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$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { AbSTRACT. Using Szulkin's critical point theory, we prove that the relativistic } \\
& \text { forced pendulum with periodic boundary value conditions } \\
& \qquad\left(\frac{u^{\prime}}{\sqrt{1-u^{\prime 2}}}\right)^{\prime}+\mu \sin u=h(t), \quad u(0)-u(T)=0=u^{\prime}(0)-u^{\prime}(T), \\
& \text { has at least two solutions not differing by a multiple of } 2 \pi \text { for any continuous } \\
& \text { function } h:[0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text { with } \int_{0}^{T} h(t) d t=0 \text { and any } \mu \neq 0 \text {. The existence of at } \\
& \text { least one solution has been recently proved by Brezis and Mawhin. }
\end{aligned}
$$

## 1. Introduction and the main result

It is well known that the classical forced pendulum with periodic boundary value conditions

$$
u^{\prime \prime}+\mu \sin u=h(t), \quad u(0)-u(T)=0=u^{\prime}(0)-u^{\prime}(T),
$$

has at least two solutions not differing by a multiple of $2 \pi$ for any continuous function $h:[0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $\int_{0}^{T} h(t) d t=0$ and any $\mu \neq 0$. The existence of at least one solution was proved by Hamel [9] and rediscovered independently by Dancer [7] and Willem [15]. Then, the existence of a second solution has been proved by Mawhin and Willem [11] using mountain pass arguments.

Motivated by those results, Brezis and Mawhin prove in [6] that the relativistic forced pendulum with periodic boundary value conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{u^{\prime}}{\sqrt{1-u^{\prime 2}}}\right)^{\prime}+\mu \sin u=h(t), \quad u(0)-u(T)=0=u^{\prime}(0)-u^{\prime}(T) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

has at least one solution for any forcing term $h$ with mean value zero and any $\mu \neq 0$. The above problem is reduced to finding a minimum for the corresponding action integral over a closed convex subset of the space of T-periodic Lipschitz functions, and then to show, using variational inequalities techniques, that such a minimum solves the problem.

In this paper we show that (1.1) has at least two solutions not differing by a multiple of $2 \pi$. Actually, we consider as in [2, 6] the more general periodic boundary value problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\phi\left(u^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}=f(t, u)+h(t), \quad u(0)-u(T)=0=u^{\prime}(0)-u^{\prime}(T), \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]where $\phi$ satisfies the hypothesis
$$
\text { there exists } \Phi:[-a, a] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text { such that } \Phi(0)=0, \Phi \text { is continuous, }
$$
$\left(H_{\Phi}\right)$ of class $C^{1}$ on $(-a, a)$, with $\phi:=\Phi^{\prime}:(-a, a) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ an increasing homeomorphism such that $\phi(0)=0$;
$f:[0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function with its primitive
$$
F(t, x)=\int_{0}^{x} f(t, \xi) d \xi, \quad((t, x) \in[0, T] \times \mathbb{R})
$$
satisfying the hypothesis
$\left(H_{F}\right) \quad$ there exists $\omega>0$ such that
$$
F(t, x)=F(t, x+\omega) \text { for all }(t, x) \in[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}
$$
and finally the forcing term $h:[0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is supposed to be continuous and satisfies
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{T} h(t) d t=0 \tag{h}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

Of course, by a solution of (1.2) we mean a function $u \in C^{1}[0, T]$ with $\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}<a$, $\phi\left(u^{\prime}\right) \in C^{1}[0, T]$ and (1.2) is satisfied.

Our main result is the following one.
Theorem 1.1. If the hypotheses $\left(H_{\Phi}\right),\left(H_{F}\right)$ and $\left(H_{h}\right)$ are satisfied, then (1.2) has at least two solutions not differing by a multiple of $\omega$.

Taking in (1.2), $\phi(s)=\frac{s}{\sqrt{1-s^{2}}}$ so that $\Phi(s)=1-\sqrt{1-s^{2}}$, and $f(t, x)=-\mu \sin x$ so that $F(t, x)=\mu(\cos x-1)$ and $\omega=2 \pi$, one has the following:
Corollary 1.2. Problem (1.1) has at least two solutions not differing by a multiple of $2 \pi$ for any forcing term $h$ satisfying $\left(H_{h}\right)$ and any $\mu \neq 0$.

Our approach is variational and is based upon Szulkin's critical point theory 14 and some results given in [2]. The corresponding result for the one-dimensional curvature operator has been recently proved by Obersnel and Omari [12] using also Szulkin's critical point theory.

We point out that the approach of Mawhin and Willem [11 has an abstract formulation given by Pucci and Serrin in [13] and then the Pucci-Serrin's variant of the Mountain Pass Lemma has been generalized by Ghoussoub and Preiss in [8]. For Szulkin type functionals, the Ghoussoub-Preiss result is proved by Marano and Motreanu [10] assuming also the reflexivity of the space. In our case, we work in the space of continuous functions defined on a compact interval, which is not reflexive, and in order to avoid this difficulty we use a truncation strategy coming from the upper and lower solutions method.

## 2. Auxiliary results and notation

In this section we state some results from [2], which are the main tools in the proof of Theorem 1.1 ,

Let $g:[0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function with its primitive defined by

$$
G(t, x)=\int_{0}^{x} g(t, \xi) d \xi, \quad((t, x) \in[0, T] \times \mathbb{R})
$$

and consider the periodic boundary value problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\phi\left(u^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}=g(t, u), \quad u(0)-u(T)=0=u^{\prime}(0)-u^{\prime}(T) . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We set $C:=C[0, T], L^{\infty}:=L^{\infty}(0, T)$ and $W^{1, \infty}:=W^{1, \infty}(0, T)$. The usual norm $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$ is considered on $C$ and $L^{\infty}$, whereas in $W^{1, \infty}$ we consider the usual norm $\|u\|_{W^{1, \infty}}=\|u\|_{\infty}+\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}$.

We decompose any $u \in C$ as follows:

$$
u=\bar{u}+\widetilde{u}, \quad \bar{u}=\frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} u(t) d t \quad \text { and } \quad \int_{0}^{T} \widetilde{u}(t) d t=0 .
$$

Note that one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\widetilde{v}\|_{\infty} \leq T\left\|v^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty} \quad \text { for all } \quad v \in W^{1, \infty} . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
K:=\left\{v \in W^{1, \infty}:\left\|v^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty} \leq a, \quad v(0)=v(T)\right\}
$$

and $\Psi: C \rightarrow(-\infty,+\infty]$ be defined by

$$
\Psi(v)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\int_{0}^{T} \Phi\left(v^{\prime}\right), \quad \text { if } v \in K, \\
+\infty, \quad \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Obviously, $\Psi$ is proper and convex. On the other hand, as shown in [6] (see also [2]), $\Psi$ is lower semicontinuous on $C$.

Next, let $\mathcal{G}: C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be given by

$$
\mathcal{G}(u)=\int_{0}^{T} G(t, u) d t, \quad u \in C .
$$

A standard reasoning shows that $\mathcal{G}$ is of class $C^{1}$ on $C$ and its derivative is given by

$$
\left\langle\mathcal{G}^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle=\int_{0}^{T} g(t, u) v d t, \quad u, v \in C .
$$

Following [2], we consider the energy functional associated to (2.1) given by

$$
I: C \rightarrow(-\infty,+\infty], \quad I=\Psi+\mathcal{G} .
$$

Then $I$ has the structure required by Szulkin's critical point theory [14. Accordingly, a function $u \in C$ is a critical point of $I$ if $u \in K$ and

$$
\Psi(v)-\Psi(u)+\left\langle\mathcal{G}^{\prime}(u), v-u\right\rangle \geq 0 \quad \text { for all } v \in C .
$$

It is shown in [2] that if $u$ is a critical point of $I$, then $u$ is a solution of (2.1).
On the other hand, $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset K$ is a $(P S)$-sequence if $I\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c \in \mathbb{R}$ and

$$
\int_{0}^{T}\left[\Phi\left(v^{\prime}\right)-\Phi\left(u_{n}^{\prime}\right)+g\left(t, u_{n}\right)\left(v-u_{n}\right)\right] d t \geq-\varepsilon_{n}\left\|v-u_{n}\right\|_{\infty} \text { for all } v \in K
$$

where $\varepsilon_{n} \rightarrow 0_{+}$. According to [14], the functional $I$ is said to satisfy the (PS)condition if any (PS)-sequence has a convergent subsequence in $C$. Note also that if $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is a (PS)-sequence, then, from [2] one has that

- the sequence $\left\{\int_{0}^{T} G\left(t, u_{n}\right) d t\right\}$ is bounded;
- if $\left\{\bar{u}_{n}\right\}$ is bounded, then $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ has a convergent subsequence in $C$.

The next lemma is a direct consequence of [4, Theorem 3].
Lemma 2.1. Let us assume that (2.1) has two solutions $\alpha, \beta$ such that $\alpha(t) \leq \beta(t)$ for all $t \in[0, T]$. Let $\gamma:[0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the continuous function defined by

$$
\gamma(t, x)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\beta(t), \quad \text { if } x>\beta(t) \\
x, \quad \text { if } \alpha(t) \leq x \leq \beta(t) \\
\alpha(t), \quad \text { if } x<\alpha(t)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Consider the modified problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\phi\left(u^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}=g(t, \gamma(t, u))+u-\gamma(t, u), \quad u(0)-u(T)=0=u^{\prime}(0)-u^{\prime}(T) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $u$ is a solution of (2.3), then

$$
\alpha(t) \leq u(t) \leq \beta(t) \quad \text { for all } \quad t \in[0, T]
$$

and $u$ is a solution of (2.1).

## 3. Proof of the main result

First of all, using the corresponding result for the periodic case of Corollary 1 in [2] one has that the energy functional $I$ associated to (1.2) is bounded from below and there exists $u_{0} \in K$ a minimizer for $I$, which is also a solution of (1.2). On the other hand, from $\left(H_{F}\right)$ it follows that

$$
I(u)=I(u+j \omega) \quad \text { for all } \quad u \in C, j \in \mathbb{Z}
$$

So, taking $j$ sufficiently large, we can assume that $u_{0}$ is strictly positive, and one has that $u_{1}:=u_{0}+\omega$ is a minimizer of $I$ and also a solution of (1.2).

We associate to (1.2) the corresponding modified problem

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\phi\left(u^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}=f(t, \gamma(t, u))+h(t)+u-\gamma(t, u), \\
& u(0)-u(T)=0=u^{\prime}(0)-u^{\prime}(T) \tag{3.1}
\end{align*}
$$

where in this case $\gamma:[0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is given by

$$
\gamma(t, x)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{1}(t), \quad \text { if } x>u_{1}(t) \\
x, \quad \text { if } u_{0}(t) \leq x \leq u_{1}(t) \\
u_{0}(t), \quad \text { if } x<u_{0}(t)
\end{array}\right.
$$

So, if $u$ is a solution of (3.1), then by Lemma 2.1,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{0}(t) \leq u(t) \leq u_{1}(t) \quad \text { for all } \quad t \in[0, T] \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $u$ is a solution of (1.2).
Next, let $J: C \rightarrow(-\infty, \infty]$ be the energy functional associated to the modified problem (3.1). So,

$$
J(u)=\int_{0}^{T} \Phi\left(u^{\prime}\right)+\int_{0}^{T} A(t, u) d t \quad \text { for all } \quad u \in K
$$

where $A:[0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is given by

$$
A(t, x)=\int_{0}^{x} f(t, \gamma(t, \xi)) d \xi+x h(t)+\frac{x^{2}}{2}-\int_{0}^{x} \gamma(t, \xi) d \xi
$$

for all $(t, x) \in[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}$.
Let us note that if $u$ is a critical point of $J$, then $u$ is a solution of (3.1); hence $u$ satisfies (3.2) and $u$ is also a solution of (1.2).

Lemma 3.1. The following hold true.
(i) $J\left(u_{0}\right)=J\left(u_{1}\right)$.
(ii) $\lim _{|x| \rightarrow \infty} A(t, x)=+\infty$ uniformly in $t \in[0, T]$.
(iii) The functional $J$ is bounded from below and satisfies the (PS)-condition.

Proof. (i) From $\left(H_{F}\right)$ and the definition of $\gamma$ we infer that

$$
A\left(t, u_{0}(t)\right)=u_{0}(t) f\left(t, u_{0}(t)\right)+u_{0}(t) h(t)-\frac{u_{0}^{2}(t)}{2}
$$

and

$$
A\left(t, u_{1}(t)\right)=u_{0}(t) f\left(t, u_{0}(t)\right)+u_{1}(t) h(t)-\frac{u_{0}^{2}(t)}{2}
$$

for all $t \in[0, T]$. On the other hand, using $\left(H_{h}\right)$ we deduce that

$$
\int_{0}^{T} u_{0}(t) h(t) d t=\int_{0}^{T} u_{1}(t) h(t) d t
$$

Hence

$$
\int_{0}^{T} A\left(t, u_{0}(t)\right) d t=\int_{0}^{T} A\left(t, u_{1}(t)\right) d t
$$

which together with

$$
u_{0}^{\prime}=u_{1}^{\prime}
$$

implies that (i) holds true.
(ii) Using that $\gamma$ is bounded, it follows that there exists $c_{1}>0$ such that

$$
A(t, x) \geq \frac{x^{2}}{2}-c_{1}|x| \quad \text { for all } \quad(t, x) \in[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}
$$

implying that (ii) holds true.
(iii) From (ii) we deduce immediately that $J$ is bounded from below.

Now let $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ be a (PS)-sequence. It follows that the sequence $\left\{\int_{0}^{T} A\left(t, u_{n}\right) d t\right\}$ is bounded. This, together with (2.2) and (ii), implies that $\left\{\bar{u}_{n}\right\}$ is bounded. Again by (2.2) and the fact that $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset K$, we have that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded in $W^{1, \infty}$. By the compact embedding of $W^{1, \infty}$ into $C$ (see for example [5]), it follows that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ has a convergent subsequence in $C$ and $J$ satisfies the (PS)-condition.

End of the proof of the main result. We conclude the proof by using an argument inspired by [12]. Using Lemma 3.1(iii) and Theorem 1.7 from [14, we deduce that there exists $u_{2}$, a critical point of $J$, such that

$$
J\left(u_{2}\right)=\inf _{C} J
$$

We have two cases.
Case 1. If $u_{2} \neq u_{0}$ and $u_{2} \neq u_{1}$, then, using the fact that $u_{2}$ satisfies (3.2), it follows that $u_{2}$ is a solution of (1.2) such that $u_{2}-u_{0}$ is not a multiple of $\omega$.

Case 2. If $u_{2}=u_{0}$ or $u_{2}=u_{1}$, then using Lemma 3.1(i), it follows that $u_{0}$ and $u_{1}$ are also minimizers of $J$. Hence, using Lemma 3.1(iii) and [14, Corollary 3.3], we infer that there exists $u_{3}$, a critical point of $J$ different from $u_{0}$ and $u_{1}$. Because $u_{3}$ is a critical point of $J$, one has that $u_{3}$ satisfies (3.2), and therefore $u_{3}$ is a solution of (1.2) such that $u_{3}-u_{0}$ is not a multiple of $\omega$.

## 4. Final remarks about the Neumann problem

Let us consider the Neumann problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[r^{N-1} \phi\left(u^{\prime}\right)\right]^{\prime}=r^{N-1}[f(r, u)+h(r)], \quad u^{\prime}\left(R_{1}\right)=0=u^{\prime}\left(R_{2}\right) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0 \leq R_{1}<R_{2}, N \geq 1$ is an integer and $\phi, f$ and $h$ satisfy hypotheses $\left(H_{\Phi}\right)$, $\left(H_{F}\right)$ and $\left(H_{h}\right)$. Then, using the same strategy as in the periodic case, without any change and the corresponding results from [2] and [1, one has that (4.1) has at least two solutions not differing by a multiple of $\omega$. The existence of at least one solution has been proved in [3, 2].

In particular, the Neumann problem

$$
\operatorname{div}\left(\frac{\nabla v}{\sqrt{1-|\nabla v|^{2}}}\right)+\mu \sin u=h(|x|) \quad \text { in } \quad \mathcal{A}, \quad \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu}=0 \quad \text { on } \quad \partial \mathcal{A}
$$

where $\mathcal{A}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}: R_{1} \leq|x| \leq R_{2}\right\}$, has at least two classical radial solutions not differing by a multiple of $\omega$, for any $\mu \neq 0$ and any $h \in C$ such that

$$
\int_{\mathcal{A}} h(|x|) d x=0
$$
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