Green functor can be used to determine new properties of the Grothendieck ring of a  
 98 semisimple Hopf algebra.

99 In the last section, we prove the following tensor product formula for two induced modules  
 100 from a Mackey pair of Hopf subalgebras.

101

102 **THEOREM 1.4.** *Suppose that  $(L, K)$  is a Mackey pair of Hopf subalgebras of a semisimple*  
 103 *Hopf algebra  $H$ . Then for any  $K$ -module  $M$  and any  $L$ -module  $N$  one has a canonical*  
 104 *isomorphism:*

$$105 \quad M \uparrow_K^H \otimes N \uparrow_L^H \xrightarrow{\cong} \bigoplus_{C \in L \backslash H / K} ((CK \otimes_K M) \downarrow_{L \cap C K}^C \otimes N \downarrow_{L \cap C K}^L) \uparrow_{L \cap C K}^H. \quad (1.4)$$

106

107 This generalizes a well-known formula for the tensor product of two induced group  
 108 representations given, for example, in [2].

109 This paper is structured as follows. In Section 1, we recall the basic results on coset  
 110 decomposition for Hopf algebras. Section ?? contains the construction for the conjugate Q6  
 111 Hopf subalgebra generalizing the conjugate subgroup of a finite group. These results are  
 112 inspired from the treatment given in [5]. A general characterization for the conjugate Hopf  
 113 subalgebra is given in Theorem 3.8. This theorem is automatically satisfied in the group  
 114 case. In Section 4 we prove Theorem 1.2. Also in Section 4, we prove Theorem 1.1. We Q6  
 115 also show that for any semisimple Hopf algebra, there are some canonical associated Mackey  
 116 pairs arising from the universal grading of the category of finite-dimensional corepresentations  
 117 (see Theorem 5.5). Necessary and sufficient conditions for a given pair to be a Mackey pair are  
 118 given in terms of the dimensions of the two Hopf subalgebras of the pair and their conjugate  
 119 Hopf subalgebras. In Section 6, we prove that for a normal Hopf subalgebra  $K$  the pair  
 120  $(K, K)$  is always a Mackey pair. In Subsection 6.2, we prove the tensor product formula from  
 121 Theorem 1.4.

122 We work over an algebraically closed field  $k$  of characteristic zero. We use Sweedler’s notation  
 123  $\Delta$  for comultiplication, but with the sigma symbol dropped. All the other Hopf algebra  
 124 notations of this paper are the standard ones, used, for example, in [15].

125

126

127

128

## 2. Double coset decomposition for Hopf subalgebras of semisimple Hopf algebras

129

130

### 2.1. Conventions

131 Throughout this paper,  $H$  will be a semisimple Hopf algebra over  $k$  and  $\Lambda_H \in H$  denotes  
 132 its idempotent integral ( $\epsilon(\Lambda_H) = 1$ ). It follows that  $H$  is also cosemisimple [13]. If  $K$  is a  
 133 Hopf subalgebra of  $H$ , then  $K$  is also a semisimple and cosemisimple Hopf algebra [15]. For  
 134 any two subcoalgebras  $C$  and  $D$  of  $H$ , we denote by  $CD$  the subcoalgebra of  $H$  generated as  
 135 a  $k$ -vector space by all elements of the type  $cd$  with  $c \in C$  and  $d \in D$ .

136 Let  $G_0(H)$  be the Grothendieck group of the category of left  $H$ -modules. Then, since  $H$  is  
 137 a Hopf algebra the group  $G_0(H)$  has a ring structure under the tensor product of modules.  
 138 Then the character ring  $C(H) := G_0(H) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} k$  is a semisimple subalgebra of  $H^*$  (see [22]).  
 139 Denote by  $\text{Irr}(H)$  the set of all irreducible characters of  $H$ . Then  $C(H)$  has a basis consisting  
 140 of the irreducible characters  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(H)$ . Also,  $C(H)$  coincides to the space  $\text{Cocom}(H^*)$  of  
 141 cocommutative elements of  $H^*$ . By duality, the character ring  $C(H^*)$  of the dual Hopf algebra  
 142  $H^*$  is a semisimple Hopf subalgebra of  $H$  and  $C(H^*) = \text{Cocom}(H)$ . If  $M$  is a finite-dimensional  
 143  $H$ -module with character  $\chi$ , then the linear dual  $M^*$  becomes a left  $H$ -module with character  
 144  $\chi^* := \chi \circ S$ .

2.2. *The subcoalgebra associated to a comodule*

Let  $W$  be any right  $H$ -comodule. Since  $H$  is finite-dimensional, it follows that  $W$  is a left  $H^*$ -module via the module structure  $f \cdot w = f(w_1)w_0$ , where  $\rho(w) = w_0 \otimes w_1$  is the given right  $H$ -comodule structure of  $W$ . Then one can associate to  $W$  the coefficient subcoalgebra denoted by  $C_W$  (see [12]). Recall that  $C_W$  is the minimal subcoalgebra  $C$  of  $H$  with the property that  $\rho(W) \subset W \otimes C$ . Moreover, it can be shown that  $C_W = (\text{Ann}_{H^*}(W))^\perp$  and  $C_W$  is called the subcoalgebra of  $H$  associated to the right  $H$ -comodule  $W$ . If  $W$  is a simple right  $H$ -comodule (or equivalently  $W$  is an irreducible  $H^*$ -module), then the associated subcoalgebra  $C_W$  is a co-matrix coalgebra. More precisely, if  $\dim W = q$ , then  $\dim C_W = q^2$  and it has a  $k$ -linear basis given by  $x_{ij}$  with  $1 \leq i, j \leq q$ . The coalgebra structure of  $C_W$  is then given by  $\Delta(x_{ij}) = \sum_l x_{il} \otimes x_{lj}$  for all  $1 \leq i, j \leq q$ . Moreover, the irreducible character  $d \in C(H^*)$  of  $W$  is given by formula  $d = \sum_{i=0}^q x_{ii}$ . It is easy to check that  $W$  is an irreducible  $H^*$ -module if and only if  $C_W$  is a simple subcoalgebra of  $H$ . This establishes a canonical bijection between the set  $\text{Irr}(H^*)$  of simple right  $H^*$ -comodules and the set of simple subcoalgebras of  $H$ . For any irreducible character  $d \in \text{Irr}(H^*)$ , we also use the notation  $C_d$  for the simple subcoalgebra of  $H$  associated to the character  $d$  (see [12]).

Recall also that if  $M$  and  $N$  are two right  $H$ -comodules, then  $M \otimes N$  is also a comodule with  $\rho(m \otimes n) = m_0 \otimes n_0 \otimes m_1 n_1$ .

REMARK 2.1. For a simple subcoalgebra  $C \subset H$ , we denote by  $M_C$  the simple  $H$ -comodule associated to  $C$ . Following [18], if  $C$  and  $D$  are simple subcoalgebras of a semisimple Hopf algebra  $H$ , then the simple comodules entering in the decomposition of  $M_C \otimes M_D$  are in bijection with the set of all simple subcoalgebras of the product subcoalgebra  $CD$  of  $H$ . Moreover, this bijection is given by  $W \mapsto C_W$  for any simple subcomodule  $W$  of  $M_C \otimes M_D$ .

2.3. *Double coset decomposition for Hopf subalgebras*

In this subsection, we recall the basic facts on double cosets of semisimple Hopf algebras developed in [3]. Let  $L$  and  $K$  be two Hopf subalgebras of  $H$ . As in [3], one can define an equivalence relation  $r_{L,K}^H$  on the set of simple subcoalgebras of  $H$  as following:  $C \sim D$  if  $C \subset LDK$ . The fact that  $r_{L,K}^H$  is an equivalence relation is proved in [3]. In this paper, it is shown that  $C \sim D$  if and only if  $LDK = LCK$  as subcoalgebras of  $H$ . We also have the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 2.2. *If  $C$  and  $D$  are two simple subcoalgebras of  $H$ , then the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $C \sim D$ ,
- (2)  $LCK = LDK$ ,
- (3)  $\Lambda_L C \Lambda_K = \Lambda_L D \Lambda_K$ .

*Proof.* First assertion is equivalent to the second from [3, Corollary 2.5]. Clearly, (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) by left multiplication with  $\Lambda_K$  and right multiplication with  $\Lambda_L$ . It will be shown that (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). One has the following decomposition:

$$H = \bigoplus_{i=1}^l LC_i K,$$

where  $C_1, \dots, C_l$  are representative subcoalgebras for each equivalence class of  $r_{K,L}^H$ .

193 It follows that  $\Lambda_L H \Lambda_K = \bigoplus_{i=1}^l \Lambda_L C_i \Lambda_K$ . Thus, if  $C \approx D$ , then  $\Lambda_L C \Lambda_K \cap \Lambda_L D \Lambda_K = 0$ ,  
 194 which proves (1). □

196  
 197 **REMARK 2.3.** The above proposition shows that for any two simple subcoalgebras  $C$  and  
 198  $D$  of  $H$ , then either  $LCK = LDK$  or  $LCK \cap LDK = 0$ . Therefore, for any subcoalgebra  $D \subset$   
 199  $LCK$ , one has that  $LCK = LDK$ . In particular, for  $L = k$ , the trivial Hopf subalgebra, one  
 200 has that  $D \subset CK$  if and only if  $DK = CK$ .

202 **2.3.1. Notation** For the rest of the paper, we denote by  $L \setminus H / K$  the set of double cosets  
 203  $LCK$  of  $H$  with respect to  $L$  and  $K$ . Thus, the elements  $LCK$  of  $L \setminus H / K$  are given by a  
 204 choice of representative of simple subcoalgebras in each equivalence class of  $r_{L,K}^H$ . Similarly,  
 205 we denote by  $H / K$  be the set of right cosets  $CK$  of  $H$  with respect to  $K$ . This corresponds to  
 206 a choice of a representative simple subcoalgebra in each equivalence class of  $r_{k,K}^H$ .

208 **REMARK 2.4.** As noted in [3], one has that  $LCK \in \mathcal{M}_K^H$  and therefore  $LCK$  is a free right  
 209  $K$ -module. Similarly,  $LCK \in {}^H_L \mathcal{M}$  and therefore  $LCK$  is also a free left  $L$ -module.

211 By Burciu [3, Corollary 2.6], it follows that two simple subcoalgebras  $C$  and  $D$  are in the  
 212 same double coset of  $H$  with respect to  $L$  and  $K$  if and only if

$$214 \Lambda_L \frac{c}{\epsilon(c)} \Lambda_K = \Lambda_L \frac{d}{\epsilon(d)} \Lambda_K, \tag{2.1}$$

216 where  $c$  and  $d$  are the irreducible characters of  $H^*$  associated to the simple subcoalgebras  $C$   
 217 and  $D$ . In particular, for  $L = k$ , the trivial Hopf subalgebra, it follows that  $CK = DK$  if and  
 218 only if

$$220 c \Lambda_K = \frac{\epsilon(c)}{\epsilon(d)} d \Lambda_K. \tag{2.2}$$

222 **2.4. Principal eigenspace for  $\langle C \rangle$**

224 For a simple subcoalgebra  $C$ , we denote by  $\langle C \rangle$  the Hopf subalgebra of  $H$  generated by  $C$ . If  
 225  $d$  is the character associated to  $C$ , then we also denote this Hopf subalgebra by  $\langle d \rangle$ .

228  
 229 **2.4.1. Frobenius–Perron theory for nonnegative matrices** Next, we will use the Frobenius–  
 230 Perron theorem for matrices with nonnegative entries (see [9]). If  $A \geq 0$  is such a matrix, then  $A$   
 231 has a positive eigenvalue  $\lambda$ , which has the biggest absolute value among all the other eigenvalues  
 232 of  $A$ . The eigenspace corresponding to  $\lambda$  has a unique vector with all entries positive.  $\lambda$  is called Q4  
 233 the principal eigenvalue of  $A$  and the corresponding positive vector is called the principal vector  
 234 of  $A$ . Also, the eigenspace of  $A$  corresponding to  $\lambda$  is called the principal eigenspace of the  
 matrix  $A$ .

235 For an irreducible character  $d \in \text{Irr}(H^*)$ , let  $L_d$  be the linear operator on  $C(H^*)$  given  
 236 by left multiplication by  $d$ . Recall [3] that  $\epsilon(d)$  is the Frobenius–Perron eigenvalue of the  
 237 nonnegative matrix associated to the operator  $L_d$  with respect to the basis given by the  
 238 irreducible characters of  $H^*$ . In analogy with Frobenius–Perron theory, for a subcoalgebra  
 239  $C$  with associated character  $d$ , we call the space of eigenvectors of  $L_d$  corresponding to the  
 240 eigenvalue  $\epsilon(d)$  as the principal eigenspace for  $L_d$ .

241 The following corollary is a particular case of [3, Theorem 2.4].

242

243

244

245

246

COROLLARY 2.5. *The principal eigenspace of  $L_{\Lambda_K}$  is  $\Lambda_K C(H^*)$  and it has a  $k$ -linear basis given by  $\Lambda_K d$ , where  $d$  are the characters of a set of representative simple coalgebras for the right cosets of  $K$  inside  $H$ .*

247

248

Using this, we can prove the following theorem.

249

250

251

THEOREM 2.6. *Let  $C$  be a subcoalgebra of a semisimple Hopf algebra  $H$  with associated character  $d \in C(H^*)$ . Then the principal eigenspaces of  $L_d$  and  $L_{\Lambda_{\langle d \rangle}}$  coincide.*

252

253

254

255

256

*Proof.* Let  $V$  be the principal eigenspace of  $L_{\Lambda_{\langle d \rangle}}$  and  $W$  be the principal eigenspace of  $L_d$ . Then by Corollary 2.5, one has that  $V = \Lambda_{\langle d \rangle} C(H^*)$ . Since  $d\Lambda_{\langle d \rangle} = \epsilon(d)\Lambda_{\langle d \rangle}$ , it follows that clearly  $V \subseteq W$ . On the other hand, since  $\Lambda_{\langle d \rangle}$  is a polynomial with rational coefficients in  $d$  (see [17, Corollary 19]) it also follows that  $W \subseteq V$ .  $\square$

257

258

### 2.5. Rank of cosets

259

260

261

262

Let  $K$  be a Hopf subalgebra of a semisimple Hopf algebra  $H$ . Consider the equivalence relation  $r_{k,K}^H$  on the set  $\text{Irr}(H^*)$  of simple subcoalgebras of  $H$ . As above, one has  $C \sim D$  if and only if  $CK = DK$ . Therefore,

263

$$H = \bigoplus_{C \in H/K} CK. \tag{2.3}$$

264

265

266

LEMMA 2.7. *The equivalence class under  $r_{k,K}^H$  of the trivial subcoalgebra  $k$  is the set of all simple subcoalgebras of  $K$ .*

267

268

269

270

271

*Proof.* Indeed, suppose that  $C$  is a simple subcoalgebra of  $H$  equivalent to the trivial subcoalgebra  $k$ . Then  $CK = kK = K$  by Proposition 2.2. Therefore,  $C \subset CK = K$ . Conversely, if  $C \subset K$ , then  $CK \subset K$  and, since  $CK \in \mathcal{M}_K^H$ , it follows that  $CK = K$ . Thus,  $C \sim k$ .  $\square$

272

273

274

PROPOSITION 2.8. *If  $D$  is a simple subcoalgebra of a semisimple Hopf algebra  $H$  and  $e \in K$  is an idempotent, then*

275

$$DK \otimes_K Ke \cong DKe,$$

276

as vector spaces.

277

278

279

*Proof.* Since  $H$  is free right  $K$ -module, one has that the map

280

$$\phi : H \otimes_K Ke \longrightarrow He, \quad h \otimes_K re \longmapsto hre$$

281

282

283

is an isomorphism of  $H$ -modules. Using the above decomposition (2.3) of  $H$  and the fact that  $DK$  is a free right  $K$ -module, note that  $\phi$  sends  $DK \otimes_K Ke$  to  $DKe$ .  $\square$

284

285

286

COROLLARY 2.9. *Let  $K$  be a Hopf subalgebra of a semisimple Hopf algebra  $H$ . For any simple subcoalgebra  $C$  of  $H$ , one has that the rank of  $CK$  as right  $K$ -module is  $\dim_k C\Lambda_K$ .*

287

288

*Proof.* Put  $e = \Lambda_K$ , the idempotent integral of  $K$  in the above proposition.  $\square$

289 2.6. *Frobenius–Perron eigenvectors for cosets*

290 Let  $T$  be the linear operator given by right multiplication with  $\Lambda_K$  on the character ring  $C(H^*)$ .

291

292

293 REMARK 2.10. Using [3, Proposition 2.5], it follows that the largest (in absolute value)  
 294 eigenvalue of  $T$  equals  $\dim K$ . Moreover, a basis of eigenvectors corresponding to this eigenvalue  
 295 is given by  $c\Lambda_K$ , where the character  $c \in \text{Irr}(H^*)$  runs through a set of irreducible characters  
 296 representative for all the right cosets  $CK \in H/K$ .

297

298

299

3. *The conjugate Hopf subalgebra  ${}^C K$*

300

301

302

303

Let, as above,  $K$  be a Hopf subalgebra of a semisimple Hopf algebra  $H$ . For any simple subcoalgebra  $C$  of  $H$  in this section, we construct the conjugate Hopf subalgebra  ${}^C K$  appearing in Theorem 1.3. If  $c \in \text{Irr}(H^*)$  is the associated irreducible character of  $C$ , then consider the following subset of  $\text{Irr}(H^*)$ :

304

305

$${}^c K = \{d \in \text{Irr}(H^*) \mid dc\Lambda_K = \epsilon(d)c\Lambda_K\}, \tag{3.1}$$

306

where, as above,  $\Lambda_K \in K$  is the idempotent integral of  $K$ .

307

308

309

Recall from [18] that a subset  $X \subset \text{Irr}(H^*)$  is closed under multiplication if for every two elements  $c, d \in X$  in the decomposition of the product  $cd = \sum_{e \in \text{Irr}(H^*)} m_{c,d}^e e$ , then one has  $e \in X$  whenever  $m_e \neq 0$ . Also, a subset  $X \subset \text{Irr}(H^*)$  is closed under  ${}^{**}$  if  $x^* \in X$  for all  $x \in X$ .

310

311

Following [18], any subset  $X \subset \text{Irr}(H^*)$  closed under multiplication generates a subbialgebra  $H(X)$  of  $H$  defined by

312

313

$$H(X) := \bigoplus_{x \in X} C_x. \tag{3.2}$$

314

Moreover, if the set  $X$  is also closed under  ${}^{**}$ , then  $H(X)$  is a Hopf subalgebra of  $H$ .

315

316

317

318

319

REMARK 3.1. Since in our case  $H$  is finite-dimensional, it is well known that any subbialgebra of  $H$  is also a Hopf subalgebra. Therefore, in this case any set  $X$  of irreducible characters closed under product is also closed under  ${}^{**}$ .

320

321

322

PROPOSITION 3.2. *The set  ${}^c K \subset \text{Irr}(H^*)$  is closed under multiplication and  ${}^{**}$  and it generates a Hopf subalgebra  ${}^C K$  of  $H$ . Thus,*

323

324

$${}^C K = \bigoplus_{d \in {}^c K} C_d. \tag{3.3}$$

325

326

327

328

*Proof.* Suppose that  $D$  and  $D'$  are two simple subcoalgebras of  $H$  whose irreducible characters satisfy  $d, d' \in {}^c K$ . Then one has  $dd'c\Lambda_K = \epsilon(dd')c\Lambda_K$ . On the other hand, suppose that

329

330

$$dd' = \sum_{e \in \text{Irr}(H^*)} m_{d,d'}^e e. \tag{3.4}$$

331

332

333

334

Then  $\epsilon(dd')c\Lambda_K = dd'c\Lambda_K = \sum_{e \in \text{Irr}(H^*)} m_{d,d'}^e ec\Lambda_K$  and Remark 2.10 implies that  $ec\Lambda_K$  is a scalar multiple of  $c\Lambda_K$  for any  $e$  with  $m_{d,d'}^e \neq 0$ . Therefore,  $ec\Lambda_K = \epsilon(e)c\Lambda_K$  and  $e \in {}^c K$ . This shows that  ${}^C K$  is a subbialgebra of  $H$  and by Remark 3.1 a Hopf subalgebra of  $H$ .  $\square$

335

336

Sometimes the notation  ${}^C K$  will also be used for  ${}^c K$ , where  $c \in \text{Irr}(H^*)$  is the irreducible character associated to the simple subcoalgebra  $C$ .



The notion of conjugate Hopf subalgebra  ${}^C K$  is motivated by the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 3.3. *Let  $H$  be a semisimple Hopf algebra over  $k$ . If the simple subcoalgebra  $C$  is of the form  $C = kg$  with  $g \in G(H)$  a group-like element of  $H$ , then  ${}^C K = gKg^{-1}$ .*

*Proof.* Indeed, suppose that  $D \in {}^C K$ . If  $d$  is the associated irreducible character of  $D$ , then by definition it follows that  $dg\Lambda_K = g\Lambda_K$ . Thus,  $g^{-1}dg\Lambda_K = \Lambda_K$ . Therefore, the simple subcoalgebra  $g^{-1}Dg$  of  $H$  is equivalent to the trivial subcoalgebra  $k$ . Then, using Lemma 2.7, one has that  $g^{-1}Dg \subset K$  and therefore  ${}^C K \subset gKg^{-1}$ . The other inclusion  $gKg^{-1} \subset {}^C K$  is obvious.  $\square$

REMARK 3.4. In particular, for  $H = kG$ , one has that  ${}^C k[M] = k[{}^x M]$ , where  $x \in G$  is given by  $C = kx$ .

REMARK 3.5. (i) Using Remark 2.1, it follows from the definition of conjugate Hopf subalgebra that  ${}^C K$  is always a left  ${}^C K$ -module.

(ii) Note that if  $C(H^*)$  is commutative, then  ${}^C K \supseteq K$ . Indeed, for any  $d \in \text{Irr}(K^*)$  one has  $d\Lambda_K = \epsilon(d)\Lambda_K$ , and therefore  $dc\Lambda_K = cd\Lambda_K = \epsilon(d)c\Lambda_K$ .

(iii) If  $K$  is a normal Hopf subalgebra of  $H$ , then since  $\Lambda_K$  is a central element in  $H$ , by the same argument it also follows that  ${}^C K \supseteq K$ .

### 3.1. Some properties of the conjugate Hopf subalgebra

PROPOSITION 3.6. *Let  $H$  be a semisimple Hopf algebra and  $K$  be a Hopf subalgebra of  $H$ . Then for any simple subcoalgebra  $C$  of  $H$ , one has that  ${}^C K$  coincides to the maximal Hopf subalgebra  $L$  of  $H$  with the property  $LCK = CK$ .*

*Proof.* The equality  ${}^C KCK = CK$  follows from the character equality  $\Lambda_{{}^C K}c\Lambda_K = \epsilon(\Lambda_{{}^C K})c\Lambda_K$  and Remark 2.1. Conversely, if  $LCK = CK$  by passing to the regular  $H^*$ -characters and using equation (2.1), then it follows that  $\Lambda_Lc\Lambda_K = \epsilon(\Lambda_L)c\Lambda_K$ , which shows that  $L \subset {}^C K$ .  $\square$

Note that Remark 2.3, together with the previous proposition, implies that  ${}^C KCK \subseteq CK$ .

COROLLARY 3.7. *One has that  ${}^C K \subseteq CKC^*$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $S(C) = C^*$  by applying the antipode  $S$  to the above inclusion, one obtains that  $C^*{}^C K \subseteq KC^*$ . Therefore,  $CC^*{}^C K \subseteq CKC^*$  and then one has  ${}^C K \subseteq CC^*{}^C K \subseteq CKC^*$ .  $\square$

THEOREM 3.8. *One has that  ${}^C K$  is the largest Hopf subalgebra  $L$  of  $H$  with the property  $LC \subseteq CK$ .*

*Proof.* We have seen above that  ${}^C KCK \subseteq CK$ . Suppose now that  $LC \subseteq CK$  for some Hopf subalgebra  $L$  of  $H$ . Then, by Remark 2.3, it follows that  $LCK = CK$ . Thus, by passing to regular characters, one has that  $\Lambda_Lc\Lambda_K = \epsilon(\Lambda_L)c\Lambda_K$ , which shows the inclusion  $L \subseteq {}^C K$ .  $\square$

385 PROPOSITION 3.9. *Let  $H$  be a semisimple Hopf algebra and  $K$  be a Hopf subalgebra of  $H$ .*  
 386 *Then, for any subcoalgebra  $D$  with  $DK = CK$ , one has that  ${}^D K = {}^C K$ .*

387

388 *Proof.* One has that  ${}^C KCK = CK$ . If  $D \subset CK$ , then by Remark 2.3, one has that  
 389  ${}^C KDK = {}^C KCK = CK = DK$ , which shows that  ${}^C K = {}^D K$ .  $\square$

390

391

392

393

#### 4. Mackey-type decompositions for representations of Hopf algebras

394 Let  $K$  be a Hopf subalgebra of a semisimple Hopf algebra  $H$  and  $M$  be a finite-dimensional  
 395  $K$ -module. Note that for any simple subcoalgebra  $C$  of  $H$ , one has by Proposition 3.6 that  
 396  ${}^C M := CK \otimes_K M$  is a left  ${}^C K$ -module via the left multiplication with elements of  ${}^C K$ .

397

398 REMARK 4.1. Let  $H = kG$  be a group algebra of a finite group  $G$  and  $K = kA$  for some  
 399 subgroup  $A$  of  $G$ . Then note that  ${}^C M := CK \otimes_K M$  coincides to the usual conjugate module  
 400  ${}^g M$  if  $C = kg$  for some  $g \in G$ . Recall that  ${}^g M = M$  as vector spaces and  $(gag^{-1}) \cdot m = a \cdot m$   
 401 for all  $a \in A$  and all  $m \in M$ .

402

403

404

##### 4.1. Proof of Theorem 1.2

405 *Proof.* Since by definition of the double cosets, one has  $H = \bigoplus_{C \in L \setminus H/K} LCK$  and each  
 406  $LCK$  is a free  $K$ -module, the following decomposition of  $L$ -modules follows:

407

408

$$M \uparrow_K^H \downarrow_L^H = H \otimes_K M \cong \bigoplus_{C \in L \setminus H/K} (LCK \otimes_K M). \quad (4.1)$$

409

410

Consider now the  $k$ -linear map  $\pi_M^{(C)} : L \otimes_{L \cap {}^C K} (CK \otimes_K M) \rightarrow LCK \otimes_K M$  given by

411

$$l \otimes_{L \cap {}^C K} (cx \otimes_K m) \mapsto lcx \otimes_K m,$$

412

413

414

415

for all  $l \in L$ ,  $x \in K$ ,  $c \in C$  and  $m \in M$ . It is easy to see that  $\pi_M^{(C)}$  is a well-defined map  
 and clearly a surjective morphism of  $L$ -modules. Then  $\pi_M := \bigoplus_{C \in L \setminus H/K} \pi_M^{(C)}$  is surjective  
 morphism of  $L$ -modules and the proof is complete.  $\square$

416

417

418

419

REMARK 4.2. Suppose that for  $M = k$  one has that  $\pi_k$  isomorphism in Theorem 1.2. Then,  
 using a dimension argument, it follows that the same epimorphism  $\pi_M$  from Theorem 1.2 is in  
 fact an isomorphism for any finite-dimensional left  $H$ -module  $M$ .

420

421

422

##### 4.2. Mackey pairs

423 It follows from the proof above that  $(L, K)$  is a Mackey pair if and only if  $\pi_k$  is an isomorphism,  
 424 that is, if and only if each  $\pi_k^{(C)}$  is isomorphism for any simple subcoalgebra  $C$  of  $H$ . Since  $\pi_k^{(C)}$   
 425 is surjective passing to dimensions, one has that  $(L, K)$  is a Mackey pair if and only if

426

427

$$\dim LCK = \frac{(\dim L)(\dim CK)}{\dim L \cap {}^C K}, \quad (4.2)$$

428

429

for any simple subcoalgebra  $C$  of  $H$ .

430

431

432

Note that for  $C = k1$ , the above condition can be written as

$$\dim LK = \frac{(\dim L)(\dim K)}{\dim(L \cap K)}.$$

REMARK 4.3. Note also that for any Mackey pair, it follows that

$$\frac{\dim L \cap {}^D K}{\dim DK} = \frac{\dim L \cap {}^C K}{\dim CK}, \tag{4.3}$$

if  $LCK = LDK$ .

EXAMPLE 4.4. Suppose that  $L, K$  are Hopf subalgebras of  $H$  with  $LK = KL$ . Then  $(L, K)$  is a Mackey pair of Hopf subalgebras of  $LK$  by Burciu [3, Proposition 3.3].

#### 4.3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Let  $L, K$  be two Hopf subalgebras of a semisimple Hopf algebra  $H$  and let  $C$  be a simple subcoalgebra of  $H$ . Note that equations (2.1) and (2.2) imply that  $LCK$  can be written as a direct sum of right  $K$ -cosets,

$$LCK = \bigoplus_{DK \in \mathcal{S}} DK, \tag{4.4}$$

for a subset  $\mathcal{S} \subset H/K$  of right cosets of  $K$  inside  $H$ . Note that always one has  $CK \in \mathcal{S}$ .

Next, we give a proof for the main result of Theorem 1.1.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $L = kG$ . By equation (4.2), one has to verify

$$\dim(kG)CK = \frac{|G|(\dim CK)}{\dim kG \cap {}^C K}, \tag{4.5}$$

for any subcoalgebra  $C$  of  $H$ . Since  $kG \cap {}^C K$  is a Hopf subalgebra of  $kG$ , it follows that  $kG \cap {}^C K = kG_C$  for some subgroup  $G_C$  of  $G$ . By equation (3.1), it follows that  $G_C = \{g \in G \mid gd\Lambda_K = d\Lambda_K\}$ , where  $d \in \text{Irr}(H^*)$  is the character associated to  $C$ . In terms of subcoalgebras, this can be written as  $G_C = \{g \in G \mid gCK = CK\}$ .

With the above notation, equation (4.5) becomes

$$\dim(kG)CK = \frac{|G|}{|G_C|} \dim CK. \tag{4.6}$$

Note that the group  $G$  acts transitively on the set  $\mathcal{S}$  from equation (4.4). The action is given by  $g \cdot DK = gDK$  for any  $g \in G$  and any  $DK \in \mathcal{S}$ . Let  $\text{St}_C$  be the stabilizer of the right coset  $CK$ . Thus, the subgroup  $\text{St}_C$  of  $G$  is defined by  $\text{St}_C = \{g \in G \mid gCK = CK\}$ , which shows that  $\text{St}_C = G_C$ . Note that  $\dim DK = \dim CK$  for any  $DK \in \mathcal{S}$  since  $DK = gCK$  for some  $g \in G$ . Thus,  $\dim(kG)CK = |\mathcal{S}|(\dim CK)$  and equation (4.6) becomes

$$|\mathcal{S}| = \frac{|G|}{|G_C|}, \tag{4.7}$$

which is the same as the formula for the size of the orbit  $\mathcal{S}$  of  $CK$  under the action of the finite group  $G$ . □

### 5. New examples of the Green functors

In this section, we construct new examples of the Green functors arising from gradings on the category of corepresentations of semisimple Hopf algebras.

#### 5.1. Gradings of fusion categories

In this subsection, we recall a few basic results on gradings of fusion categories from [10] that will be further used in the paper. For an introduction to fusion categories, one might

481 consult [7]. Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a fusion category and  $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C})$  be the set of isomorphism classes of simple  
 482 objects of  $\mathcal{C}$ . Recall that the fusion category  $\mathcal{C}$  is graded by a finite group  $G$  if there is a  
 483 function  $\deg : \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow G$  such that for any two simple objects  $X, Y \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C})$ , then one has that  
 484  $\deg(Z) = \deg(X) \deg(Y)$  whenever  $Z \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C})$  is a simple object such that  $Z$  is a constituent  
 485 of  $X \otimes Y$ . Alternatively, there is a decomposition  $\mathcal{C} = \bigoplus_{g \in G} \mathcal{C}_g$  such that the tensor functor  
 486 of  $\mathcal{C}$  sends  $\mathcal{C}_g \otimes \mathcal{C}_h$  into  $\mathcal{C}_{gh}$ . Here  $\mathcal{C}_g$  is defined as the full abelian subcategory of  $\mathcal{C}$  generated  
 487 by the simple objects  $X$  of  $\mathcal{C}$  satisfying  $\deg(X) = g$ . Recall that a grading is called universal if  
 488 any other grading of  $\mathcal{C}$  is arising as a quotient of the universal grading. The universal grading  
 489 always exists and its grading group denoted by  $U_{\mathcal{C}}$  is called the universal grading group.

490  
 491 **REMARK 5.1.** If  $\mathcal{C} = \text{Rep}(H)$  for a semisimple Hopf algebra  $H$ , then by Gelaki and Nikshych  
 492 [10, Theorem 3.8] it follows that the Hopf center (that is, the largest central Hopf subalgebra)  
 493 of  $H$  is  $kG^*$ , where  $G$  is the universal grading group of  $\mathcal{C}$ . We denote this Hopf center by  
 494  $\mathcal{HZ}(H)$ . Therefore, one has  $\mathcal{HZ}(H) = kG^*$ , where  $G = U_{\text{Rep}(H)}$ . Moreover, in this case, by the  
 495 universal property any other grading on  $\mathcal{C} = \text{Rep}(H)$  is given by a quotient group  $G/N$  of  $G$ .  
 496 The corresponding graded components of  $\mathcal{C}$  are given by

$$497 \mathcal{C}_{\bar{g}} = \{M \in \text{Irr}(H) \mid M \downarrow_{kG/N}^H = (\dim M)\bar{g}\}, \quad (5.1)$$

498 for all  $g \in G$ . Here  $k^{G/N} \subset k^G$  is regarded as a central Hopf subalgebra of  $H$ . Also note that  
 499 in this situation one has a central extension of Hopf algebras:

$$500 k \longrightarrow k^{G/N} \longrightarrow H \longrightarrow H/k^{G/N} \longrightarrow k. \quad (5.2)$$

## 503 5.2. Gradings on $\text{Rep}(H^*)$ and cocentral extensions

504 Suppose that  $H$  is a semisimple Hopf algebra such that the fusion category  $\text{Rep}(H^*)$  is graded  
 505 by a finite group  $G$ . Then the dual version of Remark 5.1 implies that  $H$  fits into a cocentral  
 506 extension

$$507 k \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow H \xrightarrow{\pi} kG \longrightarrow k. \quad (5.3)$$

509 Recall that such an exact sequence of Hopf algebras is called cocentral if  $kG^* \subset \mathcal{Z}(H^*)$  via the  
 510 dual map  $\pi^*$ . On the other hand, using the reconstruction theorem from [1] it follows that

$$511 H \cong B \tau \#_{\sigma} kF, \quad (5.4)$$

513 for some cocycle  $\sigma : B \otimes B \rightarrow kF$  and some dual cocycle  $\tau : kF \rightarrow B \otimes B$ .

514 For any such cocentral sequence, it follows that  $G$  acts on  $\text{Rep}(B)$  and by Natale  
 515 [16, Proposition 3.5] that  $\text{Rep}(H) = \text{Rep}(B)^G$ , the equivariantized fusion category. For the  
 516 main properties of group actions and equivariantized fusion categories, one can consult, for  
 517 example, [19]. Recall that the above action of  $G$  on  $\text{Rep}(B)$  is given by  $T : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{\otimes}(\text{Rep}(B))$ ,  
 518  $g \mapsto T^g$ . For any  $M \in \text{Rep}(B)$ , one has that  $T^g(M) = M$  as vector spaces and the action of  $B$   
 519 is given by  $b \cdot^g m := (g \cdot b) \cdot m$  for all  $g \in G$  and all  $b \in B, m \in M$ . Here the weak action of  $G$   
 520 on  $B$  is the action used in the crossed product from equation (5.4).

521 For any subgroup  $M$  of  $G$ , it is easy to check that  $H(M) = B \#_{\sigma} kM$ , that is,  $H(M)$  is the  
 522 unique Hopf subalgebra of  $H$  fitting the exact cocentral sequence

$$523 k \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow H(M) \longrightarrow kM \longrightarrow k. \quad (5.5)$$

525 **LEMMA 5.2.** *Let  $H$  be a semisimple Hopf algebra. Then gradings on the fusion category*  
 526  *$\text{Rep}(H^*)$  are in one-to-one correspondence with cocentral extensions*

$$527 k \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow H \xrightarrow{\pi} kG \longrightarrow k. \quad (5.6)$$

528

529 *Proof.* We have shown at the beginning of this subsection how to associate a cocentral  
 530 extension to any  $G$ -grading on  $\text{Rep}(H^*)$ .

531 Conversely, suppose that one has a cocentral exact sequence as in equation (5.13). Then  
 532  $\text{Rep}(H^*)$  is graded by  $G$ , where the graded component of degree  $g \in G$  is given by

$$533 \quad \text{Rep}(H^*)_g = \{d \in \text{Irr}(H^*) \mid \pi(d) = \epsilon(d)g\}. \quad (5.7)$$

534 Indeed, since  $k^G \subset \mathcal{Z}(H^*)$  via  $\pi^*$  it follows that  $k^G$  acts by scalars on each irreducible  
 535 representation of  $H^*$ . Therefore, for any  $d \in \text{Irr}(H^*)$  one has  $d \downarrow_{k^G}^{H^*} = \epsilon(d)g$  for some  $g \in G$ . It  
 536 follows then by Gelaki and Nikshych [10, Theorem 3.8] that  $\text{Rep}(H^*)$  is  $G$ -graded and

$$537 \quad \text{Rep}(H^*)_g = \{d \in \text{Irr}(H^*) \mid d \downarrow_{k^G}^{H^*} = \epsilon(d)g\}. \quad (5.8)$$

539 On the other hand, it is easy to check that one has  $\pi(d) = d \downarrow_{k^G}^{H^*}$  for any  $d \in \text{Irr}(H^*)$  (see also  
 540 [4, Remark 3.2]).

541 Clearly, the two constructions are inverse one to the other. □

542

### 543 5.3. New examples of Mackey pairs of Hopf subalgebras

544 Let  $H$  be a semisimple Hopf algebra and  $\mathcal{C} = \text{Rep}(H^*)$ . Since  $H^*$  is also a semisimple Hopf  
 545 algebra [13], it follows that  $\mathcal{C}$  is a fusion category. For the rest of this section, fix an arbitrary  
 546  $G$ -grading  $\mathcal{C} = \bigoplus_{g \in G} \mathcal{C}_g$  on  $\mathcal{C}$ .

547 For any subset  $M \subset G$ , define  $\mathcal{C}_M := \bigoplus_{m \in M} \mathcal{C}_m$  as a full abelian subcategory of  $\mathcal{C}$ . Thus,  
 548  $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C}_M) = \bigsqcup_{m \in M} \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C}_m)$ . Let also  $H(M)$  be the subalgebra of  $H$  generated by all the simple  
 549 subalgebras of  $H$  whose irreducible  $H^*$ -characters belong to  $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C}_M)$ .

550 For any subalgebra  $C$  of  $H$ , denote by  $\text{Irr}(C^*)$  the irreducible characters of the dual  
 551 algebra  $C^*$ . Therefore, by its definition  $H(M)$  verifies the equality  $\text{Irr}(H(M)^*) = \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C}_M)$  and  
 552 as a coalgebra can be written as  $H(M) = \bigoplus_{\{d \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C}_m) \mid m \in M\}} C_d$ . Note that if  $M$  is a subgroup  
 553 of  $G$ , then  $H(M)$  is a Hopf subalgebra of  $H$  by Remark 2.1.

554 For any simple subalgebra  $C$  of  $H$  whose associated irreducible character  $d \in \text{Irr}(H^*)$  has  
 555 degree  $g$ , we will also write for shortness that  $\text{deg}(C) = g$ .

556

557 **PROPOSITION 5.3.** *Let  $H$  be semisimple Hopf algebra and  $G$  be the universal grading group*  
 558 *of  $\text{Rep}(H^*)$ . Then, for any arbitrary two subgroups  $M$  and  $N$  of  $G$ , the set of double cosets*  
 559  *$H(M) \backslash H / H(N)$  is canonically bijective to the set of group double cosets  $M \backslash N / G$ . Moreover,*  
 560 *the bijection is given by  $H(M)CH(N) \mapsto M \text{deg}(C)N$ .*

561

562 *Proof.* By Remark 2.1, one has the following equality in terms of irreducible  $H^*$ -characters:

$$563 \quad \text{Irr}(H(M)CH(N)^*) = \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C}_{M \text{deg}(C)N}).$$

564 Thus, if  $H(M)CH(N) = H(M)DH(N)$ , then  $\text{deg}(C) = \text{deg}(D)$ , which shows that the above  
 565 map is well defined. Clearly, the map  $H(M)CH(N) \mapsto M \text{deg}(C)N$  is surjective. The injectivity  
 566 of this map also follows from Remark 2.1. □

567

568 Note that the proof of the previous proposition implies that the coset  $H_x = H(M)CH(N)$   
 569 with  $\text{deg}(C) = x$  is given by

$$570 \quad H_x = \bigoplus_{\{d \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C}_{mzn}) \mid m \in M, n \in N\}} C_d. \quad (5.9)$$

571

572 **PROPOSITION 5.4.** *Suppose that  $V \in H(M)$ -mod, that is,  $V$  is a  $B \#_{\sigma} kM$ -module. Then*  
 573 *as  $B$ -modules, one has that  ${}^C V \cong T^{g^{-1}}(\text{Res}_B^{H(M)}(V))$ , where  $g \in G$  is chosen such that*  
 574  *$\text{deg}(C) = g$ . Moreover,  ${}^C(V \otimes W) \cong {}^C V \otimes {}^C W$  for any two left  $H(M)$ -modules  $V$  and  $W$ .*

575

577 *Proof.* Note that in this situation, one has that  ${}^C H(M) = H({}^g M) = B\#_{\sigma} k^g M$ . By  
 578 definition, one has  ${}^C V = CH(M) \otimes_{H(M)} V = H(gM) \otimes_{H(M)} V$ . Thus,

579 
$${}^C V = (B\#k^g M) \otimes_{B\#k^g M} V \cong k^g M \otimes_{k^g M} V, \tag{5.10}$$

581 where the inverse of the last isomorphism is given by  $g \otimes_{k^g M} v \mapsto (1\#g) \otimes_{B\#k^g M} v$ . Note that  
 582  $B$  acts on  $k^g M \otimes_{k^g M} V$  via  $b \cdot (g \otimes_{k^g M} v) = g \otimes_{k^g M} (g^{-1} \cdot b)v$  for all  $b \in B, v \in V$ . This shows  
 583 that indeed  ${}^C V \cong T^{g^{-1}}(\text{Res}_B^{H(M)}(V))$  as  $B$ -modules. Moreover, it follows that  ${}^C V$  can be  
 584 identified to  $V$  as vector spaces with the  $B\#_{\sigma} k^g M g^{-1}$ -module structure given by  $b \cdot v =$   
 585  $(g^{-1} \cdot b)v$  and  $(ghg^{-1}) \cdot v = ([g^{-1} \cdot (\sigma(ghg^{-1}, g)\sigma^{-1}(g, h))]\#_{\sigma} h) \cdot v$  for all  $g \in G, h \in M$  and  
 586  $v \in V$ . Then it can be checked by direct computation that the map  $v \otimes w \mapsto \tau^{-1}(g)(v \otimes w)$   
 587 from [16, Proposition 3.5] is in this case a morphism of  $B\#_{\sigma} k^g M g^{-1}$ -modules. In order to do  
 588 that one has to use the compatibility conditions from [1, Theorem 2.20].  $\square$

589  
 590 5.4. *Examples of Mackey pairs arising from group gradings on the category  $\text{Rep}(H^*)$*

591 Let, as above,  $H$  be a semisimple Hopf algebra with  $\mathcal{C} = \bigoplus_{g \in G} \mathcal{C}_g$  be a group grading of  
 592  $\mathcal{C} := \text{Rep}(H^*)$ . It follows that

593 
$$\text{FPdim}(\mathcal{C}_g) = \frac{\dim H^*}{\dim \mathcal{HZ}(H^*)}, \tag{5.11}$$

594 for all  $g \in G$ , where  $\text{FPdim}(\mathcal{C}_g) := \sum_{V \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C}_g)} (\dim V)^2$  is the Perron–Frobenius dimension of  
 595 the full abelian subcategory  $\mathcal{C}_g$  of  $\mathcal{C}$ .

599  
 600 **THEOREM 5.5.** *Let  $H$  be a semisimple Hopf algebra and  $M, N$  be any two subgroups of  $G$ .  
 601 With the above notation, the pair  $(H(M), H(N))$  is a Mackey pair of Hopf subalgebras of  $H$ .*

602  
 603 *Proof.* Put  $L := H(M)$  and  $K := H(N)$ . Therefore,  $\text{Irr}(L^*) = \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C}(M))$  and  $\text{Irr}(K^*) =$   
 604  $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C}(N))$ . Then we have to verify equation (4.2) for any simple subcoalgebra  $C$ . Fix a simple  
 605 subcoalgebra  $C$  of  $H$  with  $\text{deg}(C) = x$ . As above, one has  ${}^C H(M) = H({}^x M)$ .

606 It is easy to verify that  $H(M) \cap H(N) = H(M \cap N)$  for any two subgroups  $M$  and  $N$  of  $G$ .  
 607 This implies that  $L \cap {}^C K = H(N \cap {}^x M)$ . On the other hand from equation (5.9), note that  
 608  $\dim LCK = |MxN| \text{FPdim}(\mathcal{C}_1)$ .

609 Then equation (4.2) is equivalent to the well-known formula for the size of a double coset  
 610 relative to two subgroups:

611 
$$|MxN| = \frac{|M||N|}{|M \cap {}^x N|}, \tag{5.12}$$

612 for any  $x \in G$ .  $\square$

613  
 614  
 615  
 616 **REMARK 5.6.** The fact that  $(H(M), H(N))$  is a Mackey pair also followed in this case  
 617 from a more general version of Mackey’s decomposition theorem that holds for the action of  
 618 any finite group on a fusion category. These results will be contained in a future paper of the  
 619 author.

620  
 621  
 622 **REMARK 5.7.** It also should be noted that the author is not aware of any pair of  
 623 Hopf subalgebras that is not a Mackey pair. It would be interesting to construct such  
 624 counterexamples if they exist.

5.5. Mackey and the Green functors

For a finite group  $G$ , denote by  $\mathcal{S}(G)$  the lattice of all subgroups of  $G$ . Following [21], a Mackey functor for  $G$  over a ring  $R$  can be regarded as a collection of vector spaces  $M(H)$  for any  $H \in \mathcal{S}(G)$  together with a family of morphisms  $I_K^L : M(K) \rightarrow M(L)$ ,  $R_K^L : M(L) \rightarrow M(K)$  and  $c_{K,g} : M(K) \rightarrow M({}^gK)$  for all subgroups  $K$  and  $L$  of  $G$  with  $K \subset L$  and for all  $g \in G$ . This family of morphisms has to satisfy the following compatibility conditions:

- (1)  $I_H^H, R_H^H, c_{H,h} : M(H) \rightarrow M(H)$  are the identity morphisms for all subgroups  $H$  of  $G$  and any  $h \in H$ ;
- (2)  $R_K^J R_H^K = R_K^J$ , for all subgroups  $J \subset K \subset H$ ;
- (3)  $I_H^K I_J^H = I_J^K$ , for all subgroups  $J \subset K \subset H$ ;
- (4)  $c_{K,g} c_{K,h} = c_{K,gh}$  for all elements  $g, h \in G$ ;
- (5) for any three subgroups  $J, L \subseteq K$  of  $G$  and any  $a \in M(J)$ , one has the following Mackey axiom:

$$R_L^J(I_J^K(a)) = \sum_{x \in J \backslash K/L} I_{L \cap xJ}^L(R_{xJ \cap L}^{xJ}(c_{J,x}(a))).$$

Moreover, a Green functor is a Mackey functor  $M$  such that for any subgroup  $K$  of  $G$ , one has that  $M(K)$  is an associative  $R$ -algebra with identity and the following conditions are satisfied:

- (6)  $R_K^L$  and  $c_{K,g}$  are always unitary  $R$ -algebra homomorphisms;
- (7)  $I_K^L(aR_K^L(b)) = I_K^L(a)b$ ;
- (8)  $I_K^L(R_K^L(b)a) = bI_K^L(a)$  for all subgroups  $K \subseteq L \subseteq G$  and all  $a \in M(K)$  and  $b \in M(L)$ .

The Green functors play an important role in the representation theory of finite groups (see, for example, [21]).

5.6. New examples of the Green functors

The following theorem allows us to construct new examples of the Green functors from semisimple Hopf algebras.

**THEOREM 5.8.** *Let  $H$  be a semisimple Hopf algebra and  $G$  be a grading group for the fusion category  $\text{Rep}(H^*)$ . Then the functor  $M \mapsto K_0(H(M))$  is a Green functor.*

*Proof.* By Proposition 5.2 there is a cocentral extension

$$k \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow H \xrightarrow{\pi} kG \longrightarrow k, \tag{5.13}$$

for some Hopf subalgebra  $B \subset H$ . Then as above, for a simple subcoalgebra  $C$  of  $H$  with associated character  $d \in H^*$ , one has that if  $\pi(d) = g$  for some  $g \in G$ , then  $\pi(C) = kg$ .

The map  $R_K^L : K_0(H(L)) \rightarrow K_0(H(K))$  is induced by the restriction map  $\text{Res}_{H(K)}^{H(L)} : H(L)\text{-mod} \rightarrow H(K)\text{-mod}$ . Similarly, the map  $I_K^L$  is induced by the induction functor between the same two categories of modules. Clearly,  $R_K^L$  is a unital algebra map and the compatibility conditions (7) and (8) follow from the adjunction of the two functors. Moreover, conditions (2) and (3) are automatically satisfied.

Define  $c_{L,g} : K_0(L) \rightarrow K_0({}^gL)$  by  $[M] \mapsto [{}^C M]$ , where  $C$  is any simple subcoalgebra of  $H$  chosen with the property that  $\text{deg}(C) = g$ . It follows by Proposition 5.4 that  $c_{L,g}$  is a well-defined algebra map. Condition (4) is equivalent to  $T^{gh}(M) \cong T^g T^h(M)$ , which is automatically satisfied for a group action on a fusion category.

It is easy to see that all other axioms from the definition of a Green functor are satisfied. For example, the Mackey decomposition axiom (5) is satisfied by Theorem 5.5.  $\square$

6. On normal Hopf subalgebras of semisimple Hopf algebras

Recall that a Hopf subalgebra  $L$  of a Hopf algebra  $H$  is called a normal Hopf subalgebra if it is stable under the left and right adjoint action of  $H$  on itself. When  $H$  is a semisimple Hopf algebra, since  $S^2 = \text{id}$ , in order for  $L$  to be normal, it is enough to be closed only under the left adjoint action, that is,  $h_1 L S(h_2) \subset L$  for any  $h \in H$ . Let  $L^+ := L \cap \ker \epsilon$  and set  $H//L := H/HL^+$ . Since  $HL^+$  is a Hopf ideal of  $H$  (see, for example, [15]), it follows that  $H//L$  is a quotient Hopf algebra of  $H$ . Moreover,  $(H//L)^*$  can be regarded as a Hopf subalgebra of  $H^*$  via the dual map of the canonical Hopf projection  $\pi_L : H \rightarrow H//L$ .

PROPOSITION 6.1. *Suppose that  $H$  is a semisimple Hopf algebra. Then for any normal Hopf subalgebra  $K$  of  $H$ , one has that  $(K, K)$  is a Mackey pair of Hopf algebras.*

*Proof.* Note  $KC = CK$  for any subcoalgebra  $C$  of  $K$  since  $K$  is a normal Hopf subalgebra of  $H$ . Then for any simple subcoalgebra  $C$  of  $H$ , the dimension condition from equation (4.2) can be written as

$$\dim CK = \frac{(\dim K)(\dim CK)}{\dim K \cap {}^C K}, \tag{6.1}$$

which is equivalent to  $K \cap {}^C K = K$ . This equality follows by the third item of Remark 3.5. □

6.1. Irreducibility criterion for an induced module

REMARK 6.2. Let  $G$  be a finite group and  $H$  be a normal subgroup  $H$  of  $G$ . Then [20, Corollary 7.1] implies that an induced module  $M \uparrow_H^G$  is irreducible if and only if  $M$  is irreducible and  $M$  is not isomorphic to any of its conjugate module  ${}^g M$ .

The previous theorem allows us to prove the following proposition, which is an improvement of [3, Proposition 5.12]. The second item is also a generalization of [20, Corollary 7.1].

PROPOSITION 6.3. *Let  $K$  be a normal Hopf subalgebra of a semisimple Hopf algebra  $H$  and  $M$  be a finite-dimensional  $K$ -module.*

(i) *Then*

$$M \uparrow_K^H \downarrow_K^H \cong \bigoplus_{C \in H/K} {}^C M,$$

as  $K$ -modules.

(ii)  *$M \uparrow_K^H$  is irreducible if and only if  $M$  is an irreducible  $K$ -module, which is not a direct summand of any conjugate module  ${}^C M$  for any simple subcoalgebra  $C$  of  $H$  with  $C \not\subset K$ .* Q4

*Proof.* (i) Previous proposition implies that

$$M \uparrow_K^H \downarrow_K^H \cong \bigoplus_{C \in K \setminus H/K} K \otimes_{K \cap {}^C K} {}^C M, \tag{6.2}$$

as  $K$ -modules. On the other hand, since  $K$  is normal note that  $CK = KC$  and therefore the space  $K \setminus H/K$  of double cosets coincides to the space  $H/K$  of left (right) cosets (see also Paragraph 2.3.1 for the notation). In the proof of the same Proposition 6.1, it was also remarked that  $K \cap {}^C K = K$ .



(ii) One has that  $M \uparrow_K^H$  is an irreducible  $H$ -module if and only if

$$\dim_k \text{Hom}_H(M \uparrow_K^H, M \uparrow_K^H) = 1.$$

Note that by the Frobenius reciprocity, one has the following  $\text{Hom}_H(M \uparrow_K^H, M \uparrow_K^H) = \text{Hom}_K(M, M \uparrow_K^H \downarrow_K^H)$ . Then previous item implies that

$$\text{Hom}_K(M, M \uparrow_K^H) \cong \bigoplus_{C \in H/K} \text{Hom}_K(M, {}^C M). \tag{6.3}$$

Since for  $C = k$ , one has  ${}^k M = M$  it follows that  $\text{Hom}_K(M, {}^C M) = 0$  for all  $C \notin K$ .  $\square$

### 6.2. A tensor product formula for induced representations

We need the following preliminary tensor product formula for induced representations which appeared in [6].

PROPOSITION 6.4. *Let  $K$  be a Hopf subalgebra of a semisimple Hopf algebra  $H$ . Then for any  $K$ -module  $M$  and any  $H$ -module  $V$ , one has that*

$$M \uparrow_K^H \otimes V \cong (M \otimes V \downarrow_K^H) \uparrow_K^H. \tag{6.4}$$

*Proof of Theorem 1.4.* Applying Proposition 6.4, one has that

$$M \uparrow_K^H \otimes N \uparrow_L^H \cong (M \uparrow_K^H \downarrow_L^H \otimes N) \uparrow_L^H. \tag{6.5}$$

On the other hand, by Theorem 1.2 one has

$$M \uparrow_K^H \downarrow_L^H \cong \bigoplus_{C \in L \backslash H/K} (L \otimes_{L \cap {}^C K} ({}^C K \otimes_K M)). \tag{6.6}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} M \uparrow_K^H \otimes N \uparrow_L^H &\cong (M \uparrow_K^H \downarrow_L^H \otimes N) \uparrow_L^H \\ &\xrightarrow{\cong} \bigoplus_{C \in L \backslash H/K} ((L \otimes_{L \cap {}^C K} ({}^C K \otimes_K M)) \otimes N) \uparrow_L^H. \end{aligned}$$

Applying again Proposition 1.4 for the second tensor product, one obtains that

$$\begin{aligned} M \uparrow_K^H \otimes N \uparrow_L^H &\xrightarrow{\cong} (({}^C K \otimes_K M) \otimes N \downarrow_{L \cap {}^C K}^L) \uparrow_{L \cap {}^C K}^L \uparrow_L^H \\ &\xrightarrow{\cong} \bigoplus_{C \in L \backslash H/K} H \otimes_{L \cap {}^C K} (({}^C K \otimes_K M) \otimes N \downarrow_{L \cap {}^C K}^L). \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

REMARK 6.5. Note that the above theorem always applies for  $K = L$  a normal Hopf subalgebra of  $H$ .

### References

1. N. ANDRUSKIEWITSCH and J. DEVOTO, ‘Extensions of Hopf algebras’, *Algebra i Analiz* 7 (1995) 22–69.
2. D. J. BENSON, *Representations and cohomology: basic representation theory of finite groups and associative algebras, I*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics 30 (Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 1995).
3. S. BURCIU, ‘Coset decomposition for semisimple Hopf algebras’, *Comm. Algebra* 37 (2009) 3573–3585.
4. S. BURCIU, ‘Clifford theory for cocentral extensions’, *Israel J. Math.* 181 (2011) 111–123.
5. S. BURCIU and L. KADISON, ‘Subgroups of depth three and more’, *Surv. Differ. Geom.* 15 (2010).
6. S. BURCIU, L. KADISON and B. KUELSHAMMER, ‘On subgroup depth’, *Int. Electron. J. Algebra* 9 (2011) 133–166.

- 769      7. P. ETINGOF, D. NIKSHYCH and V. OSTRIK, 'On fusion categories', *Ann. of Math.* 162 (2005) 581–642.  
 770      8. M. FESHBACH, 'Transfer for Hopf algebras', *J. Algebra* 180 (1996) 287–305.  
 771      9. F. R. GANTMACHER, *Matrizentheorie* (Springer, Berlin, 1986).  
 772      10. S. GELAKI and D. NIKSHYCH, 'Nilpotent fusion categories', *Adv. Math.* 217 (2008) 1053–1071.  
 773      11. J. A. GREEN, 'Axiomatic representation theory for finite groups', *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 1 (1971) 41–77.  
 774      12. R. G. LARSON, 'Characters of Hopf algebras', *J. Algebra* 17 (1971) 352–368.  
 775      13. R. G. LARSON and D. E. RADFORD, 'Finite dimensional cosemisimple Hopf algebras in characteristic zero are semisimple', *J. Algebra* 117 (1988) 267–289.  
 776      14. Z. LIN, 'A Mackey decomposition theorem and cohomology for quantum groups at roots of 1', *J. Algebra*  
 777      166 (1994) 100–129.  
 778      15. S. MONTGOMERY, *Hopf algebras and their actions on rings*, CBMS Regional Conference Series in  
 779      Mathematics 82 (American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1993).  
 780      16. S. NATALE, 'Hopf algebra extensions of group algebras and Tambara-Yamagami categories', *Algebr.*  
 781      *Represent. Theory* 13 (2010) 673–691.  
 782      17. W. D. NICHOLS and M. B. RICHMOND, 'The Grothendieck group of a Hopf algebra', *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*  
 783      106 (1996) 297–306.  
 784      18. W. D. NICHOLS and M. B. ZOELLER, 'A Hopf algebra Freudenthal theorem', *Amer. J. Math.* 111 (1989) 381–385.  
 785      19. D. NIKSHYCH, 'Non group-theoretical semisimple Hopf algebras from group actions on fusion categories',  
 786      *Selecta Math.* 14 (2009) 145–161.  
 787      20. J. P. SERRE, *Linear representations of finite groups*, vol. 82 (Springer, Berlin, 1976).  
 788      21. J. THEVENAZ, 'Some remarks on G-functors and the Brauer morphism', *J. reine angew. Math* 384 (1988)  
 789      24–56.  
 790      22. Y. ZHU, 'Hopf algebras of prime dimension', *Int. Math. Res. Not.* 1 (1994) 53–59.

786      *Sebastian Burciu*  
 787      *Institute of Mathematics 'Simion Stoilow' of the Romanian Academy*  
 788      *Research Unit 5*  
 789      *PO Box 1-764*  
 790      *RO-014700 Bucharest*  
 791      *Romania*  
 792      *sebastian.burciu@imar.ro*

793  
 794  
 795  
 796  
 797  
 798  
 799  
 800  
 801  
 802  
 803  
 804  
 805  
 806  
 807  
 808  
 809  
 810  
 811  
 812  
 813  
 814  
 815  
 816