# On the projection of pseudoconvex domains

Cezar Joița

#### 1 Introduction

Let  $\pi : \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \to \mathbb{C}^n$  be the projection  $\pi((z_1, z_2, ..., z_{n+1})) = (z_2, ..., z_{n+1})$ . It is obvious that if D is a convex domain in  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$  then  $\pi(D)$  is convex too. Although under certain conditions the projection of a pseudoconvex domain remains pseudoconvex (see in this sense [7],[8]), for general pseudoconvex domains this is not true anymore. A counterexample was given by Peter Pflug in [11].

In the first part of this note we prove that any connected open subset of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  is the projection of a connected Runge open subset of  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$  (Theorem 3).

In the same circle of ideas we study then the following problem: if  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  are closed subsets of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , each one having a fundamental system of Runge neighborhoods, does their union have the same property ?

For arbitrary closed subsets  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  this is not true. A thorough discussion about the union of totally real subspaces of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  can be found in [12].

Corollary 1 (see also Remark 2) gives sufficient conditions such that if  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  are contained in two analytic subsets of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ ,  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  respectively, then  $P_1 \cup P_2$  has a fundamental system of Runge neighborhoods.

**Aknowledgements** I am very grateful to Professor Mihnea Colţoiu for suggesting me these problems and for his helpful advises.

I would also like to thank Professors Mohan Ramachandran, Terrence Napier and Anca Popa for their comments and Professor Klas Diederich for suggesting me a better version of Proposition 4 and Corollary 1.

#### 2 Preliminaries

Throughout this paper by a closed analytic subset I will understand a closed complex analytic subset.

If A is a closed analytic subset of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and  $K \subset A$  is a compact subset, we denote by  $\widehat{K}_A = \{z \in A : |f(z)| \leq \sup_K |f| \text{ for any } f \in \mathcal{O}(A)\}$  its holomorphically convex hull. K is called holomorphically convex with respect to A if  $K = \widehat{K}_A$ .

It is easy to see that  $\widehat{K}_A = \widehat{K}_{\mathbb{C}^n}$ , therefore a compact subset of A is holomorphically convex with respect to A iff it is holomorphically convex with respect to  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .

If  $D \subset A$  is a Stein open subset, D is said to be Runge in A if the restriction map  $\mathcal{O}(A) \to \mathcal{O}(D)$  has dense image.

The following result is proved in [2].

**Proposition 1.** Let X be a Stein space,  $\varphi$  be a holomorphic function on X. Let  $K_1, K_2$  be compact subsets of X and let  $Re(\varphi) < 0$  on  $K_1, Re(\varphi) > 0$  on  $K_2$ . Then we have  $(K_1 \cup K_2)^{\widehat{}} = \widehat{K}_1 \cup \widehat{K}_2$ 

Theorem 1 is proved in [5].

**Theorem 1.** Let  $A \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  be a closed analytic subset,  $K \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  a holomorphically convex compact subset and U an open neighborhood of  $K \cup A$ . Then there exists a  $C^{\infty}$  plurisubharmonic function  $\psi$  on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  such that  $\psi < 0$  on  $K \cup A$  and  $\psi > 0$  on  $\mathbb{C}U$ . In particular,  $K \cup A$  has a fundamental system of Runge neighborhoods.

The next two results are from [4].

**Proposition 2.** Let  $A \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  be a closed analytic subset,  $K \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  a holomorphically convex compact subset and  $L \subset A$  a holomorphically convex compact subset with  $K \cap A \subset L$ .

Then  $K \cup L$  has a fundamental system of Runge neighborhoods, hence it is holomorphically convex.

With a slight modification Theorem 3 in [4] becomes:

**Theorem 2.** Let X be a Stein space of finite embedding dimension,  $A \subset X$ be a closed analytic subset,  $D \subset A$  a Runge open subset  $K \subset X$  a holomorphically convex compact subset such that  $K \cap A \subset D$  and  $V \subset X$  an open subset such that  $D \cup K \subset V$ . Then there exists a Runge open subset  $\widetilde{D}$  in X with  $\widetilde{D} \cap A = D$ ,  $K \subset \widetilde{D}$  and  $\widetilde{D} \subset V$ . The only thing we have to change in the proof of Theorem 3 in [4] is to choose  $V_n$  such that  $V_n \subset V$  and to embed X as a closed subvariety of  $\mathbb{C}^N$  for some N.

## 3 The Results

**Theorem 3.** For every D open and connected subset of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  there exists a connected Runge open subset  $\widetilde{D}$  of  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$  such that  $\pi(\widetilde{D}) = D$ . Furthermore, if D is bounded we can find a bounded  $\widetilde{D}$  with this property.

*Proof.* Let  $\{P_m\}_{m\geq 1}$  be a covering of D by compact polydiscs such that  $P_m \cap P_{m+1} \neq \emptyset$  and let  $x_m \in P_m \cap P_{m+1}$ . Such a covering exists since D is connected.

We construct by induction a sequence  $\{V_m\}_{m\geq 1}$  of connected open subsets of  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$  with the following properties:

 $(1)\overline{V}_m$  is compact and  $\overline{V}_m \subset V_{m+1}$ 

- (2) $V_m$  is Runge in  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$  and  $\overline{V}_m$  is holomorphically convex with respect to  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$
- $(3)\overline{V}_m \subset ((0,1) \times (0,1)) \times D \text{ and } P_m \subset \pi(V_m)$

We define  $V_1$  as follows: let  $B_1$  be a compact disc in  $\mathbb{C}, B_1 \subset (0, 1) \times (0, 1)$ and let  $K_1 = B_1 \times P_1$ . Then  $K_1$  is a holomorphically convex compact subset of  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$  so it has a fundamental system of Runge neighborhoods.

Let  $U_1 \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$  be a Runge open subset,  $K_1 \subset U_1 \subset ((0,1) \times (0,1)) \times D$  and let  $\varphi_1$  be a  $C^{\infty}$  strongly plurisubharmonic exhaustion function for  $U_1$ .

Using Sard Theorem we choose  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  a regular value for  $\varphi_1$  such that  $\{\varphi < c\} \supset K_1$ . Because c is a regular value  $\overline{\{\varphi < c\}} = \{\varphi \le c\}$ .

We choose  $V_1$  to be the connected component of  $\{\varphi < c\}$  that contains  $K_1$ . Then  $\overline{V}_1$  is the connected component of  $\{\varphi \le c\}$  that contains  $K_1$ . So  $V_1$  is a Runge open subset of  $U_1$  and  $\overline{V}_1$  is holomorphically convex with respect to  $U_1$ . Since  $U_1$  is Runge in  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$  we deduce that  $V_1$  is Runge in  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$  and  $\overline{V}_1$ is holomorphically convex with respect to  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ .

Because  $B_1 \times P_1 \subset V_1$  and  $U_1 \subset ((0,1) \times (0,1)) \times D$  we have  $\pi(V_1) \supset P_1$  and  $\overline{V}_1 \subset ((0,1) \times (0,1)) \times D$ . So  $V_1$  has the desired properties.

Now assume that we have constructed  $V_1, ..., V_m$  with the required properties and define  $V_{m+1}$  as follows: because  $\overline{V}_m$  is compact and  $\overline{V}_m \subset ((0,1) \times (0,1)) \times D$  there exists a real number  $\alpha_m, \alpha_m \in (0,1)$ , such that  $\overline{V}_m \subset ((0,\alpha_m) \times (0,1)) \times D$ . Let  $B_{m+1}$  be a compact disc in  $\mathbb{C}$ ,  $B_{m+1} \subset (\alpha_m, 1) \times (0, 1)$  and let  $K_{m+1} = \overline{V}_m \cup B_{m+1} \times P_{m+1}$ .

Since  $\overline{V}_m$  and  $B_{m+1} \times P_{m+1}$  are holomorphically convex subsets of  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ and  $Re(z_1 - \alpha_m) < 0$  on  $\overline{V}_m$ ,  $Re(z_1 - \alpha_m) > 0$  on  $B_{m+1} \times P_{m+1}$  it follows from Proposition 1 (see also the Separation Lemma in [6]) that  $K_{m+1}$  is a holomorphically convex compact subset of  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ .

Let  $A_m = \mathbb{C} \times \{x_m\}$ .  $A_m$  is an analytic subset of  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$  and by Theorem 1  $K_{m+1} \cup A_m$  has a fundamental system of Runge neighborhoods. Note also that  $\pi(K_{m+1} \cup A_m) = \pi(K_{m+1}) \subset D$ .

Let then  $\widetilde{U}_{m+1}$  be a Runge open subset of  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$  such that  $K_{m+1} \cup A_m \subset \widetilde{U}_{m+1}$ and  $\pi(\widetilde{U}_{m+1}) \subset \subset D$  and put  $U_{m+1} = ((0,1) \times (0,1)) \times \mathbb{C}^n \cap \widetilde{U}_{m+1}$ .

Then  $U_{m+1}$  is Runge in  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ ,  $K_{m+1} \subset U_{m+1}$  and  $U_{m+1} \subset ((0,1) \times (0,1)) \times D$ . Because  $\pi(V_m) \supset P_m$  and  $x_m \in P_m$  there exists  $a_m \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $(a_m, x_m) \in V_m$ . But  $V_m \subset ((0,1) \times (0,1)) \times D$ . So  $a_m \in (0,1) \times (0,1)$ .

Let  $a_{m+1} \in B_{m+1}$  and  $\gamma_m : [0,1] \to \mathbb{C}^{m+1}, \gamma_m(t) = (ta_m + (1-t)a_{m+1}, x_m)$ and  $\Gamma_m = \gamma_m([0,1])$ . Then  $\Gamma_m \subset A_m \subset \widetilde{U}_{m+1}$  and  $\Gamma_m \subset ((0,1) \times (0,1)) \times D$ . So  $\Gamma_m \subset U_{m+1}$ .

 $K_{m+1}$  has two connected components and  $\Gamma_m$  is a path that joins them. It follows that  $K_{m+1} \cup \Gamma_m$  is a connected compact subset of  $U_{m+1}$ .

Let  $\varphi_{m+1}$  be a  $C^{\infty}$  strongly plurisubharmonic exhaustion function for  $U_{m+1}$ and let  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  a regular value for  $\varphi_{m+1}$  such that  $K_{m+1} \cup \Gamma_m \subset \{\varphi_{m+1} < c\}$ . Put  $V_{m+1}$  :=the connected component of  $\{\varphi_{m+1} < c\}$  that contains  $K_{m+1} \cup \Gamma_m$ .

As in the construction of  $V_1$ ,  $V_{m+1}$  is Runge in  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$  and  $\overline{V}_{m+1}$  is holomorphically convex with respect to  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ ,  $\pi(V_{m+1}) \supset P_{m+1}$ ,  $\overline{V}_{m+1} \subset ((0,1) \times (0,1)) \times D$  and since  $\overline{V}_m \subset K_{m+1}$  it follows  $\overline{V}_m \subset V_{m+1}$ . So  $V_{m+1}$  satisfies (1),(2) and (3) and the existence of the sequence  $\{V_m\}_{m\geq 1}$ is proved.

Put now  $\widetilde{D} = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} V_m$ . Because every  $V_m$  is a connected Runge open subset of  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ ,  $\widetilde{D}$  is connected and Runge. From  $\pi(V_m) \supset P_m$  we get that  $\pi(\widetilde{D}) \supset \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} P_m = D$ . On the other hand, since  $V_m \subset ((0,1) \times (0,1)) \times D$ it follows  $\widetilde{D} \subset ((0,1) \times (0,1)) \times D$ , so  $\pi(\widetilde{D}) \subset D$ . Therefore  $\pi(\widetilde{D}) = D$ . Moreover, if D is bounded then  $((0,1) \times (0,1)) \times D$  is bounded so  $\widetilde{D}$  is bounded.

**Remark 1**: If we did not ask  $\widetilde{D}$  to be connected the construction would be immediate: just take  $\widetilde{D} = \bigcup D_k \times Q_k$  for some disjoint open discs  $D_k \subset \mathbb{C}$ and  $\{Q_k\}$  a covering by open polydiscs for D. The following proposition shows that if D is an arbitrary relatively compact open and connected subset of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  we cannot expect to find  $\widetilde{D}$  a relatively compact open Runge subset of  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$  with smooth boundary such that  $\pi(\widetilde{D}) = D$ , so the statement of theorem 3 is in this respect maximal.

**Proposition 3.** Let  $\Delta$  be the unit disc in  $\mathbb{C}$ . There exists  $A \subset \Delta$  a countable closed set such that there exists no integer k > 2 and no open and bounded subset of  $\mathbb{R}^k$  with  $C^2$  boundary whose projection on  $\mathbb{C}$  is  $\Delta \setminus A$ .

*Proof.* We will construct A as follows:

For every integer  $n \ge 2$  we consider the following four points:

 $P_{n,k} = \frac{1}{n} exp(\frac{2\pi k\sqrt{-1}}{4}), \ k = 1, 2, 3, 4 \text{ and put } A_n = \{P_{n,1}, P_{n,2}, P_{n,3}, P_{n,4}\}$ Note that for every open disc  $\Delta_1$  of radius  $\frac{1}{n}$  if  $0 \in \partial \Delta_1$  then  $\Delta_1 \cap A_n \neq \emptyset$ .

Let  $A = \{0\} \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ . Then for every open disc  $\Delta_1$  in  $\mathbb{C}$  if  $0 \in \overline{\Delta}_1$  then  $\Delta_1 \cap A \neq \emptyset$ .

This is obvious if  $0 \in \Delta_1$ . If  $0 \in \partial \Delta_1$  just take an integer  $n \geq \frac{1}{r}$  and an open disc  $\Delta_2 \subset \Delta_1$  of radius  $\frac{1}{n}$  such that  $0 \in \partial \Delta_2$  and then we have  $\Delta_2 \cap A_n \neq \emptyset$ . We will prove now that A has the desired properties.

It is obvious that A is closed, 0 being its only accumulation point. Suppose that there exists k > 2 and V an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^k$ . V how

Suppose that there exists k > 2 and V an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^k$ , V bounded and with  $C^2$  boundary, such that  $p(V) = \Delta \setminus A$ . Here  $p : \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{C}$  is the projection  $p(x_1, x_2, ..., x_k) = x_1 + ix_2$ .

There exists a point  $Q \in \partial V$ , p(Q) = 0. Indeed: take  $\{z_n\}$  a sequence of points in  $\Delta \setminus A$  that converges to 0 and  $\{x_n\}$  in V with  $p(x_n) = z_n$ . V being bounded  $\{x_n\}$  has a convergent subsequence and and we may take Q to be its limit.

Because V has  $C^2$  boundary we can find  $B \subset V$  an open ball such that  $Q \in \partial B$ . In fact if R is on the inward normal of  $\partial V$  at Q and it is sufficiently close to Q then the open ball B with center R and radius d(R, Q) is contained in V and  $d(R, Q) = d(R, \partial V)$ .

*B* being a ball,  $\Delta_1 := p(B)$  is a disc in  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $0 \in \partial \Delta_1$ . So  $A \cap \Delta_1 \neq \emptyset$ . But  $B \subset V$  and  $p(V) = \Delta \setminus A$ . This contradiction proves our proposition.

**Proposition 4.** Let  $A_1, A_2$  be closed analytic subsets of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and  $U_1, U_2$  be Runge open subsets of  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  respectively. If  $A_1 \cap U_2 = A_2 \cap U_1$  then  $U_1 \cup U_2$  is a Runge open subset of  $A_1 \cup A_2$ .

This proposition is a direct consequence of Corollary 3.8 in [9]. We give here an alternative proof.

*Proof.* Because  $A_1 \cap U_2 = A_2 \cap U_1$  it is easy to see that  $U_1 \cup U_2$  is open in  $A_1 \cup A_2$ .

For j = 1, 2 let  $\{K_{n,j}\}$  be an exhaustion of  $U_j$  with holomorphically convex compact subsets such that:

- ( $\alpha$ )  $K_{n,j} \subset Int(K_{n+1,j})$
- $(\beta) K_{n,1} \cap A_2 \subset K_{n,2}$
- $(\gamma) K_{n,2} \cap A_1 \subset Int(K_{n+1,1})$

Put  $F_n = K_{n,1} \cup K_{n,2}$ . Then  $(\alpha), (\beta), (\gamma)$  guarantee that  $F_n \subset Int(F_{n+1})$ . From  $(\beta)$  and Proposition 2 it follows that  $F_n$  is a holomorphically convex compact subset of  $A_1 \cup A_2$ . Therefore  $U_1 \cup U_2 = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n$  is a Runge open subset of  $A_1 \cup A_2$ .  $\Box$ 

**Corollary 1.** If  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are closed analytic subsets of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and  $P_1 \subset A_1$ ,  $P_2 \subset A_2$  are closed subsets each one having a fundamental system of Runge neighborhoods,  $\{W_{1,n}\}$  and  $\{W_{2,n}\}$  respectively, such that  $A_1 \cap W_{2,n} = A_2 \cap$  $W_{1,n}$  then  $P_1 \cup P_2$  has a fundamental system of Runge neighborhoods.

*Proof.* Let V be an open set that contains  $P_1 \cup P_2$ .

For j = 1, 2 let  $W_j$  Runge in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  with  $P_j \subset W_j \subset V$  and  $A_1 \cap W_2 = A_2 \cap W_1$ . Then  $U_1 = A_1 \cap W_1$  and  $U_2 = A_2 \cap W_2$  satisfy the conditions of Proposition 4 so  $U_1 \cup U_2$  is a Runge open subset of  $A_1 \cup A_2$  and  $P_1 \cup P_2 \subset U_1 \cup U_2$ . From Theorem 2 we deduce that there exists a Runge domain  $W \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ , with  $W \subset V$  and  $W \cap (A_1 \cup A_2) = U_1 \cup U_2$ . So W is Runge and  $P_1 \cup P_2 \subset W \subset V$ .

**Remark 2**. If  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  have fundamental systems of Runge neighborhoods then the conditions of Corollary 1 are fulfiled if: a)  $P_1 \cap P_2 = A_1 \cap A_2$ or if

b)  $P_1$  or  $P_2$  is compact and  $A_1 \cap P_2 = A_2 \cap P_1$ 

a) is straightforward and for b) one has to apply Theorem 2.

Note also that if  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  have fundamental systems of neighborhoods  $\{W_{1,n}\}$  and  $\{W_{2,n}\}$  such that  $A_1 \cap W_{2,n} = A_2 \cap W_{1,n}$  then  $A_1 \cap P_2 = A_2 \cap P_1$ .

**Corollary 2.** Let in  $\mathbb{C}^2$ :  $\mathbb{C} = \{(z_1, z_2) : z_2 = 0\}$ ,  $\mathbb{R} = \{(z_1, z_2) : z_1 = 0, y_2 = 0\}$  where  $z_j = x_j + iy_j$ . Then  $\mathbb{R} \cup \mathbb{C}$  has a fundamental system of Runge neighborhoods. **Remark 3**.  $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R}$  does not have a fundamental system of Runge neighborhoods. In fact it has been proved in [1] that this is the case for every open subset of  $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R}$ .

As Prof. Coltoin pointed to me, if A is a closed subset of  $\mathbb{C}$  then  $\mathbb{C} \times A$  has a fundamental system of Runge neighborhoods iff A is complete pluripolar. If  $\mathbb{C} \times A$  has a fundamental system of Runge neighborhoods then one can choose U to be a Runge neighborhood of  $\mathbb{C} \times A$  such that U does not contain the fibre  $\mathbb{C} \times \{x\}$  if x is outside A and define  $\varphi : \{0\} \times \mathbb{C} \cap U \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\},$  $\varphi = -\log d$  where d is the distance to the boundary of U in the  $z_1$  direction. It follows then that  $\varphi$  is subharmonic and  $A = \{\varphi = -\infty\}.$ 

If A is complete pluripolar, then  $\mathbb{C} \times A$  is complete pluripolar and using Corollary 1 in [10] we note that, for q = 1 and X a Stein space, the neighborhoods constructed in the proof of Theorem 2 in [3], are in fact Runge in X. (This argument shows also that  $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R}$  does not have a fundamental system of Stein neighborhoods either.)

**Remark 4**. In all our results  $\mathbb{C}^n$  can be replaced by any Stein space X of finite embedding dimension.

### References

- Andreotti,A;Nacinovich, M.: Analytic convexity. Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl.Sci. (4) 7 (1980), no. 2, 287–372.
- [2] Andreotti,A; Narasimhan,R.: A topological property of Runge pairs. Ann. of Math.(2) 76 (1962), 499–509.
- [3] Colţoiu,M.: Complete locally pluripolar sets. J.reine angew. Math. 412 (1990), 108-112.
- [4] Colţoiu,M.:Traces of Runge domains on analytic subsets. Math. Ann. 290 (1991),545-548.
- [5] Colţoiu,M.,Mihalache,N.: On the homology groups of Stein spaces and Runge pairs. J.reine angew.Math. 371 (1986), 216-220.
- [6] Kallin,E.: Polynomial convexity: the Three Spheres Problem. Proceedings of the Conference on Complex Analysis (Mineapolis, 1964) Springer-Verlag, New York, 1965.

- [7] Kiselman,C.O.: The partial Legendre transformation for plurisubharmonic functions. Invent. Math. 49 (1978), no. 2, 137–148.
- [8] Loeb, J.J.: Action d'une forme réelle d'un groupe de Lie complexe sur les fonctions plurisousharmoniques. Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 35 (1985), no. 4, 59–97.
- [9] Mihalache, N.: The Runge theorem on 1-dimensional Stein spaces. Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl. 33 (1988), no. 7, 601–611.
- [10] Narasimhan, R: The Levi problem for complex spaces. II. Math. Ann. 146 (1962), 195–216.
- [11] Pflug, P.: Ein  $C^{\infty}$ -glattes, streng pseudokonvexes Gebiet im  $\mathbb{C}^3$  mit nicht holomorph-konvexer Projektion. Special issue dedicated to the seventieth birthday of Erich Kähler. Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg 47 (1978), 92–94.
- [12] Thomas, P.: Enveloppes polynomiales d'unions de plans réels dans  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **40** (1990), 371-390.