

# OPERATOR REPRESENTATIONS OF WEAK\*- DIRICHLET ALGEBRAS

Adina JURATONI and Nicolae SUCIU

Politehnica University of Timișoara  
West University of Timișoara

29.06-04.07 2010

The 23rd Conference in Operator Theory 2010

# Outline

- 1 Introduction and preliminaries
- 2 Extension of a representation to the space  $L^p(m)$
- 3 Reduction to functional calculus
- 4 Application to the scalar case
- 5 References

# Introduction and preliminaries

- Let  $X$  be a compact Hausdorff space and  $C(X)$  the Banach algebra of all complex continuous functions on  $X$ ;
- Denote by  $A$  a function algebra on  $X$ ,  $\mathcal{M}(A)$  stands for the set of all non zero complex homomorphisms of  $A$ ;
- For  $\gamma \in \mathcal{M}(A)$ ,  $A_\gamma$  means the kernel of  $\gamma$ , and  $M_\gamma$  designates the set of all representing measures  $m$  for  $\gamma$ , that is  $m$  is a probability Borel measure on  $X$  satisfying  $\gamma(f) = \int f dm, f \in A$ .

# Introduction and preliminaries

- Let  $X$  be a compact Hausdorff space and  $C(X)$  the Banach algebra of all complex continuous functions on  $X$ ;
- Denote by  $A$  a function algebra on  $X$ ,  $\mathcal{M}(A)$  stands for the set of all non zero complex homomorphisms of  $A$ ;
- For  $\gamma \in \mathcal{M}(A)$ ,  $A_\gamma$  means the kernel of  $\gamma$ , and  $M_\gamma$  designates the set of all representing measures  $m$  for  $\gamma$ , that is  $m$  is a probability Borel measure on  $X$  satisfying  $\gamma(f) = \int f dm, f \in A$ .

# Introduction and preliminaries

- Let  $X$  be a compact Hausdorff space and  $C(X)$  the Banach algebra of all complex continuous functions on  $X$ ;
- Denote by  $A$  a function algebra on  $X$ ,  $\mathcal{M}(A)$  stands for the set of all non zero complex homomorphisms of  $A$ ;
- For  $\gamma \in \mathcal{M}(A)$ ,  $A_\gamma$  means the kernel of  $\gamma$ , and  $M_\gamma$  designates the set of all representing measures  $m$  for  $\gamma$ , that is  $m$  is a probability Borel measure on  $X$  satisfying  $\gamma(f) = \int f dm, f \in A$ .

- Let  $A$  be a function algebra on  $X$  which is *weak\*-Dirichlet* in  $L^\infty(m)$ , that is  $A + \overline{A}$  is weak\* dense in  $L^\infty(m)$ , for some fixed  $m \in M_\gamma$  and  $\gamma \in \mathcal{M}(A)$ .
- Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a complex Hilbert space and  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  be the Banach algebra of all bounded linear operators on  $\mathcal{H}$ .
- Any bounded linear and multiplicative map  $\Phi$  of  $A$  in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  with  $\Phi(1) = I$  (the identity operator on  $\mathcal{H}$ ) is called a *representation* of  $A$  on  $\mathcal{H}$ . When  $\|\Phi\| \leq 1$  one says that  $\Phi$  is *contractive*. Here, we only consider representations  $\Phi$  for which there exist a scalar  $\rho > 0$  and a system  $\{\mu_x\}_{x \in \mathcal{H}}$  of positive measures on  $X$  with  $\|\mu_x\| = \|x\|^2$  such that

$$\langle \Phi(f)x, x \rangle = \int [\rho f + (1 - \rho)\gamma(f)] d\mu_x$$

for any  $f \in A$  and  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ . Such a  $\mu_x$  is called a *weak  $\rho$ -spectral measure for  $\Phi$  attached to  $x$  by  $\gamma$* .

- Let  $A$  be a function algebra on  $X$  which is *weak\*-Dirichlet* in  $L^\infty(m)$ , that is  $A + \overline{A}$  is weak\* dense in  $L^\infty(m)$ , for some fixed  $m \in M_\gamma$  and  $\gamma \in \mathcal{M}(A)$ .
- Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a complex Hilbert space and  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  be the Banach algebra of all bounded linear operators on  $\mathcal{H}$ .
- Any bounded linear and multiplicative map  $\Phi$  of  $A$  in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  with  $\Phi(1) = I$  (the identity operator on  $\mathcal{H}$ ) is called a *representation* of  $A$  on  $\mathcal{H}$ . When  $\|\Phi\| \leq 1$  one says that  $\Phi$  is *contractive*. Here, we only consider representations  $\Phi$  for which there exist a scalar  $\rho > 0$  and a system  $\{\mu_x\}_{x \in \mathcal{H}}$  of positive measures on  $X$  with  $\|\mu_x\| = \|x\|^2$  such that

$$\langle \Phi(f)x, x \rangle = \int [\rho f + (1 - \rho)\gamma(f)] d\mu_x$$

for any  $f \in A$  and  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ . Such a  $\mu_x$  is called a *weak  $\rho$ -spectral measure for  $\Phi$  attached to  $x$  by  $\gamma$* .

- Let  $A$  be a function algebra on  $X$  which is *weak\*-Dirichlet* in  $L^\infty(m)$ , that is  $A + \overline{A}$  is weak\* dense in  $L^\infty(m)$ , for some fixed  $m \in M_\gamma$  and  $\gamma \in \mathcal{M}(A)$ .
- Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a complex Hilbert space and  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  be the Banach algebra of all bounded linear operators on  $\mathcal{H}$ .
- Any bounded linear and multiplicative map  $\Phi$  of  $A$  in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  with  $\Phi(1) = I$  (the identity operator on  $\mathcal{H}$ ) is called a *representation* of  $A$  on  $\mathcal{H}$ . When  $\|\Phi\| \leq 1$  one says that  $\Phi$  is *contractive*. Here, we only consider representations  $\Phi$  for which there exist a scalar  $\rho > 0$  and a system  $\{\mu_x\}_{x \in \mathcal{H}}$  of positive measures on  $X$  with  $\|\mu_x\| = \|x\|^2$  such that

$$\langle \Phi(f)x, x \rangle = \int [\rho f + (1 - \rho)\gamma(f)] d\mu_x$$

for any  $f \in A$  and  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ . Such a  $\mu_x$  is called a *weak  $\rho$ -spectral measure for  $\Phi$  attached to  $x$  by  $\gamma$* .





$$w(\Phi(f)) \leq \|\rho f + (1 - \rho)\gamma(f)\| \quad (f \in A) \quad (1.1)$$

- If the representation  $\Phi$  of  $A$  on  $\mathcal{H}$  admits a system  $\{\mu_x\}_{x \in \mathcal{H}}$  of weak  $\rho$ -spectral measures attached by  $\gamma$  such that  $\mu_x$  is  $m$ -a.c. for any  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ , then  $\Phi$  has a  $\gamma$ -spectral  $\rho$ -dilation, that is there exists a contractive representations  $\tilde{\Phi}$  of  $C(X)$  on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{K} \supset \mathcal{H}$  satisfying the relation

$$\Phi(f) = \rho P_{\mathcal{H}} \tilde{\Phi}(f)|_{\mathcal{H}} \quad (f \in A_{\gamma}), \quad (1.2)$$

where  $P_{\mathcal{H}}$  is the orthogonal projection on  $\mathcal{H}$ . Moreover, in this case there exists a unique semispectral measure  $F_{\Phi} : \text{Bor}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  such that  $\langle F_{\Phi}(\cdot)x, x \rangle = \mu_x$ , or equivalently

$$\langle \Phi(f)x, y \rangle = \int [\rho f + (1 - \rho)\gamma(f)] d\langle F_{\Phi}x, y \rangle \quad (f \in A),$$



$$w(\Phi(f)) \leq \|\rho f + (1 - \rho)\gamma(f)\| \quad (f \in A) \quad (1.1)$$

- If the representation  $\Phi$  of  $A$  on  $\mathcal{H}$  admits a system  $\{\mu_x\}_{x \in \mathcal{H}}$  of weak  $\rho$ -spectral measures attached by  $\gamma$  such that  $\mu_x$  is  $m$ -a.c. for any  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ , then  $\Phi$  has a  $\gamma$ -spectral  $\rho$ -dilation, that is there exists a contractive representations  $\tilde{\Phi}$  of  $C(X)$  on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{K} \supset \mathcal{H}$  satisfying the relation

$$\Phi(f) = \rho P_{\mathcal{H}} \tilde{\Phi}(f)|_{\mathcal{H}} \quad (f \in A_{\gamma}), \quad (1.2)$$

where  $P_{\mathcal{H}}$  is the orthogonal projection on  $\mathcal{H}$ . Moreover, in this case there exists a unique semispectral measure  $F_{\Phi} : \text{Bor}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  such that  $\langle F_{\Phi}(\cdot)x, x \rangle = \mu_x$ , or equivalently

$$\langle \Phi(f)x, y \rangle = \int [\rho f + (1 - \rho)\gamma(f)] d\langle F_{\Phi}x, y \rangle \quad (f \in A), \quad (1.3)$$



# Extension of a representation to the space $L^p(m)$

We characterize below some representations  $\Phi$  of  $A$  on  $\mathcal{H}$  which can be linearly and boundedly extended to the space  $L^p(m)$  for  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ . Our characterization is given in the terms of Radon-Nikodym derivative with respect to  $m$  of the corresponding  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ -valued semispectral measure  $F_\Phi$ . In the sequel we put  $\varphi_{x,y} = \langle F_\Phi(\cdot)x, y \rangle \in L^1(m)$  for  $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$ .

# Extension of a representation to the space $L^p(m)$

## Theorem 2.1

Let  $\Phi$  be a representation of  $A$  on  $\mathcal{H}$  which admits a system of  $m$ -a.c. weak  $\rho$ -spectral measures attached by  $\gamma$ . Then  $\Phi$  has a bounded linear extension  $\Phi_\rho$  from  $L^p(m)$  into  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  for  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , if and only if  $\varphi_{x,y} \in L^q(m)$  and there exists a constant  $c > 0$  such that

$$\|\varphi_{x,y}\|_q \leq c \|x\| \|y\| \quad (x, y \in \mathcal{H}), \quad (2.1)$$

where  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ . In this case,  $\Phi_\rho$  is uniquely determined and it satisfies for  $h \in L^p(m)$  and  $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$  the relation

$$\langle \Phi_\rho(h)x, y \rangle = \int [\rho h + (1 - \rho) \int h dm] \varphi_{x,y} dm. \quad (2.2)$$

# Extension of a representation to the space $L^p(m)$

## Theorem 2.1

Furthermore, for  $h \in L^2(m)$  and  $x \in \mathcal{H}$  we have the inequality

$$\|\Phi_2(h)x\|^2 \leq \int |\rho h + (1 - \rho) \int h dm|^2 \varphi_{x,x} dm. \quad (2.3)$$

Hence, if  $\{h_\alpha\} \subset L^\infty(m)$  is a bounded net such that  $\{h_\alpha\}$  converges a.e.( $m$ ) to  $h \in L^\infty(m)$ , then  $\{\Phi_\rho(h_\alpha)\}$  strongly converges to  $\Phi_\rho(h)$  in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ , for  $\rho \geq 2$ .

# Extension of a representation to the space $L^p(m)$

## Remark 2.2

The equivalent conditions of Theorem 2.1 imply

$$\|\Phi\|_p := \sup_{f \in A, \|f\|_p \leq 1} \|\Phi(f)\| < \infty. \quad (2.4)$$

It is easy to see that the condition (2.4) is equivalent to the existence of a bounded linear extension  $\widehat{\Phi}_p$  of  $\Phi$  to  $H^p(m)$ , where  $H^p(m)$  is the closure of  $A$  into  $L^p(m)$ . In this case,  $\widehat{\Phi}_p$  is the uniquely determined and it satisfies the relation (2.2) for  $g \in H^p(m)$ .

# Extension of a representation to the space $L^p(m)$

## Proposition 2.3

Let  $\Phi$  be a representation of  $A$  on  $\mathcal{H}$  as in Theorem 2.1 such that  $\|\Phi\|_p < \infty$ . Then

$$\widehat{\Phi}_p(fg) = \widehat{\Phi}_p(f)\widehat{\Phi}_p(g) \quad (f \in H^\infty(m), g \in H^p(m)) \quad (2.5)$$

and, in particular,  $\widehat{\Phi} := \widehat{\Phi}_p|_{H^\infty(m)}$  is a representation of  $H^\infty(m)$  on  $\mathcal{H}$ . Moreover, if  $\{f_\alpha\} \subset H^\infty(m)$  is a bounded net which converges a.e.( $m$ ) to  $f \in H^\infty(m)$ , then  $\{\widehat{\Phi}(f_\alpha)\}$  strongly converges to  $\widehat{\Phi}(f)$  in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ .

# Extension of a representation to the space $L^p(m)$

## Remark 2.4

If the representation  $\Phi$  in Theorem 2.1 is contractive, that is  $\rho = 1$  and  $\|\Phi\| = 1$  (because  $\Phi(1) = I$ ), then its extension  $\Phi_\rho$  is also contractive, in the case when it exists. Indeed, if  $\tilde{\Phi}$  is as in the proof of Theorem 2.1, we have for  $f \in A$ ,  $g \in A_\gamma$  and  $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int (f + \bar{g}) \varphi_{x,y} dm \right| &= |\langle (\Phi(f) + \Phi(g)^*)x, y \rangle| = |\langle P_{\mathcal{H}} \tilde{\Phi}(f + \bar{g})x, y \rangle| \\ &\leq \|\tilde{\Phi}(f + \bar{g})\| \|x\| \|y\| \leq \|f + \bar{g}\| \|x\| \|y\|, \end{aligned}$$

because  $\tilde{\Phi}$  is a contractive representation of  $C(X)$ .



## Reduction to functional calculus

In the sequel we denote by  $H_0^p(m)$  the closure (weak\*, if  $p = \infty$ ) of  $A_\gamma$  in  $L^p(m)$ , that is

$$H_0^p(m) = \left\{ f \in H^p(m) : \int f dm = 0 \right\}.$$

We say ([Na], [Sr]) that  $H_0^p(m)$  is *simply invariant* if the closure of  $A_\gamma H_0^p(m)$  in  $L^p(m)$  is strictly contained into  $H_0^p(m)$ .

If  $H_0^p(m)$  is simply invariant then there exists a function

$Z \in H_0^\infty(m)$  with  $|Z| = 1$  a.e.(m) such that  $H_0^p(m) = ZH^p(m)$ .

As in Theorem 3 [Lu] one can prove that, if  $m_0$  is the normalized Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{T}$ , there exists an isometric  $*$ -isomorphism  $\tau$  of  $L^p(m_0)$  onto a closed subspace of  $L^p(m)$ , taking  $H^p(m_0)$  onto a closed subspace of  $H^p(m)$ , for  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ .

# Reduction to functional calculus

The following main result shows that under the simple invariance of  $H_0^p(m)$  with  $1 \leq p \leq 2$ , the representations from Theorem 2.1 and the extensions to  $H^p(m)$  can be reduced to functional calculus. We define  $S : H^p(m) \rightarrow L^p(m)$

$$Sg = \bar{Z}(g - \int g dm) \quad (g \in H^p(m)). \quad (3.1)$$

Also, for  $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  we denote by  $r(T)$  the spectral radius of  $T$ .

# Reduction to functional calculus

## Theorem 3.1

Suppose that  $H_0^p(m)$  is a simply invariant subspace for  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , and let  $\Phi$  be a representation of  $A$  on  $\mathcal{H}$  satisfying Theorem 2.1. Then  $r(\hat{\Phi}(Z)) < 1$ , and if  $1 \leq p \leq 2$  one has

$$\hat{\Phi}_p(g) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \hat{g}(n) \hat{\Phi}(Z)^n \quad (g \in H^p(m)) \quad (3.2)$$

where  $\hat{g}(n) = \int \bar{Z}^n g dm$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the series being absolutely convergent in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ .

Moreover, the relation (3.2) is also true when  $2 < p < \infty$ , for  $g \in H^p(m)$  such that  $\{S^n g\}$  is a bounded sequence in  $H^p(m)$ ,  $S$  being the operator from (3.1).

# Reduction to functional calculus

## Remark 3.2

By

$$g = \sum_{j=0}^n \widehat{g}(j)Z^j + Z^{n+1}(S^{n+1}g) \quad (g \in H^p(m)). \quad (3.3)$$

we have that the sequence  $\{S^n g\}_n$  is bounded if and only if the sequence  $\{\sum_{j=0}^n \widehat{g}(j)Z^j\}_n$  is bounded in  $H^p(m)$ , and in particular, this happens if  $S$  is a power bounded operator in  $\mathcal{B}(H^p(m))$ . But, even if the second sequence before converges, its limit is not necessarily the function  $g$ . In fact, one has (by (3.3))

$$g = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \widehat{g}(j)Z^j \text{ in } H^p(m) \text{ if and only if } S^n g \rightarrow 0 \text{ (} n \rightarrow \infty \text{)}.$$

# Reduction to functional calculus

## Theorem 3.4

Suppose  $1 \leq p \leq 2$  and that  $H_0^p(m)$  is a simply invariant subspace in  $H^p(m)$ . Let  $\phi$  be a representation of  $A$  on  $\mathcal{H}$  satisfying Theorem 2.1. Then the semispectral measure  $F_\phi$  has the form  $F_\phi = \theta(\cdot)m$  where the function  $\theta : X \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  is given by

$$\theta(s) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \bar{z}^n(s) \hat{\phi}(Z)_\rho^{(n)}, \quad (3.6)$$

while the series converges absolutely and uniformly a.e.( $m$ ) for  $s \in X$ . Moreover,  $\theta$  is a bounded function a.e.( $m$ ) on  $X$ .

# Reduction to functional calculus

From this theorem it follows that, for  $\Phi$  as in Theorem 2.1, the  $L^q(m)$ -boundedness of  $\varphi_{x,y}$  in the sense of (2.1) for any  $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$  and some  $q$  in the range  $2 \leq q \leq \infty$ , is equivalent to the fact that the Radon-Nikodym derivative of  $F_\Phi$  is a bounded function a.e.(m) on  $X$ , if  $H_0^p(m)$  is simply invariant. In this last case,  $\Phi$  can be extended to whole  $L^1(m)$  as in Theorem 2.1 and one has  $\Phi_p = \Phi_1|_{L^p(m)}$  for  $1 < p \leq \infty$ . Moreover, if  $1 \leq p \leq r \leq \infty$  then  $\widehat{\Phi}_r = \widehat{\Phi}_p|_{H^r(m)}$ .

# Application to the scalar case

In this section we consider the case when  $\Phi$  is an homomorphism of  $A$ , this is the one-dimensional case  $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{C}$ . In this context, we generalize to weak\* Dirichlet algebra some classical results concerning the function algebra with the uniqueness property for representing measures ([Gam], [SI])

## Theorem 4.1

Suppose that  $H_0^p(m)$  is a simply invariant subspace for some  $p \in [1, 2]$ . Then for any homomorphism  $\varphi \in \mathcal{M}(A)$  with  $\|\varphi\|_p < \infty$  we have  $|\widehat{\varphi}(Z)| < 1$  and

$$\varphi_p(g) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \widehat{g}(n) \widehat{\varphi}(Z)^n \quad (g \in H^p(m)) \quad (4.1)$$

where  $\varphi_p$  respectively  $(\widehat{\varphi})$  is the bounded linear extension of  $\varphi$  to  $H^p(m)$  (respectively, to  $H^\infty(m)$ ), the series being absolutely convergent. Moreover, the measure

$$\mu = \frac{1 - |\varphi(Z)|^2}{|Z - \varphi(Z)|^2} m \quad (4.2)$$

is a representing measure for  $\varphi$ .



## Application to the scalar case

Remark that only boundedness of  $\varphi$  on  $H^p(m)$  assures that  $\varphi$  is  $m$ -a.c. that is  $\varphi$  has a  $m$ -a.c. representing measure, if  $H_0^p(m)$  is simply invariant. In the general setting of Theorem 3.1, we cannot prove  $r(\widehat{\Phi}(Z)) < 1$  without to suppose that  $\Phi$  is  $m$ -a.c. Concerning the existence of homomorphism of  $A$  which are bounded on  $H^p(m)$ , we give the following result which generalize Theorem 6.4 [S] (or Theorem V 7.1, and Theorem VI 7.2 of [CS]) in the context of weak\* Dirichlet algebras.

# Application to the scalar case

## Theorem 4.2

Suppose that  $H_0^p(m)$  is a simple invariant subspace for some  $p \in [1, 2]$ . Then the set  $\Delta_p(m)$  of all homomorphisms of  $A$  which are bounded on  $H^p(m)$  is not reduced to  $\{\gamma\}$ , and  $\Delta_p(m)$  is contained in the Gleason part of  $A$  which contains  $\gamma$ .

Moreover, there exists an one to one continuous map  $\Gamma$  from  $\mathbb{D}$  into  $\mathcal{M}(A)$  such that

(i)  $\Gamma(\mathbb{D}) = \Delta_p(m)$ ,  $\Gamma(0) = \gamma$ ,

(ii) For any  $f \in A$ , the function  $\hat{f} \circ \Gamma$  is analytic on  $\mathbb{D}$ , where  $\hat{f}$  is the Gelfand transform of  $f$ .

# References



[CS2] Cassier, G., Suciu, N.

Mapping theorems and Harnack ordering for  $\rho$ -contractions

*Indiana Univ. Math. Journal* (2005), 483-524.



[JS] A. Juratoni and N. Suciu,

Spectral  $\rho$ - dilations for some representations of uniform algebras,

Proc. of the 9th Nat. Conf. Roumanian Math. Soc., Ed. Univ. de Vest Timișoara, 2005, 194-210.






[G] Gașpar, D.




On the harmonic analysis of representations of function algebras

(Romanian; English summary), *Stud. Cerc. Mat.* 24, 1 (1972), 7-95.


# References

-  [Le] A. Lebow,  
On von Neumann's theory of spectral sets,  
*J.Math.Anal. and Appl.*, 7(1963), 64-90.
-  [Lu] G. Lumer,  
 $H^\infty$  and the embedding of the classical  $H^p$  spaces in  
arbitrary ones,  
*Function Algebra, Scott, Foresman and Co.*, 1966,  
285-286.
-  [N] T. Nakazi,  
 $\rho$ -dilations and hypo-Dirichlet algebras,  
*Acta Sci.Math.(Szeged)*, 56(1992), 175-181.

# References

-  [Sr] T.P. SRINIVASAN and Ju-Kwei WANG,  
Weak  $*$ –Dirichlet algebras,  
*Function Algebras, Scott, Foresman and Co, 1966,*  
*216-249.*
-  [SN] Suciu, N.,  
*On Harnack ordering of contractions*  
*Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Math., 27,6 (1979), 467-471.*
-  [Sch] Schreiber, M.  
Absolutely continuous operators  
*Duke Math., 29 (1962), 175-190.*

# References

-  [Gam] T. GAMELIN,  
*Uniform Algebras*,  
Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1969.
-  [SI] Suciú, I.,  
*Function Algebras*  
Noordhoff Intern. Publ. Leyden, The Netherlands, 1975.
-  [SzF] Sz-Nagy, B., Foiaş, C.,  
*Harmonic Analysis of Operators on Hilbert Space*,  
North Holland, New York, 1970.